



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

साप्ताहिक
WEEKLY

सं. 51] नई दिल्ली, दिसम्बर 12—दिसम्बर 18, 2004, शनिवार/अग्राहायण, 21—अग्राहायण 27, 1926

No. 51 | NEW DELHI, DECEMBER 12—DECEMBER 18, 2004, SATURDAY/AGRAHAYANA, 21—AGRAHAYANA 27, 1926

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(Other than the Ministry of Defence)

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

(केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 8 दिसम्बर, 2004

(आयकर)

का. अम. 3178.—सामान्य जानकारी के लिए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा अधोलिखित संगठन को उसके नाम के सामने उल्लिखित अवधि के लिए आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 6 के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ "संस्था" श्रेणी के अन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है—

- (1) अधिसूचित संगठन अपने अनुसंधान कार्यकलापों के लिए अलग लेखा-बहियों का रख-रखाव करेगा।
- (2) अधिसूचित संगठन प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए अपनी वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान गतिविधियों को वार्षिक विवरणी प्रत्येक वर्ष 31 मई

को अथवा उससे पहले सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, 'टेक्नोलॉजी भवन', न्यू महारोड रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को प्रस्तुत करेगा;

- (3) अधिसूचित संगठन केन्द्र सरकार की तरफ से नामोद्दिष्ट निर्धारण अधिकारी को आयकर की विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने के अतिरिक्त अपने लेखा परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखों की एक प्रति तथा अपने अनुसंधान कार्यकलापों, जिसके लिए आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत छूट प्रदान की गई थी, के संबंध में आय एवं व्यय खाते की लेखा परीक्षा की भी एक प्रति संगठन पर अधिकार क्षेत्र वाले : (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) आयकर भवन, नवीं व दसवीं मंजिल, सैक्टर-3 वैशाली गाजियाबाद, (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक एवं औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग तथा (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) को प्रत्येक वर्ष 31 अक्टूबर को अथवा उससे पहले प्रस्तुत करेगा।

क्रम सं.	अनुमोदित संगठन का नाम	अवधि जिसके लिए अधिसूचना प्रभावी है
1.	धर्मशिला कैंसर फाउंडेशन एण्ड रिसर्च सेंटर, वसुंधरा इन्क्लेव, नई दिल्ली	1-4-2002 से 31-3-2005

टिप्पणी : अधिसूचित संगठन को सलाह दी जाती है कि वह अनुमोदन के नवीकरण के लिए तीन प्रतियों में और पहले ही अधिकार क्षेत्र वाले आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) के माध्यम से केन्द्र सरकार को आवेदन करें। अनुमोदन के नवीकरण के लिए आवेदन पत्र की तीन प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को भी सीधे भेजी जानी चाहिए।

[अधिसूचना सं. 293/2004/फा.सं. 203/116/2003-आ.क. नि.-II]

निधि सिंह, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

(CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES)

New Delhi, the 8th December, 2004

(INCOME TAX)

S.O. 3178.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organization mentioned below has been approved by the Central Government for the period mentioned below, for the purpose of clause (ii) of Sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961, read with Rule 6 of the Income Tax Rules, 1962 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:—

- The notified organization shall maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- The notified organisation shall furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year on or before 31st May of each year;
- The notified organization shall submit, on behalf of the Central Government, to (a) the Director General of Income Tax (Exemption), Aayakar Bhawan, 9th & 10th Floor, Sector 3, Vaishali, Ghaziabad, (b) The Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) the Commissioner of Income Tax/Director of Income Tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organization, on or before the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under Sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income Tax Act, 1961 in addition to the return of income-tax to the designated assessing officer.

S. No.	Name of the organisation approved	Period for which notification is effective
1.	Dharamshila Cancer Foundation & Research Centre, Vasundhara Enclave, New Delhi	1-4-2002 to 31-3-2005

Note : The notified organization is advised to apply in triplicates as well in advance for further renewal of the approval, to the Central Government through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemption) having jurisdiction. Three copies of the application for renewal of approval should also be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[Notification No. 293/2004/F. No. 203/116/2003-ITA-II]

NIDHI SINGH, Under Secy.

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3179.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक, (प्रबंध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970 के खंड 3 के उपखंड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खंड (ड) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा श्री अशोक गुप्ता, विशेष सहायक, इंडियन बैंक को 9-12-2004 से तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए और उसके बाद उनके उत्तराधिकारी की नियुक्ति होने तक या इंडियन बैंक के कर्मकार कर्मचारी के रूप में उनकी सेवाएं समाप्त होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, इंडियन बैंक के निदेशक बोर्ड में कर्मकार कर्मचारी निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं० 15/1/2000-आई. आर.]

ए. थामस, अवर सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 9th December, 2004

S. O. 3179.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (e) of the Sub-section 3 of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970 read with Sub-clause (1) of Clause 3 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Ashok Gupta, Special Assistant, Indian Bank as Workmen Employee Director on the Board of Directors of Indian Bank for a period of three years with effect from 9th December, 2004 and thereafter until his successor is appointed or till he ceases to be a workman employee of Indian Bank, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 15/1/2000-IR]

A. THOMAS, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3180.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 और 15(1) के उपबंध इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से पांच वर्ष तक इलाहाबाद बैंक पर लागू नहीं होंगे।

[फा० सं० 11/34/2004-बीओए]

राम मुईवा, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 6th December, 2004

S. O. 3180.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 13 and 15 (1) of the said Act shall not apply, for a period of five years from the date of this Notification to Allahabad Bank.

[F. No. 11/34/2004-BOA]

RAM MUIVAH, Director

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3181.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक, (प्रबंध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) योजना, 1970 के खंड 3 के उपखंड (I), खंड 5, खंड 6, खंड 7 और खंड 8 के उपखंड (I) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात, श्री के. चेरियन वर्गोस, अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबन्ध निदेशक, कारपोरेशन बैंक को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-3-2006 तक या अगला आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, यूनियन बैंक आफ इंडिया के अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबंध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं० 9/31/2004-बी. ओ.-1(i)]

रमेश चन्द, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th December, 2004

S. O. 3181.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970 read with Sub-clause (1) of Clause 3, Clause 5, Clause 6, Clause 7 and Sub-clause (1) of Clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri K. Cherian Verghese, Chairman and Managing Director, Corporation Bank as Chairman and Managing Director, Union Bank of India for the period from the date of his taking charge and upto 31-03-2006 or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/31/2004-BO-1(i)]

RAMESH CHAND, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3182.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक, (प्रबंध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) योजना, 1970 के खंड 3 के उपखंड (I), खंड 5, खंड 6, खंड 7 और खंड 8 के उपखंड (I) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात, श्री वी. श्रीधर, अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबन्ध निदेशक, राष्ट्रीय आवास बैंक को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-07-2007 तक या अगला आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, यूको बैंक के अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबंध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा.सं० 9/31/2004-बी. ओ. I(ii)]

रमेश चन्द, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th December, 2004

S. O. 3182.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970 read with Sub-clause (1) of Clause 3, Clause 5, Clause 6, Clause 7 and Sub-clause (1) of Clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri V. Sridar, Chairman and Managing Director, National Housing Bank as Chairman and Managing Director, UCO Bank for the period from the date of his taking charge and upto 31-07-2007 or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/31/2004-BO-1(ii)]

RAMESH CHAND, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3183.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक, (प्रबंध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) योजना, 1980 के खंड 3 के उपखंड (I), खंड 5, खंड 6, खंड 7 और खंड 8 के उपखंड (I) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात, श्री वी.के. चोपड़ा, अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबन्ध निदेशक, भारतीय लघु उद्योग विकास बैंक को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-3-2006 तक या अगला आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, कारपोरेशन बैंक के अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबंध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं० 9/31/2004-बी. ओ. I(iii)]

रमेश चन्द, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th December, 2004

S. O. 3183.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1980 read with Sub-clause (1) of Clause 3, Clause 5, Clause 6, Clause 7 and Sub-clause

(1) of Clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1980, the Central Government after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri V.K. Chopra, Chairman and Managing Director, Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) as Chairman and Managing Director, Corporation Bank for the period from the date of his taking charge and upto 31-03-2006 or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/31/2004-BO-1(iii)]

RAMESH CHAND, Under Secy.

(बीमा प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 8 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3184.—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री आर.एन. भारद्वाज, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम के प्रबंध निदेशक, को 26,000 रुपए (नियत) के वेतनमान में, पदभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-5-2005 अर्थात् उनकी अधिवर्षिता की तारीख तक या अगले आदेश तक, जो भी पहले हो, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम का अध्यक्ष नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 14/11/2004-बीमा IV]

आर० रंगानाथ, निदेशक

(Insurance Division)

New Delhi, the 8th December, 2004

S. O. 3184.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956) the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R.N. Bhardwaj, Managing Director, Life Insurance Corporation of India as the Chairman, Life Insurance Corporation of India in the pay of Rs. 26,000/- (fixed) with effect from the date he assumes charge of the post upto 31-05-2005 i.e. the date of superannuation or till further orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 14/11/2004-Ins. IV]

R. RENGANATH, Director

नई दिल्ली, 13 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3185.—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री ए.के. शुकला, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम के प्रबंध निदेशक, को तत्काल प्रभाव से 30-04-2006 तक अर्थात् उनकी अधिवर्षिता की तारीख अथवा अगले आदेश तक, जो भी पहले हो, उपर्युक्त निगम का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 14/11/2004-बीमा IV]

आर० रंगानाथ, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 13th December, 2004

S. O. 3185.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956) the Central Government hereby appoints Shri

A.K. Shukla, Managing Director, Life Insurance Corporation of India as Member of the said Corporation with immediate effect upto 30-04-2006 i.e. the date of superannuation or till further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 14/11/2004-Ins. IV]

R. RENGANATH, Director

नई दिल्ली, 13 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3186.—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री के. श्रीधर, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम के प्रबंध निदेशक, को तत्काल प्रभाव से 31-01-2006 तक अर्थात् उनकी अधिवर्षिता की तारीख अथवा अगले आदेश तक, जो भी पहले हो, उपर्युक्त निगम का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 14/11/2004-बीमा IV]

आर० रंगानाथ, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 13th December, 2004

S. O. 3186.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956) the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. Sridhar, Managing Director, Life Insurance Corporation of India as Member of the said Corporation with immediate effect upto 31-01-2006 i.e. the date of superannuation or till further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 14/11/2004-Ins. IV]

R. RENGANATH, Director

संचार एवं सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय

(डाक विभाग)

(डाक जीवन बीमा निदेशालय)

नई दिल्ली, 18 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3187.—महानिदेशक, डाक डाकघर बीमा निधि नियमावली के नियम 10 के जरिए प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और 31-03-2001 की स्थिति के अनुसार ग्रामीण डाकघर जीवन बीमा निधि की परिसंपत्तियों और देयताओं के बीमांकक मूल्यन के आधार पर मृत्यु होने की वजह से या परिपक्वता पर ग्रामीण डाक जीवन बीमा पालिसियों के दावे बनने पर 31-03-2001 को समाप्त वर्ष के लिए निम्नलिखित दरों पर साधारण प्रतिवर्ती बोनस की घोषणा करते हैं :

बीमा पालिसी का प्रकार	बोनस की दर
(i) आजीवन बीमा	प्रति हजार बीमित राशि पर 60/- रु.
(ii) बंदोबस्ती बीमा एवं प्रत्याशित बंदोबस्ती बीमा	प्रति हजार बीमित राशि पर 50/- रु.

2. ऐसी पालिसियों के लिए 01-04-2001 से 31-12-2004 तक की अवधि के दौरान परिपक्वता या मृत्यु की वजह से उत्पन्न हुए सभी दावों के लिए ऊपर उल्लिखित दर पर अंतरिम बोनस भी देय होगा जिनके प्रीमियम अदा कर दिए गए हैं और जिनकी 01-04-2001 से 31-12-2004 (01-04-2001 को या इसके बाद जारी पालिसियों के

संदर्भ में बीमा के प्रथम पालिसी वर्ष सहित) तक की अवधि के दौरान प्रविष्टि कर दी गई है।

3. बोनस की राशि में 50 पैसे या अधिक के अंश को अगले उच्चतर रूप में पूर्ण कर दिया जाएगा और 50 पैसे से कम के अंश को हिसाब में नहीं लिया जाएगा।

4. इसे वित्त परामर्श (डाक) की डायरी सं. 2159 दिनांक 16-11-2004 के जरिए प्राप्त उनकी सहमति से जारी किया जाता है।

[सं. 5-2/2001-एलआई]

वी. पती, अपर महाप्रबंधक

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

(Department of Post)

DIRECTORATE OF POSTAL LIFE INSURANCE

New Delhi, the 18th November, 2004

S. O. 3187.—In exercise of the powers conferred vide Rule 10 of Post Office Insurance Fund Rules and on the basis of Actuarial Valuation of the assets and liabilities of Rural Post Office Life Insurance Fund as on 31-03-2001 the Director General (Posts), is pleased to declare a simple Reversionary Bonus on the Rural Postal Life Insurance

Policies on their becoming claims, due to death or maturity at the following rates for the year ending 31-03-2001 :—

Type of Insurance Policy	Rate of Bonus
(i) Whole Life Assurance	Rs. 60/- per thousand of sum assured
(ii) Endowment Assurance & Anticipated Endowment Assurance	Rs. 50/- per thousand of sum assured

2. Interim bonus at the rates mentioned above will also be payable for all claims arising due to maturity or death during the period from 01-04-2001 to 31-12-2004 for policies for which premium has been paid and entered upon during the period 01-04-2001 to 31-12-2004 (including first Policy year of assurance in respect of policies issued on or after 01-04-2001).

3. The amount of bonus involving a fraction of 50 paise or more shall be rounded off to the next higher rupee and fraction below 50 paise shall be ignored.

4. This issues with the concurrence of Finance Advice (Postal) vide their Diary No. 2159 dated 16-11-2004.

[No.5-2/2001-LI]

V. PATI, Addl. General Manager

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 30 नवम्बर, 2004

का० आ० 3188.—दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की धारा 3 के खंड (क) के अनुसरण में, तमिलनाडु के राज्य दंत चिकित्सक रजिस्टर में पंजीकृत दंतचिकित्सकों ने 27-06-2003 से डा. आर. गुनासीलन को भारतीय दंत चिकित्सा परिषद् में निर्वाचित किया।

2. दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की धारा 3 के खंड (ग) के अनुसरण में डा. एस. जी. डाम्ले को दिनांक 7-7-2003 से भारतीय दंत चिकित्सा परिषद् का सदस्य निर्वाचित किया है।

3. दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की धारा 3 के खंड (घ) के अनुसरण में निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को निम्नलिखित प्रत्येक विश्वविद्यालय और तारीखों से भारतीय दंत चिकित्सा में निर्वाचित किया गया है :

क्र.सं.	नाम	विश्वविद्यालय	तारीख
1.	डा. पी.डी. बोरा	गुवाहाटी विश्वविद्यालय	27-01-04
2.	डा. सूमेन चक्रवर्ती	नार्थ बंगाल विश्वविद्यालय	25-11-03
3.	डा. के. नंद कुमार	केरल विश्वविद्यालय	02-12-03
4.	प्रो. एस. एच. हाशमी	अलीगढ़ मुस्लिम विश्वविद्यालय	14-09-03
5.	डा. मानसिंह गणपति पवार	महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय	29-10-03

4. दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की धारा 3 के खंड (ङ) के अनुसरण में प्रत्येक राज्य सरकार द्वारा निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को निम्नलिखित तारीखों से भारतीय दंत चिकित्सा परिषद् में नामांकित किया गया है :

क्र.सं.	नाम	राज्य	तारीख
1.	डा. पी. के. चन्द्र	गोवा	22-08-03
2.	डा. जी. डी. अग्रवाल	मध्य प्रदेश	23-09-03
3.	डा. विकास जिंदल	पंजाब	26-06-03
4.	डा. ज्वाय गोपाल राय	पश्चिम बंगाल	10-02-03

(डा. विकास जिंदल को पंजाब सरकार द्वारा उस आकस्मिक रिक्ति पर नामांकित किया गया है जो डा. बी.एल. गोयल, जिनका कार्यकाल 13-12-2005 तक था, के नामांकन को वापिस लेने के कारण हुई थी)

5. अतः, अब उपर्युक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के साथ पठित धारा 3 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार, स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय (स्वास्थ्य विभाग) की दिनांक 24 जनवरी, 1984 अधिसूचना सं. का०आ० 430 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

'दंत चिकित्सा अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 3 (क) के अन्तर्गत निर्वाचित शीर्षक के अधीन क्रम संख्या 1 के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी :—

1. डा. आर. गुनासीलन	निर्वाचित	तमिलनाडु	27-06-2003
---------------------	-----------	----------	------------

'दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 3 (ग) के अधीन निर्वाचित' शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 4 के सामने निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी :—

4. डा. एस. जी. दामले, डीन, नायर हास्पिटल डेंटल कालेज, डा. ए. एल. नायर रोड, बाइकुल्ला, मुंबई (महाराष्ट्र)	निर्वाचित	राज्यों के प्राचार्य, डीन, निदेशक तथा उप प्राचार्य तथा राज्यों के मेडिकल कालेजों के डेंटल विंगों के प्रमुख	7-7-2003
---	-----------	---	----------

'दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 3 (घ) के अधीन निर्वाचित' शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्याओं के सामने उल्लिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी :—

16. डा. पी. डी. बोरा	निर्वाचित	गुवाहाटी विश्वविद्यालय	27-01-04
25. डा. सौमेन चक्रवर्ती	निर्वाचित	नार्थ बंगाल विश्वविद्यालय	25-11-03
6. डा. के. नंदकुमार	निर्वाचित	केरल विश्वविद्यालय	02-12-03
34. प्रो. एस. एच. हाशमी	निर्वाचित	अलीगढ़ मुस्लिम विश्वविद्यालय	14-09-03
19. डा. मानसिंग गणपति पवार	निर्वाचित	महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय	29-10-03

'दंत चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 3 (ड) के अधीन नामित' शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्याओं के सामने उल्लिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी :—

18. डा. पी.के. चन्द्र	नामित	गोवा	22-08-03
8. डा. जी. डी. अग्रवाल, भोपाल	नामित	मध्यप्रदेश	23-09-03
7. डा. विकास जिंदल	नामित	पंजाब	26-06-03
12. डा. ज्ञान गोपाल राय	नामित	पश्चिम बंगाल	10-02-03

[सं. बी. 12013/5/2003-पी.एम. एस.]

ए. के. सिंह, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 30th November, 2004

S.O. 3188.—Whereas, in pursuance of clause (a) of Section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), the Dentists registered in the state Dentists Register of Tamil Nadu elected Dr. R. Gunaseelan to the Dental Council of India with effect from 27-06-2003.

2. Whereas, in pursuance of clause (c) of Section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), Dr. S.G. Damle has been elected to the Dental Council of India with effect from 07-07-2003.

3. Whereas, in pursuance of clause (d) of Section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), the following persons have been elected to the Dental Council of India from each of the Universities and with effect from the dates mentioned below :—

S. No.	Name	University	Date
1.	Dr. P. D. Bora	Gauhati University	27-01-04
2.	Dr. Soumen Chakraborty	North Bengal University	25-11-03
3.	Dr. K. Nandkumar	University of Kerala	02-12-03
4.	Prof S. H. Hashmi	Aligarh Muslim University	14-09-03
5.	Dr. Mansing Ganpati Pawar	Maharashtra University of Health Science	29-10-03

4. Whereas, in pursuance of clause (e) of Section 3 of the Dentists, Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), the following persons have been nominated to the Dental Council of India by each of the State Governments and with effect from the dates mentioned below :

S. No.	Name	State	Date
1.	Dr. P. K. Chandra	Goa	22-08-03
2.	Dr. G. D. Aggarwal	Madhya Pradesh	23-09-03
3.	Dr. Vikas Jindal	Punjab	26-06-03
4.	Dr. Joy Gopal Roy	West Bengal	10-02-03

(Dr. Vikas Jindal has been nominated by the Punjab Government on the casual vacancy created by the withdrawal of nomination of Dr. B. L. Goel whose tenure was up to 13-12-2005.)

5. Now, therefore in pursuance of Section 3 read with Sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (Department of Health) No. S. O. 430 dated 24th January 84, namely :—

Against Serial No. 1 under the heading 'Elected u/s 3 (a) of the Dentists Act, 1948', the following entries shall be substituted :—

1.	Dr. R. Gunaseelan,	Elected	Tamil Nadu	27-06-2003
----	--------------------	---------	------------	------------

Against Serial No. 4 under the heading 'Elected U/s 3 (C) of the Dentists Act, 1948', the following entries shall be substituted :—

4.	Dr. S. G. Damle, Dean, Nair Hospital Dental College, Dr. A. L. Nair Road, Byculla, Mumbai (Maharashtra).	Elected	Principles,, Deans, Directors and Vice Principles of Dental Colleges in the States and Heads of Dental Wings of Medical Colleges in the States.	7-7-2003
----	---	---------	---	----------

Against the Serial Nos. given below, under the heading 'Elected U/s 3 (d) of the Dentists Act, 1948', the entries mentioned against them shall be substituted :—

16	Dr. P. D. Bora	Elected	Gauhati University	27-01-04
25	Dr. Soumen Chakraborty	Elected	North Bengal University	25-11-03
6	Dr. K. Nandkumar	Elected	University of Kerala	02-12-03
34	Prof. S. H. Hashmi	Elected	Aligarh Muslim University	14-09-03
19	Dr. Mansing Ganpati Pawar	Elected	Maharashtra University of Health Science	29-10-03

Against the Serial Nos. given below, under the heading 'Nominated U/s 3 (e) of the Dentists Act, 1948', the entries mentioned against them shall be substituted :—

18	Dr. P. K. Chandra	Nominated	Goa	22-08-03
8	Dr. G. D. Aggarwal, Bhopal	Nominated	Madhya Pradesh	23-09-03
7	Dr. Vikas Jindal	Nominated	Punjab	26-06-03
12	Dr. Joy Gopal Roy	Nominated	West Bengal	10-02-03

[No. V-12013/5/2003-PMS]

A. K. SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 2004

का० आ० 3189.— भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) के प्रयोजन हेतु कैलाबर यूनिवर्सिटी, नाइजीरिया द्वारा प्रदत्त चिकित्सा अर्हता एम बी बी सी एच; उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 14 के अधीन एक मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता है;

और, डा. रोजेलिन ड्यूक, नाइजीरियाई नागरिक जिनके पास उक्त अर्हता है अरविंद आई हास्पिटल्स, मदुरै (तमिलनाडु) से धर्मार्थ (चैरिटेबल) कार्य हेतु और न कि व्यक्तिगत लाभ हेतु जुड़े हैं;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 14 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ग) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि भारत में डा. रोजेलिन ड्यूक द्वारा आयुर्विज्ञान की प्रैक्टिस करने की अवधि :—

(क) 1 जनवरी, 2005 से आगे छह माह की अवधि; अथवा

(ख) उस अवधि, जिसके दौरान डा. रोजेलिन ड्यूक, अरविंद आई हास्पिटल्स, मदुरै (तमिलनाडु) से जुड़े हैं, इनमें से जो भी कम हो, तक सीमित रहेगी।

[सं. वी-11016/1/2004-एम ई(नीति-1)]

पी.जी. कलाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th December, 2004

S.O. 3189.—Whereas medical qualification MBBCH granted by University of Calabar, Nigeria, is a recognised medical qualification for the purpose of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) under Section 14 of the said Act:

And whereas Dr. Roseline Duke, Nigerian National, who possess the said qualification is attached to Aravind Eye Hospitals, Madurai (Tamil Nadu) for the purpose of training and technique demonstration and not for personal gain:

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (c) of Sub-section (1) of the Section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifies that the period of practice of medicine by Dr. Roseline Duke in India shall be limited to :—

(a) a period of six months from 1st January, 2005 onwards; or

(b) the period during which Dr. Roseline Duke is attached to Aravind Eye Hospitals, Madurai (Tamil Nadu) whichever is shorter.

[No. V-11016/1/2004-ME(Policy-I)]

P. G. KALADHARAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 2004

का० आ० 3190.— केन्द्र सरकार ने भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार के साथ परामर्श करके डा. एल. एस. पाल, प्राचार्य, आई.जी.एम.सी., शिमला को इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने की तारीख से भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् के एक सदस्य के रूप में मनोनीत किया है।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के उपबंध के अनुसरण में, केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के तत्कालीन स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की दिनांक 9 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्;

उक्त अधिसूचना में " धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के अधीन मनोनीत " शीर्षक के अंतर्गत क्रम संख्या 21 और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविष्टियां जोड़ी जाएंगी; अर्थात् :—

"21. डा. एल.एस. पाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार"
प्राचार्य,
इंदिरा गांधी मेडिकल कालेज,
शिमला-171001 (हिमाचल प्रदेश)

[सं. वी-11013/1/2004-एमई(नीति-1)]

पी.जी. कलाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th December, 2004

S.O. 3190.—Whereas the Central Government, in pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) and in consultation with the Government of Himachal Pradesh have nominated Dr. L.S. Pal, Principal, IGMC, Shimla to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the date of issue of this notification.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provision of Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the then Ministry of Health number S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely;

In the said notification, under the heading, 'Nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 3', for serial number 21 and the entries thereto, the following serial number and entries shall be substituted, namely :—

"21. Dr. L.S. Pal, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh"
Principal,
Indira Gandhi Medical College,
Shimla-171001 (Himachal Pradesh)

[No. V-11013/1/2004-ME(Policy-I)]

P. G. KALADHARAN, Under Secy.

रेल मंत्रालय

(रेलवे बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 7 दिसम्बर, 2004

का० आ० 3191.—राष्ट्रपति, संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परंतुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए रेल सेवा (पेंशन) नियम, 1993 का और संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाते हैं, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम रेल सेवा (पेंशन) (संशोधन) नियम, 2004 है।

(2) ये 22 नवम्बर, 2003 से प्रवृत्त हुए समझे जाएंगे।

2. रेल सेवा (पेंशन) नियम, 1993 में :—

(क) नियम 20 में, दूसरे परंतुक में, खंड (ख) के पश्चात्, निम्नलिखित खंड अंतःस्थापित किया जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

"(ग) नियम 34 के अधीन खंड (ख) के उपबंध सिविल पेंशन के लिए सेना में सेवा की संगणना करने के मामलों में लागू नहीं होंगे।"

(ख) नियम 34 के उपनियम (1) में, "अठारह वर्ष की आयु प्राप्त कर लेने के पश्चात्" शब्दों का लोप किया जाएगा।

[सं. एफ.(ई)III/2004/पीएन1/21(संशोधन)]

वी.एन. माथुर, सचिव, रेलवे बोर्ड और पदेन अपर सचिव

टिप्पण :—रेल सेवा (पेंशन) नियम, 1993 अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 930(अ) तारीख 3 दिसंबर, 1993 द्वारा प्रकाशित किए गए थे और तत्पश्चात् का.आ. सं. 511 तारीख 25 फरवरी, 1995, का.आ. सं. 1026, तारीख 15 अप्रैल, 1995, का.आ. सं. 544, तारीख 15 जुलाई, 2000, का.आ. सं. 1553, तारीख 15 जुलाई 2000, का.आ. सं. 1081, तारीख 30 मार्च, 2002, का.आ. सं. 1214(अ) तारीख 4 नवम्बर, 2004, और का.आ. सं. 1488(अ) तारीख 30 दिसंबर, 2003 अधिसूचनाओं द्वारा संशोधित किए गए थे।

व्याख्यात्मक ज्ञापन :

रेल सेवा (पेंशन) नियम, 1993, 3 दिसम्बर, 1993 को प्रवृत्त हुए थे। नियम 20 और 34 के अधीन सैन्य सेवा में अठारह वर्ष पूरे करने से पूर्व की गई सेवा की अवधि सिविल पेंशन के लिए अर्हक नहीं है। रेलवे बोर्ड ने 13 अक्टूबर, 2004 को यह प्रस्ताव अनुमोदित किया था जिससे सिविल/रेलवे में सेवारत सैन्य कर्मी सैन्य सेवा में अठारह वर्ष पूरे होने से पहले उनके द्वारा सेवा की अवधि को सिविल पेंशन के लिए अर्हक सेवा में गिने जाने के लिए पात्र हो सकें। इसे और अधिक लाभप्रद और साम्यापूर्ण बनाने के उद्देश्य से उक्त नियमों का संशोधन 22 नवम्बर, 2003 से भूतलक्षी प्रभाव से किया जाता है।

2. उक्त नियमों का भूतलक्षी प्रभाव से संशोधन किसी भी कर्मचारी के हित पर कोई प्रतिकूल प्रभाव नहीं डालेगा।

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS

(Railway Board)

New Delhi, the 7th December, 2004

S.O. 3191.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Railway Services (Pension) Rules, 1993, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Railway Services (Pension) (Amendment) Rules, 2004.
(2) They shall be deemed to have come into force from the 22nd day of November, 2003.
2. In the Railway Services (Pension) Rules, 1993 :—
(a) in rule 20, in the second proviso, after clause (b), the following clause shall be inserted, namely :—
“(c) the provisions of clause (b) shall not be applicable in the cases of counting of military service for civil pension under rule 34”,
(b) in rule 34, in sub-rule (1), the words “after attaining the age of eighteen years” shall be omitted.

[No. F(E)III/2004/PN1/21 (Amendment)]

V. N. MATHUR, Secy. Railway Board and Ex-Officio Addl. Secy.

Note :—The principal rules were published *vide* notification number S.O. 930(E) dated, the 3rd December, 1993 and subsequently amended *vide* notifications number S.O. 511 dated the 25th February, 1995, S.O. 1026 dated the 15th April, 1995, S.O. 1544 dated the 15th July, 2000, S.O. 1553 dated the 15th July, 2000, S.O. 1081 dated the 30th March, 2002, S.O. 1214 (E) dated the 4th November, 2004 and S.O. 1488(E) dated the 30th December, 2003.

Explanatory memorandum :

The Railway Services (Pension) Rules, 1993 came into force with effect from the 3rd December, 1993. Under Rules 20 & 34, the period of service rendered before attaining eighteen years in military service, does not qualify for the civil pension. The Railway Board, on 13th October, 2004, approved the proposal so as to enable the military personnel serving on the Civil/Railway side to count the period of service rendered by them in the military service before attaining eighteen years towards qualifying service for the civil pension. The amendment to the aforesaid rules is given retrospective effect from 22nd November, 2003 in order to make it more beneficial and equitable.

2. The amendment to the aforesaid rules with retrospective effect will not adversely affect the interest of any employee.

उपभोक्ता मामले, खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

(खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3192.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उप नियम (4) के अनुसरण में उपभोक्ता मामले, खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय (खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण विभाग) के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन भारतीय खाद्य निगम के निम्नलिखित कार्यालय, जिसके 80 प्रतिशत से अधिक कर्मचारीवृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को अधिसूचित करती है :—

1. भारतीय खाद्य निगम,
जिला कार्यालय,
देहरादून (उत्तरांचल)।

[सं. ई-11011/1/2001-हिन्दी]

अनीता चौधरी, संयुक्त सचिव

**MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND
PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION**

(Department of Food and Public Distribution)

New Delhi, the 6th December, 2004

S. O. 3192.—In pursuance of Sub-rule (4) of rule 10 of the Official Language (use for official purpose of the Union) Rules, 1976 the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of Food Corporation of India under the administrative control of the Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution (Deptt. of Food and Public Distribution), where of more than 80% of staff have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi :—

1. Food Corporation of India,
District Office,
Dehradun (Uttaranchal).

[No. E-11011/1/2001-Hindi]

ANITA CHAUDHARY, Jt. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3193.— केन्द्रीय सरकार राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उप नियम (4) के अनुसरण में उपभोक्ता मामले, खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय (खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण विभाग) के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम के निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों, जिनके 80 प्रतिशत से अधिक कर्मचारीवृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को अधिसूचित करती है :—

1. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस,
मऊनाथ भंजन, श्री प्लेस के समीप, आजमगढ़ रोड,
मऊनाथ भंजन-275101
2. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस,
कुकरा रोड,
मुजफ्फरनगर-251001
3. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
एन.बी.आई.यू.पी.एस.आई.डी.सी.,
प्लॉट नं 1, (पो.आ. पी.एस.एल.)
नैनी-211016 (इलाहाबाद)
4. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस, बस्ती,
प्लास्टिक काम्पलेक्स,
पो.आ.पुरानी बस्ती,
बस्ती-272001
5. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस,
सहारनपुर-1,
जनता रोड, सहारनपुर-247001
6. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस,
शाहगंज रेलवे क्रासिंग के पास,
चीनी मिल शाहगंज के सामने,
जिला जौनपुर-223101
7. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस, फैजाबाद,
नवीन मंडी, रायबरेली रोड, फैजाबाद-224001
8. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस, शाहजहाँपुर
कृषि उत्थान मंडी समिति,
रोजा, शाहजहाँपुर-242001
9. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस,
गोरखपुर, नाकाहा जंगल, रेलवे स्टेशन,
उर्वरक फैक्ट्री, गोरखपुर-273001
10. केन्द्रीय भंडारण निगम,
सेन्ट्रल वेअरहाउस, हरदोई,
132 के.बी. पावर स्टेशन,
लखनऊ रोड, हरदोई-241001

[सं. ई-11011/1/2001-हिन्दी]

अनीता चौधरी, संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th December, 2004

S. O. 3193.—In pursuance of Sub-rule (4) of rule 10 of the Official Language (use for official purpose of the Union) Rules, 1976 the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of Central Warehousing Corporation Under the administrative control of the Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution (Deptt. of Food and Public Distribution), where of more than 80% of staff have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi :—

1. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse, Maunath
Bhajan, Near Shree Place,
Azamgarh,
Maunath Bhanjan-275101
2. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse, Muzaffarnagar,
Muzaffarnagar-251001
3. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse,
NBIUPSIC,
Plot NO. D-1, (Post—P.S.L.,)
Naini-211010 (Allahabad)
4. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse, Basti,
Plastic Complex, P.O. Purani Basti,
Basti-272001
5. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse,
Saharanpur-1,
Janta Road, Saharanpur-247001
6. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse,
Shahganj,
Infront of Sugar Mills, Shahganj,
Distt. Jaunpur-223101
7. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Construction Wing, Faizabad
New Mandi, Rai Bareli Road,
Faizabad-224001
8. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse,
Shahjahanpur,
Krishi Utathan Mandi Samiti,
Rozai Shahjahanpur-242001
9. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse, Gorakhpur,
Nakaha Jungal Rly. Station,
Fertilizer Factory, Gorakhpur-273001

10. Central Warehousing Corporation,
Central Warehouse, Hardoi,
132-K.B. Power Station,
Lucknow Road, Hardoi-241001

[No. E-11011/1/2001-Hindi]

ANITA CHAUDHARY, Jt. Secy.

कोयला मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3194.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को प्रतीत होता है कि इससे उपायधन अनुसूची में उल्लेखित भूमि में कोयला अभिप्राप्त किए जाने की संभावना है;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है:

2. इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले रेखांक सं. डब्ल्यूसीएल/सी-1 (ई) III/जेजेएनआर/712-0104, तारीख 10 जनवरी, 2004 का निरीक्षण वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड (राजस्व विभाग), कोल इस्टेट, सिविल लाइन्स, नागपुर-440001 (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कलेक्टर, यवतमाल (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाऊस स्ट्रीट कोलकाता के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है;

3. इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाली भूमि में हितबद्ध सभी व्यक्ति उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उपधारा (7) में विनिर्दिष्ट सभी नक्शों, चार्टों और अन्य दस्तावेजों को इस अधिसूचना के राजपत्र के प्रकाशन की तारीख से 90 दिनों के भीतर भासाधक अधिकारी/विभागाध्यक्ष (राजस्व) वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, कोल इस्टेट, सिविल लाइन्स, नागपुर-440001 (महाराष्ट्र) को भेज सकेंगे।

अनुसूची

कोलार-पिंपरी डीप विस्तारित खंड

वनी उत्तरी क्षेत्र

जिला-यवतमाल (महाराष्ट्र)

(रेखांक सं. डब्ल्यू सी एल/सी-1(ई)/III
जेजेएनआर/712-0104 तारीख 10 जनवरी, 2004)

“भाग-क”

क्रम सं.	ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी सर्फिल संख्यांक	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र हेक्टर में	टिप्पणी
1	गोवरी	31	वनी	यवतमाल	75.00	भाग
2	कोलेरा	32	वनी	यवतमाल	156.00	भाग

क्रम	ग्राम का	पटवारी	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र	टिप्पणी
सं.	नाम	सर्किल	संख्यांक		हेक्टर	में
3	पिंपरी	32	वनी	यवतमाल	30.00	भाग
4	ब्राम्हणी	32	वनी	यवतमाल	8.00	भाग

कुल क्षेत्र : 269.00 हेक्टर (लगभग)

“भाग-ख”

क्रम	ग्राम का	पटवारी	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र	टिप्पणी
सं.	नाम	सर्किल	संख्यांक		हेक्टर	में
1	अहेरी	32	वनी	यवतमाल	43.52	भाग

कुल क्षेत्र : 43.52 हेक्टर (लगभग)

“भाग-ग”

क्रम	ग्राम का	पटवारी	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र	टिप्पणी
सं.	नाम	सर्किल	संख्यांक		हेक्टर	में
1	अहेरी	32	वनी	यवतमाल	55.48	भाग
2	बोरगांव	33	वनी	यवतमाल	60.00	भाग

कुल क्षेत्र : 115.48 हेक्टर (लगभग)

कुल क्षेत्र भाग क, ख और ग = 269 + 43.52 + 115.48 उ 428.00 हेक्टर (लगभग) या

1057.588 एकड़ (लगभग)

सीमा वर्णन :—

भाग 'क'

- क-ख : रेखा बिन्दु 'क' से आरम्भ होती है और ग्राम गोवारी, कोलेरा, और पिंपरी से होते हुए ग्राम पिंपरी और अहेरी की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा से होती हुई जाती है और बिन्दु 'ख' पर मिलती है।
- ख-ग : रेखा ग्राम पिंपरी से होती हुई जाती है तथा ग्राम पिंपरी और कोलेरा की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा से होती हुई बिन्दु 'ग' पर मिलती है।
- ग-घ : रेखा ग्राम कोलेरा, ब्राम्हणी और गोवारी से होती हुई बिन्दु 'घ' पर मिलती है।
- घ-क : रेखा ग्राम गोवारी से होती हुई जाती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु 'क' पर मिलती है।

भाग 'ख'

- ड-च : रेखा बिन्दु 'ड' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम अहेरी से होती हुई वर्धा नदी के दक्षिण किनारे से होती हुई जाती है और बिन्दु 'च' पर मिलती है।
- च-छ-ज-ड : रेखा ग्राम अहेरी से होती हुई बिन्दु 'छ' और 'ज' को स्पर्श करती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु 'ड' पर मिलती है।

भाग 'ग'

- च-झ : रेखा बिन्दु 'च' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम अहेरी से होती हुई वर्धा नदी के दक्षिण किनारे से होती हुई जाती है और बिन्दु 'झ' पर मिलती है।
- झ-ञ : रेखा ग्राम अहेरी तथा बोरगांव की सम्मिलित सीमा से होकर ग्राम बोरगांव से होती हुई जाती है और बिन्दु 'ञ' पर मिलती है।
- ञ-ट : रेखा ग्राम बोरगांव तथा जुनाड़ की सम्मिलित सीमा से होकर ग्राम बोरगांव से होती हुई जाती है और बिन्दु 'ट' पर मिलती है।
- ट-च : रेखा ग्राम बोरगांव तथा अहेरी से होती हुई जाती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु 'च' पर मिलती है।

[संख्या 43015/15/2004-पी०आर०आई०डब्ल्यू०]

राजीव शर्मा, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF COAL

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S.O. 3194.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands mentioned in the schedule hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal therein.

2. The plan bearing No. C-I (E) III/JJNR/712-0104 dated the 10th January, 2004 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Western Coalfields Limited (Revenue Department), Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001 or in the office of the Collector, Yavatmal (Maharashtra) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta.;

3. All persons interested in the lands covered by this notification shall deliver all maps, charts and other documents referred to in sub-section (7) of section 13 of the said Act to the Officer-in-Charge Head of the Revenue Department, Western Coalfields, Limited, Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001, within ninety days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

Schedule
Kolar—Pimpri Deep Extension Block

Wani North Area

District—Yavatmal (MAHARASHTRA)

(Plan No. C-I (E) III/JJNR/712-0104, dated the 10th January, 2004).

Serial number	Name of village	Patwari circle number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
PART-A						
1	Gowari	31	Wani	Yavatmal	75.00	Part
2	Kolera	32	Wani	Yavatmal	156.00	Part
3	Pimpri	32	Wani	Yavatmal	30.00	Part
4	Bramhni	32	Wani	Yavatmal	8.00	Part
					Total Area	269.00
PART-B						
1	Aheri	32	Wani	Yavatmal	43.52	Part
					Total Area	43.52
PART-C						
1	Aheri	32	Wani	Yavatmal	55.48	Part
2	Borgaon	33	Wani	Yavatmal	60.00	Part
					Total Area :	115.48
					Total Area of part A, B and C = 269.00 + 43.52 + 115.48 = 428.00 hectares (approximately) or = 1057.588 acres (approximately)	

Boundary description :—

PART-A

- A-B : Line starts from point 'A' and passes through villages Gowari, Kolera, and Pimpri and passes along the common village boundary of villages Pimpri and Aheri and meets at point 'B'
- B-C : Line passes through village Pimpri and meets at Point 'C' on common village boundary of villages Pimpri and Kolera.
- C-D : Line passes through villages Kolera, Bramhni, and Govari and meets at point 'D'
- D-A : Line passes through village Gowari and meets at starting point 'A'

PART-B

- E-F : Line starts from point 'E' and passes through village Aheri along the southern bank of Wardha river and meets at point 'F'.
- F-G-H-E : Line passes through village Aheri at point 'G'-'H' and meets at starting point 'E'.

PART-C

- F-I : Line starts from point 'F' and passes through village Aheri along the southern bank of Wardha river and meets at point 'I'.
- I-J : Line passes through common boundary of villages Aheri and Borgaon and then passes through village Borgaon and meets at point 'J'.
- J-K : Line passes through common boundary of villages Borgaon and Junad and passes through village Borgaon and meets at point 'K'.
- K-F : Line passes through villages Borgaon and Aheri and meets at starting point 'F'

[No. 43015/15/2004/PRIW]
RAJIV SHARMA, Jt. Secy.

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 13 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3195.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मुन्द्रा (गुजरात) से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉरपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में, जो इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री रामकरण शर्मा, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, मुन्द्रा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉरपोरेशन लिमिटेड, मकान संख्या 1418, सेक्टर-6, बहादुरगढ़-124507, जिला झज्जर (हरियाणा) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	असरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
1	2	3	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. शिवपुरी		8119(स.नदी)	0	30	02
		8114(स.भूमि)	0	03	73
		8113	0	15	32
		8112	0	02	81
		8115	0	00	20
		8111	0	13	59
		8110	0	01	21
		8109	0	21	90
		8096	0	05	41
		8098	0	02	87
		8097	0	18	59
		8079	0	13	14
		8073	0	02	42
		8075	0	02	73
		8076	0	02	91
		8077	0	07	12
		8078	0	02	05
		8063	0	00	83
		8056/8470	0	01	59
		8056/8469	0	25	53
		8062	0	00	29
		8056	0	03	94
		8041	0	06	97
		8040	0	06	08
		8037	0	18	24
		8029	0	02	83
		8034	0	38	62
		8035	0	12	91
		7919	0	30	34
		7924	0	19	67
		7925	0	00	75
		7926	0	21	39

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	असरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	शिवपुरी (जारी...)	7927	0	10	59
		7928	0	00	20
		7817(स.रास्ता)	0	02	14
		7406	0	13	16
		7398	0	04	09
		7405	0	11	84
		7402	0	16	43
		7399	0	00	20
		7420	0	14	73
		7479	0	14	51
		7421/1(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.)	0	01	50
		7421/2			
		7378(स.रास्ता)	0	02	82
		7372/2(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.)	0	03	04
		7372/1			
		7371	0	09	29
		7371/2(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.)			
		7370	0	09	55
		7369	0	11	47
		7349	0	08	62
		5519	0	08	87
		5517	0	05	10
		5518	0	07	75
		5516	0	00	20
		5521	0	14	00
		5515	0	09	81
		5547	0	03	06
		5545/8736	0	00	42
		5545	0	19	63
		5557(स.नाला)	0	03	39
		5557/8734	0	03	69
		5565	0	07	68
		5566	0	08	44
2.	देही				

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	असरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	ढोडी (जारी...)	5568	0	05	29
		5572	0	21	19
		5670	0	10	91
		5669	0	14	38
		5642	0	08	10
		5667	0	01	05
		5665	0	02	96
		5644	0	01	62
		5664	0	07	16
		5654	0	21	55
		5157	0	09	53
		5158	0	06	43
		5151	0	05	67
		5149	0	09	24
		5150	0	00	20
		5147	0	09	72
		5118	0	14	82
		5122	0	10	04
		5117	0	00	20
		5125	0	00	84
		5124	0	06	30
		5127	0	07	72
		5128	0	11	80
		5051	0	00	55
		5050	0	12	61
		5048	0	05	57
		5047	0	07	10
		5046	0	04	70
		5045	0	02	15
		5010(स.भूमि)	0	04	28
		4956	0	01	73
		4957	0	09	36
		4958	0	01	09
		4965	0	05	88

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	असरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
2. दोडी (जारी...)		4964	0	08	75
		4966	0	06	61
		4963	0	00	20
		4967	0	03	43
		4969	0	07	45
		4968	0	00	20
		4938(स.चरागाह)	0	18	59
		4810/8672/1	0	15	20
		4810/8672/2			
		4936	0	13	02
		4920	0	23	63
		4919/8668	0	06	05
		4919	0	27	08
		4811	0	16	42
		4812	0	00	73
		4813/8675	0	09	84
		4813	0	04	65
		4809/1	0	29	07
		4809/1/1			
		4809/2	0	02	93
		4827			
		4763/1	0	04	35
		4763/2			
		4580	0	26	62
		4617	0	04	10
		4618	0	08	40
		4628	0	02	65
		4627	0	04	61
		4629	0	01	14
		4626	0	13	54
		4634	0	11	33
		4635/8589	0	12	92
		4636	0	26	52
		4638	0	09	40
3. उदावाला					

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	असरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
1	2	3	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
4	5	6			
3.	उदावाला (जारी...)	4639	0	26	11
		4732	0	01	45
		4732/8371/1	}	18	27
		4732/8371/2			
		4731	0	12	72
		4730	0	08	62
		4727	0	20	61
		4713	0	08	66
		4716	0	12	03
		4718	0	09	64
		4685	0	02	72
		4689	0	13	20
		4688	0	11	19
		4686	0	00	20
		4709(स.चरागाह)	0	00	85
		4705	0	14	91
		4703	0	00	99
		4702	0	04	44
		4706	0	07	62
4.	निठारा	1509	0	16	79
		1504	0	06	80
		1503	0	07	63
		1502	0	01	71
		1498	0	07	46
		1497	0	06	84
		1496	0	05	45
		1495	0	10	18
		1494	0	08	72
		1493	0	09	42
		1492(स.नाला)	0	06	80
		1486(स.चयगाह)	0	05	03
		1482	0	20	23
		1483	0	21	34

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
4.	बिलरा (जारी...)	1484(स.चरागाह)	0	27	21
		1434	0	18	01
		1438	0	04	30
		1364	0	14	84
		1363	0	02	76
		1362	0	05	21
		1371	0	16	17
		1372	0	08	79
		1376	0	09	72
		1380/1576	0	08	97
		1380	0	01	28
		1382	0	08	95
		1383	0	11	66
		1386	0	05	68
		1387	0	05	86
		1421	0	00	93
		1390	0	02	66
		1395(स.चरागाह)	0	34	16
		1392	0	08	32
		1397	0	28	00
		1398(स.चरागाह)	0	08	79
		1400(स.चरागाह)	0	06	12
		1401(स.नाला)	0	03	18
5.	लेटकाबास	1352(स.बहेड)	0	07	58
		1322(स.नाला)	0	07	71
		1321(स.बहेड)	0	01	82
		1326	0	23	63
		1327	0	02	97
		1328	0	02	86
		1329	0	00	20
		1329/1			
		1330	0	03	75
		1379/1406(स.नाला)	0	04	58

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
1	2	3	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
5.	लेटकाबास (जारी...)	1379/1427	0	00	80
		1378	0	08	87
		1376	0	00	30
		1377	0	04	21
		1381(स.चरागाह)	0	03	86
		1092	0	00	20
		1093/1495	0	06	70
		1093	0	07	85
		1084(स.चरागाह)	0	04	32
		1082	0	16	93
		1083(स.बहेड)	0	06	09
		1076	0	14	55
6.	लाखनी	549	0	35	38
		552	0	09	21
		550(स.नाला)	0	03	60
		551	0	05	07
		545(स.चरागाह)	0	03	71
		538	0	13	15
		541	0	06	37
		540	0	04	31
		540/613(स.चरागाह)	0	03	77
		539(स.चरागाह)	0	11	45
		557(स.चरागाह)	0	30	09
7.	कांट	1891(स.चरागाह)	0	01	94
		1890(स.नाला)	0	02	28
		1889(स.चरागाह)	0	44	55
		1893(स.चरागाह)	0	32	32
		1894(स.चरागाह)	0	13	37
		1898(स.चरागाह)	0	10	94
		1899(स.चरागाह)	0	09	95
		1900	0	00	38
		1901/2102	0	02	84
		1971/2102			

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
7. काट (जारी...)		1901/2103	0	02	31
		1907	0	06	09
		1915	0	01	09
		1914	0	09	94
		1937	0	04	39
		1938	0	00	20
		1936	0	03	92
		1939	0	00	56
		1952	0	09	71
		1967	0	05	59
		1961	0	00	83
		1962	0	04	08
		1958	0	06	84
		1975	0	05	79
		1980	0	06	14
		1982	0	03	40
		1985	0	05	17
		1988	0	04	48
		1990	0	04	21
		1996	0	05	77
		1994	0	01	45
		2000	0	03	84
		2004	0	03	31
		2008	0	06	73
		2009/1	0	02	21
		2009/2			
		2011	0	06	09
		2024	0	10	76
		2022	0	04	50
		2023(स.नाला)	0	05	45
		1460(स.नाला)	0	00	58
8. देवडा		2526	0	05	24
		2525	0	15	39
		2524	0	02	07

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	देवन (जारी...)	2527	0	13	62
		2516	0	00	20
		2515	0	00	20
		2514	0	00	48
		2513	0	02	75
		2511	0	03	52
		2510	0	04	37
		2539	0	05	50
		2540	0	22	17
		2541	0	19	62
		2543	0	04	91
		2544	0	05	89
		2545	0	27	72
		2547	0	15	23
		1184	0	21	78
		1189	0	01	42
		1182(स.नदी)	0	04	47
		1201	0	16	30
		1202	0	03	41
		1200	0	17	42
		1209	0	00	20
		1199	0	12	09
		1216	0	11	82
		1219	0	18	37
		1221(स.रास्ता)	0	02	83
		1289	0	30	94
		1290	0	07	16
		1288	0	09	59
		1281	0	16	20
		1291	0	00	20
		1282	0	00	39
		1280	0	11	82
		1277	0	09	62
		1276	0	00	21
		1319(स.रास्ता)	0	02	41
		1375	0	12	18

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	मालिक का नाम	असरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एकर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	देवन (जारी...)	1377	0	23	09
		1378	0	00	58
		1392	0	23	09
		1402	0	13	44
		1403	0	02	03
		1401	0	05	82
		1406	0	04	77
		1407	0	04	02
		1413	0	15	03
		1410/2915	0	03	11
	1412(स.रास्ता)		0	02	40
		1410	0	00	20
		1435	0	11	35
	1435/2927		0	00	20
		940	0	04	11
		939	0	11	81
		941	0	13	61
		943	0	04	21
		933	0	16	57
		944	0	07	39
		945	0	06	15
9.	मायोकावास	921	0	01	84
	950मिन(स.भूमि)		0	05	57
		920	0	02	76
		912	0	09	10
	911(स.भूमि)		0	01	28
		913	0	03	61
	914(स.भूमि)		0	01	08
	910(स.भूमि)		0	13	14
	890(स.रास्ता)		0	03	61
		874	0	02	10
		873	0	17	87
		870	0	05	25

तहसील : शाहपुरा		जिला : जयपुर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
1	2	3	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
			4	5	6
9.	माथोकाबास (जारी...)	872	0	00	24
		871	0	03	37
		867	0	11	29

[फा. सं. आर-31015/60/2004-ओ.आर-II]

हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 13th December, 2004

S. O. 3195.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Mundra (Gujarat) to Delhi, a pipeline should be laid by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Shivdutt Gaur, Competent Authority, Mundra-Delhi Petroleum product pipeline Project, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, 16 Krishna Vihar, Near Narayan Niwas, Gopalpura Bye-pass Road, Jaipur - 302018 (Rajasthan).

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. SHIVPURI		8119(G/L River)	0	30	02
		8114(G/L)	0	03	73
		8113	0	15	32
		8112	0	02	81
		8115	0	00	20
		8111	0	13	59
		8110	0	01	21
		8109	0	21	90
		8096	0	05	41
		8098	0	02	87
		8097	0	18	59
		8079	0	13	14
		8073	0	02	42
		8075	0	02	73
		8076	0	02	91
		8077	0	07	12
		8078	0	02	05
		8063	0	00	83
		8056/8470	0	01	59
		8056/8469	0	25	53
		8062	0	00	29
		8056	0	03	94
		8041	0	06	97
		8040	0	06	08
		8037	0	18	24
		8029	0	02	83
		8034	0	38	62
		8035	0	12	91
		7919	0	30	34
		7924	0	19	67
		7925	0	00	75
		7926	0	21	39

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	SHIVPURI (Contd...)	7927	0	10	59
		7928	0	00	20
		7817(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	14
		7406	0	13	16
		7398	0	04	09
		7405	0	11	84
		7402	0	16	43
		7399	0	00	20
		7420	0	14	73
		7479	0	14	51
		7421/1(P.W.D.)	0	01	50
		7421/2			
		7378(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	62
		7372/2(P.W.D.)	0	03	04
		7372/1			
		7371	0	09	29
		7371/2(P.W.D.)			
		7370	0	09	55
		7369	0	11	47
		7349	0	05	62
		5519	0	08	87
		5517	0	05	10
		5516	0	07	75
		5516	0	00	20
		5521	0	14	00
		5515	0	09	81
		5547	0	03	06
		5545/6736	0	00	42
		5545	0	19	63
2.	TODI	5557(G/L Nala)	0	03	39
		5557/8734	0	03	69
		5565	0	07	68
		5566	0	06	44

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
2. TODI (Contd...)		5568	0	05	29
		5572	0	21	19
		5670	0	10	91
		5669	0	14	38
		5642	0	08	10
		5667	0	01	05
		5665	0	02	96
		5644	0	01	62
		5664	0	07	16
		5654	0	21	55
		5157	0	09	53
		5158	0	06	43
		5151	0	05	67
		5149	0	09	24
		5150	0	00	20
		5147	0	09	72
		5118	0	14	82
		5122	0	10	04
		5117	0	00	20
		5125	0	00	84
		5124	0	06	30
		5127	0	07	72
		5128	0	11	80
		5051	0	00	55
		5050	0	12	61
		5048	0	05	57
		5047	0	07	10
		5046	0	04	70
		5045	0	02	15
		5010(G/L)	0	04	28
		4956	0	01	73
		4957	0	09	36
		4958	0	01	09
		4965	0	05	88

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
2. TODI (Contd....)					
		4964	0	08	75
		4966	0	06	61
		4963	0	00	20
		4967	0	03	43
		4969	0	07	45
		4968	0	00	20
		4938(G/L Pasture)	0	18	59
		4810/8672/1 }	0	15	20
		4810/8672/2 }			
		4936	0	13	02
		4920	0	23	63
		4919/8668	0	06	05
		4919	0	27	08
		4811	0	16	42
		4812	0	00	73
		4813/8675	0	09	84
		4813	0	04	65
		4809/1 }	0	29	07
		4809/1/1 }			
		4609/2 }			
		4827	0	02	93
		4763/1 }	0	04	35
		4763/2 }			
		4580	0	26	62
		4617	0	04	10
		4618	0	08	40
		4628	0	02	65
		4627	0	04	61
		4629	0	01	14
		4626	0	13	54
		4634	0	11	33
		4635/8589	0	12	92
		4636	0	26	52
		4638	0	09	40
3. UDAWALA					

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN			
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
3. UDAWALA (Contd...)		4639	0	26	11	
		4732	0	01	45	
		4732/8371/1	0	18	27	
		4732/8371/2				
		4731	0	12	72	
		4730	0	08	62	
		4727	0	20	61	
		4713	0	08	66	
		4716	0	12	03	
		4718	0	09	64	
		4685	0	02	72	
		4689	0	13	20	
		4688	0	11	19	
		4686	0	00	20	
		4709(G/L Pasture)	0	00	85	
		4705	0	14	91	
		4703	0	00	99	
		4702	0	04	44	
		4706	0	07	62	
	4. NITHARA		1509	0	16	79
			1504	0	06	80
			1503	0	07	63
			1502	0	01	71
			1498	0	07	46
			1497	0	06	84
			1496	0	05	45
		1495	0	10	18	
		1494	0	08	72	
		1493	0	09	42	
	1492(G/L Nala)	0	06	80		
	1486(G/L Pasture)	0	05	03		
	1482	0	20	23		
	1483	0	21	34		

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
4.	NITHARA (Contd...)	1484(G/L Pasture)	0	27	21
		1434	0	18	01
		1438	0	04	30
		1364	0	14	84
		1363	0	02	76
		1362	0	05	21
		1371	0	16	17
		1372	0	08	79
		1376	0	09	72
		1380/1576	0	08	97
		1380	0	01	28
		1382	0	08	95
		1383	0	11	66
		1386	0	05	68
		1387	0	05	86
		1421	0	00	93
		1390	0	02	66
		1395(G/L Pasture)	0	34	16
		1392	0	08	32
		1397	0	28	00
		1398(G/L Pasture)	0	08	79
		1400(G/L Pasture)	0	06	12
		1401(G/L Nala)	0	03	18
5.	LETKABAS	1352(G/L Behad)	0	07	58
		1322(G/L Nala)	0	07	71
		1321(G/L Behad)	0	01	82
		1326	0	23	63
		1327	0	02	97
		1328	0	02	86
		1329	0	00	20
		1329/1			
		1330	0	03	75
		1379/1406(G/L Nala)	0	04	58

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN			
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
5. LETKABAS (Contd...)		1379/1427	0	00	80	
		1378	0	08	87	
		1376	0	00	30	
		1377	0	04	21	
		1381(G/L Pasture)	0	03	86	
		1092	0	00	20	
		1093/1495	0	06	70	
		1093	0	07	85	
		1084(G/L Pasture)	0	04	32	
		1082	0	16	93	
		1083(G/L Behad)	0	06	09	
		1076	0	14	55	
	6. LAKHANI		549	0	35	38
			552	0	09	21
			550(G/L Nala)	0	03	60
			551	0	05	07
		545(G/L Pasture)	0	03	71	
		538	0	13	15	
		541	0	06	37	
		540	0	04	31	
		540/613(G/L Pasture)	0	03	77	
		539(G/L Pasture)	0	11	45	
		557(G/L Pasture)	0	30	09	
7. KANT			1891(G/L Pasture)	0	01	94
			1890(G/L Nala)	0	02	28
			1889(G/L Pasture)	0	44	55
			1893(G/L Pasture)	0	32	32
			1894(G/L Pasture)	0	13	37
		1898(G/L Pasture)	0	10	94	
		1899(G/L Pasture)	0	09	95	
		1900	0	00	38	
		1901/2102	0	02	84	
		1971/2102				

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	KANT (Contd...)	1901/2103	0	02	31
		1907	0	06	09
		1915	0	01	09
		1914	0	09	94
		1937	0	04	39
		1938	0	00	20
		1936	0	03	92
		1939	0	00	56
		1952	0	09	71
		1967	0	05	59
		1961	0	00	83
		1962	0	04	08
		1958	0	06	84
		1975	0	05	79
		1980	0	06	14
		1982	0	03	40
		1985	0	05	17
		1988	0	04	48
		1990	0	04	21
		1996	0	05	77
		1994	0	01	45
		2000	0	03	84
		2004	0	03	31
		2008	0	06	73
		2009/1	0	02	21
		2009/2			
		2011	0	06	09
		2024	0	10	76
		2022	0	04	50
		2023(G/L Nala)	0	05	45
		1460(G/L Nala)	0	00	58
8.	DEVAN	2526	0	05	24
		2525	0	15	39
		2524	0	02	07

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
8. DEVAN (Contd...)		2527	0	13	62
		2516	0	00	20
		2515	0	00	20
		2514	0	00	48
		2513	0	02	75
		2511	0	03	52
		2510	0	04	37
		2539	0	05	50
		2540	0	22	17
		2541	0	19	62
		2543	0	04	91
		2544	0	05	89
		2545	0	27	72
		2547	0	15	23
		1184	0	21	78
		1189	0	01	42
		1182(G/L River)	0	04	47
		1201	0	16	30
		1202	0	03	41
		1200	0	17	42
		1209	0	00	20
		1199	0	12	09
		1216	0	11	82
		1219	0	18	37
		1221(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	83
		1289	0	30	94
		1290	0	07	16
		1288	0	09	59
		1281	0	16	20
		1291	0	00	20
		1282	0	00	39
		1280	0	11	82
		1277	0	09	62
		1276	0	00	21
		1319(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	41
		1375	0	12	18

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
8. DEVAN (Contd...)					
		1377	0	23	09
		1378	0	00	58
		1392	0	23	09
		1402	0	13	44
		1403	0	02	03
		1401	0	05	82
		1406	0	04	77
		1407	0	04	02
		1413	0	15	03
		1410/2915	0	03	11
		1412(G/L Cart track)	0	02	40
		1410	0	00	20
		1435	0	11	35
		1435/2927	0	00	20
		940	0	04	11
		939	0	11	81
		941	0	13	61
		943	0	04	21
		933	0	16	57
		944	0	07	39
		945	0	06	15
9. MADHOKABAS					
		921	0	01	84
		950Min(G/L)	0	05	57
		920	0	02	76
		912	0	09	10
		911(G/L)	0	01	28
		913	0	03	61
		914(G/L)	0	01	08
		910(G/L)	0	13	14
		890(G/L Cart track)	0	03	61
		874	0	02	10
		873	0	17	87
		870	0	05	25

Tehsil : SHAHAPURA		District : JAIPUR	State : RAJASTHAN		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
9. MADHOKABAS (Contd...)		872	0	00	24
		871	0	03	37
		867	0	11	29

[No. R-31015/60/2004-O.R.-II]
HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3196.—केन्द्रीय सरकार पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन ((भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में नीचे दी गई अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (1) में उल्लिखित व्यक्ति को उक्त अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (2) में की तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में उल्लिखित क्षेत्र की बाबत, उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी के कृत्यों का पालन करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है अर्थात :-

अनुसूची

प्राधिकारी का नाम और पता	क्षेत्र
श्री परितोष चक्रबोर्ती, सेवानिवृत्त “पुरना किरन” शिवराम सरानी लेक टाउन, डाकघर: भक्ती नगर, जिला जलपाईगुड़ी - 734425 पश्चिम बंगाल	पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/1/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]
एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3196.—In pursuance of clause(a) of section 2 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby Authorizes the person mentioned in column (1) of the Schedule given below to perform the functions of the Competent Authority under the said Act, in respect of the areas mentioned in column (2) of the said Schedule namely:-

SCHEDULE

Name and Address of the Authority	Area of Jurisdiction
Shri Paritosh Chakraborty, (Retired)., "Purna Kiran", Shib Ram Sarani, Lake Town, P.O. Bhakti Nagar, Dist. Jalpaiguri, Pin: 734425. West Bengal.	State of West Bengal

[No. O-12016/1/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3197.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : मोरिगाँव		जिला : मोरिगाँव		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	सोनाबारि डिक्चार्गे	7	0	20	53
		292	0	00	51
		5	0	02	24
		1	0	06	73
2	मिकिर बोरी	376	0	15	54
		375	0	02	99
		372	0	03	26
		371	0	00	28
3	हाति हलगं	308	0	00	25
		307	0	01	63
		306	0	15	40
		305	0	06	04
		283	0	65	28
		285	0	03	75
		250	0	08	17
		288	0	40	31
		321	0	04	95
		264	0	12	93
		276	0	08	47
		277	0	05	61
270	0	27	16		

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/2/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 200

S. O. 3197.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle :Morigaon		District : Morigaon		State : Assam	
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Chounabari Dikchang	7	0	20	53
		292	0	00	51
		5	0	02	24
		1	0	06	73
2	Mikir Bori	376	0	15	54
		375	0	02	99
		372	0	03	26
		371	0	00	28
3	Hati Hulong	308	0	00	25
		307	0	01	63
		306	0	15	40
		305	0	06	04
		283	0	65	28
		285	0	03	75
		250	0	08	17
		288	0	40	31
		321	0	04	95
		264	0	12	93
		276	0	08	47
		277	0	05	61
	270	0	27	16	

[No. O-12016/2/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]

N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

4नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3198.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्यल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है :

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्यल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : मोरंगि		जिला : गोलाघाट	राज्य : असम		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	औगुरी चापरि गाँव	90/225	0	09	96
		89	0	11	78
		88	0	03	00
		90	0	14	59
		85	0	02	89
		86	0	16	62
		79	0	22	29
		18	0	00	75
		77	0	01	91
		78	0	05	27
		74	0	06	48
		71	0	00	50
		73	0	06	14
		72	0	03	27
		65	0	00	25
		47	0	01	45
		35	0	00	30
		36	0	03	26
		37	0	05	87
		39	0	09	56
		38	0	02	91
		41	0	03	26
		31	0	03	17
		42	0	01	35
		44	0	05	24
2	पंका गाँव	477	0	00	28
		473	0	06	76
		474	0	01	76
		472	0	01	11
		461	0	05	83
		327	0	00	76
		463	0	10	33
		356	0	05	50
		355	0	19	00
		359	0	05	10
		84	0	04	60

सर्कल : मोरंगि		जिला : गोलाघाट		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
2	पंका गाँव	81	0	02	58
		82	0	09	42
		77	0	04	03
		76	0	08	94
		73	0	01	75
		75	0	05	88
		68	0	01	72
		457	0	00	30
		460	0	00	28
		67	0	13	97
3	मोरन बिल गाँव	11	0	00	25
		10	0	05	64
		7	0	04	54
		8	0	02	31
4	कलियनी गाँव	144	0	01	87
		141	0	06	76
		142	0	00	25
		143	0	00	40
		140	0	12	16
		138	0	04	06
		1	0	05	40

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/3/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3198.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Morangi		District : Golaghat	State : Assam				
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area				
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
1.	Ouguri Chapari Gaon	90/225	0	09	96		
		89	0	11	78		
		88	0	03	00		
		90	0	14	59		
		85	0	02	89		
		86	0	16	62		
		79	0	22	29		
		18	0	00	75		
		77	0	01	91		
		78	0	05	27		
		74	0	06	48		
		71	0	00	50		
		73	0	06	14		
		72	0	03	27		
		65	0	00	25		
		47	0	01	45		
		35	0	00	30		
		36	0	03	26		
		37	0	05	87		
		39	0	09	56		
		38	0	02	91		
		41	0	03	26		
		31	0	03	17		
		42	0	01	35		
		44	0	05	24		
		2.	Panka Gaon	477	0	00	28
				473	0	06	76
474	0			01	76		
472	0			01	11		
461	0			05	83		
327	0			00	76		
463	0			10	33		
356	0			05	50		
355	0			19	00		
359	0			05	10		
84	0			04	60		
81	0			02	58		
82	0			09	42		
77	0			04	03		
76	0			08	94		
73	0	01	75				

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	Panka Gaon	75	0	05	88
		68	0	01	72
		457	0	00	30
		460	0	00	28
		67	0	13	97
3.	Maran Bill Gaon	11	0	00	25
		10	0	05	64
		7	0	04	54
		8	0	02	31
4.	Kaliyani Gaon	144	0	01	87
		141	0	06	76
		142	0	00	25
		143	0	00	40
		140	0	12	16
		138	0	04	06
		1	0	05	40

[No. O-12016/3/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3199.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतिबॉ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : बोकसघाट		जिला : जोलाघाट		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	कलियनी ब्लाक	153	0	07	82
		187	0	03	85
		186	0	06	77
		185	0	07	52
		184	0	02	25
		180	0	04	75
		181	0	09	98
		182	0	00	86
		291	0	14	45
		282	0	00	37
		176	0	01	62
		175	0	07	27
		320	0	00	30
		321	0	03	01
		161	0	10	31
		162	0	08	49
		163	0	01	40
		344	0	06	85
		377	0	01	88
		164	0	00	99
		363	0	00	31
		144	0	12	93
		143	0	16	65
		60	0	00	42
		61	0	06	01
		68	0	09	25
		67	0	04	75
		103	0	00	50
		69	0	09	50
		111	0	06	54
		110	0	17	35
		265	0	02	11
		353	0	00	85
		264	0	05	97
		105	0	09	45
		347	0	00	71

सर्कल : बोकाघाट		जिला : गोलाघाट		राज्य : असम			
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल				
			हेक्टेयर	एकर	वर्ग मीटर		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
1	कलियबौ ब्लाक	109	0	01	67		
		108	0	06	96		
		107	0	04	63		
		106	0	14	74		
		102	0	03	80		
		84	0	23	16		
		98	0	01	14		
		287	0	01	99		
		91	0	03	02		
		97	0	00	29		
		92	0	03	34		
		94	0	09	13		
		93	0	02	17		
		207	0	02	10		
		2	नूमालीगद बगीचा	14/178	0	53	19
				192	0	01	55
11	0			01	64		
15	0			37	95		
17	1			66	54		
8	0			86	89		
18	1			15	06		
9	1			67	35		
9/179	0			12	83		
6	0			70	40		
70	0			00	75		
3	बिहरा ग्रंट गावें	33	1	64	30		
4	मिकिर सागं बगीचा	1/129	0	01	53		
		1/128	0	02	94		
		1/122	0	04	05		
		1/120	0	01	01		
		1/121	0	08	57		
		1/119	0	00	31		
		1/272	0	00	66		
		1/252	0	01	24		
		1/100	0	05	21		
		1/96	0	04	69		
		1/98	0	00	40		
		1/95	0	00	49		
		1/97	0	03	96		
		1/71	0	01	42		
		1/70	0	05	46		
		1/68	0	01	11		

सर्कल : बोकायाट			जिला : मोलायाट			सकय : असम		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाज सं.	क्षेत्रफल			रेकॉर्डर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
			4	5	6			
1	2	3	4	5	6			
5	बर चापरि बगीचा	56	0	00	86			
		57	0	05	52			
		53	0	00	67			
		54	0	35	54			
		62	0	00	55			
		46	0	36	91			
		45	0	00	44			
		59	0	01	30			
		78	0	38	40			
		47	0	00	30			
		74	0	02	93			
		229	0	00	32			
		75	0	08	45			

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/4/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3199.—[Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati - 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle :Bokahat		District : Golaghat	State : Assam		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Kaliyani Block	153	0	07	82
		187	0	03	85
		186	0	06	77
		185	0	07	52
		184	0	02	25
		180	0	04	75
		181	0	09	98
		182	0	00	86
		291	0	14	45
		282	0	00	37
		176	0	01	62
		175	0	07	27
		320	0	00	30
		321	0	03	01
		161	0	10	31
		162	0	08	49
		163	0	01	40
		344	0	06	85
		377	0	01	88
		164	0	00	99
		363	0	00	31
		144	0	12	93
		143	0	16	65
		60	0	00	42
		61	0	06	01
		68	0	09	25
		67	0	04	75
		103	0	00	50
		69	0	09	50
		111	0	06	54
		110	0	17	35
		265	0	02	11
		353	0	00	85
		264	0	05	97
		105	0	09	45
		347	0	00	71
		109	0	01	67
		108	0	06	96
		107	0	04	63
		106	0	14	74

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq. mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Kaliyani Block	102	0	03	80
		84	0	23	16
		98	0	01	14
		287	0	01	99
		91	0	03	02
		97	0	00	29
		92	0	03	34
		94	0	09	13
		93	0	02	17
		207	0	02	10
		14/178	0	53	19
		192	0	01	55
		11	0	01	64
		15	0	37	95
2	Numallgarh Bagisha	17	1	66	54
		8	0	86	89
		18	1	15	06
		9	1	67	35
		9/179	0	12	83
		6	0	70	40
		70	0	00	75
		33	1	64	30
		1/129	0	01	53
		1/128	0	02	94
		1/122	0	04	05
		1/120	0	01	01
		1/121	0	08	57
		1/119	0	00	31
3	Bihora Grant	1/272	0	00	66
		1/252	0	01	24
4	Mikr Chang Bagisha	1/100	0	05	21
		1/96	0	04	69
		1/98	0	00	40
		1/95	0	00	49
		1/97	0	03	96
		1/71	0	01	42
		1/70	0	05	46
		1/68	0	01	11

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
5	Borchapari Bagisha	56	0	00	66
		57	0	05	52
		53	0	00	67
		54	0	35	54
		62	0	00	55
		46	0	36	91
		45	0	00	44
		59	0	01	30
		78	0	38	40
		47	0	00	30
		74	0	02	93
		229	0	00	32
		75	0	08	45

[No. O-12016/4/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3200.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : सोनापुर		जिला : कामरूप		राज्य : असम		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल			
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	कचुतली पथार	743	0	01	06	
		615	0	03	77	
		741	0	19	69	
		742	0	20	18	
		58	0	06	54	
2	दिगाटु पार	561	0	07	40	
		555	0	09	62	
		551	0	12	03	
		554	0	01	35	
		553	0	03	42	
		550	0	01	65	
		530	0	03	44	
		514	0	01	51	
		513	0	00	17	
		412	0	32	75	
		510	0	03	80	
		345	0	00	31	
3	जुवाइ	481	0	12	93	
		533	0	15	07	
		531	0	02	28	
		530	0	05	83	
		573	0	03	25	

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/5/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3200.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle :Sonapur		District : Kamrup	State : Assam		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Kashutali Pathar	743	0	01	06
		615	0	03	77
		741	0	19	69
		742	0	20	18
		58	0	06	54
2	Digaru Par	561	0	07	40
		555	0	09	62
		551	0	12	03
		554	0	01	35
		553	0	03	42
		550	0	01	65
		530	0	03	44
		514	0	01	51
		513	0	00	17
		412	0	32	75
		510	0	03	80
		345	0	00	31
3	Jubai	481	0	12	93
		533	0	15	07
		531	0	02	28
		530	0	05	83
		573	0	03	25

[No. O-12016/5/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3201.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगढ़ शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्येल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : मार्वेन		जिला : मारीगाँव		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	बिहिता गाँव	35	0	21	24
		40	0	77	80

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/6/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, ज्वर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3201.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati - 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle :Mayong		District : Morigaon		State : Assam	
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Bihita Gaon	35	0	21	24
		40	0	77	60

[No. O-12016/6/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3202.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्यल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्यल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : बिजनी		जिला : बंगाईगावें		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	धुपुरी	4	0	19	71
		13	0	23	88
		14	0	03	76
		43	0	03	37
		194	0	13	73
		196	0	54	58
		212	0	16	94
		211	0	07	31
		197	0	13	48
		213	0	12	77
		247	0	03	97
2	फागुना गाँव	246	0	08	46
		245	0	00	40
		165	0	00	70
		105	0	03	88
3	सौरगुरी(भाग 1)	104	0	12	61
		470	0	04	00
4	दाउतिझार	98	0	00	53
		111	0	10	14
		112	0	11	98
		113	0	09	34
		114	0	10	41
		118	0	08	40
		117	00	03	57
		119	0	25	59
		120	0	04	17
		534	0	09	16
		122	0	02	84
673	0	99	73		

सर्कल : बिजनी		जिला : बंगालगुरु		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
4	दाउतिझार	577	0	03	35
		574	0	18	67
		107	0	19	10
		127	0	00	25
		115	0	00	29
		116	0	00	28

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/8/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3202.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati - 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Bijini		District : Bongaigaon	State : Assam		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Dhupuri		4	0	19	71
		13	0	23	88
		14	0	03	76
		43	0	03	37
		194	0	13	73
		196	0	54	58
		212	0	16	94
		211	0	07	31
		197	0	13	48
		213	0	12	77
2 Phagunagaon		247	0	03	97
		246	0	08	46
		245	0	00	40
		165	0	00	70
3 Sauraguri(Part 1)		105	0	03	88
4 Dauthijhar		104	0	12	61
		470	0	04	00
		96	0	00	53
		111	0	10	14
		112	0	11	98
		113	0	09	34
		114	0	10	41
		118	0	06	40
		117	0	03	57
		119	0	25	59
		120	0	04	17
		534	0	09	16
		122	0	02	84
		673	0	99	73
		577	0	03	35
		574	0	18	67
		107	0	19	10
		127	0	00	25
	115	0	00	29	
	116	0	00	28	

[No. O-12016/8/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]

N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3203.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्यल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्यल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : : बंगाईगावें		जिला : बंगाईगावें		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	नयापारा (भाग 2)	30	0	00	29
		29	0	00	38
		31	0	00	95
		32	0	01	77
		33	0	05	75
		36	0	02	42
		37	0	03	63
		35	0	07	75
		38	0	05	99
		34	0	00	29
2	खारिजा दलायगाँव	503	0	09	08
		502	0	12	74
		501	0	10	78
		498	0	01	80

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/8/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 200

S. O. 3203.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Bongaigaon		District : Bongaigaon	State : Assam		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Nayapara(Part 2)	30	0	00	29
		29	0	00	38
		31	0	00	95
		32	0	01	77
		33	0	05	75
		36	0	02	42
		37	0	03	63
		35	0	07	75
		38	0	05	99
		34	0	00	29
		503	0	09	08
2	Kharija Dalaigaon	502	0	12	74
		501	0	10	78
		498	0	01	80

[No. O-12016/8/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

4 नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3204.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूनालीमद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसके इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूनालीमद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, हाक : उदयन विहार, मुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : बरबनर(सरभोग)		जिला : बरपेछा		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एकर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	चेंगेलीया(भाग 1)	170	0	30	18
		169	0	09	09
		168	0	08	21
		167	0	05	62
		166	0	02	92
		165	0	00	83
		564	0	02	14
		556	0	00	25
2	बन्दरखोवा(भाग 1)	297	0	00	25
		296	0	00	42
		295	0	05	46
		293	0	04	19
		292	0	04	13
		294	0	04	16

सर्कल : बरबजर(सरमोन)		जिला : बरपेट	राज्य : असम		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एकर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	बन्दरखोवा(भाग 1)	316	1	32	64
		315	0	12	02
		248	0	01	22
		249	0	05	23
		351	0	10	44
		352	0	16	03
		353	0	13	06
		250	0	08	84
		354	0	04	70
		254	0	03	16
		357	0	00	28
		181	0	00	35
		364	0	03	60
		180	0	03	45
3	बन्दरखोवा(भाग 2)	179	0	03	33
		178	0	02	76
		378	0	02	70
		382	0	01	29
		381	0	01	57
		380	0	01	06
		177	0	01	89
		176	0	01	24
		379	0	01	03
		In Bath By. No. 379 & 176	00	01	41
		175	0	02	51
		140	0	13	10
		515	0	27	46
		533	0	00	31
4	धुलुकाकोबा	534	0	00	28
		517	0	74	47
		660	0	71	69
		661	0	01	77
		706	0	02	20
		707	0	00	69
		363	0	01	00
		504	0	00	25
		577	0	04	23
		578	0	02	77
5	कलबारी(भाग 1)	579	0	01	64
		576	0	00	55
		576	0	00	55
6	कलबारी(भाग 2)	576	0	00	55
		576	0	00	55
7	दहलापारी	576	0	00	55
		576	0	00	55

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/9/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखू, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3204.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Barnagar(Sorbhog)		District : Barpeta		State : Assam	
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Chengeliya(Part 1)		170	0	30	18
		169	0	09	06
		168	0	08	21
		167	0	05	62
		166	0	02	92
		165	0	00	83
		564	0	02	14
		556	0	00	25
		297	0	00	25
		296	0	00	42
		295	0	05	46
		293	0	04	19
		292	0	04	13
		294	0	04	16
		316	1	32	64
2. Bandarkhowa(Part 1)		315	0	12	02
		248	0	01	22
		249	0	05	23
		351	0	10	44
		352	0	16	03
		353	0	13	66
		250	0	06	84
		354	0	04	70
		254	0	03	16
		357	0	00	28

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
3	Bandarkhowa(Part 2)	181	0.	00	35
		384	0	03	00
		180	0	03	45
		179	0	03	33
		178	0	02	78
		378	0	02	70
		382	0	01	29
		381	0	01	57
		380	0	01	86
		177	0	01	89
		176	0	01	24
		379	0	01	03
		In Betn Svy. No.379 & 175	00	01	41
		175	0	02	51
		140	0	13	10
4	Bhulukadoba	515	0	27	46
		533	0	00	31
		534	0	00	28
		517	0	74	47
		580	0	71	59
		581	0	01	77
		708	0	02	20
		707	0	00	69
		363	0	05	28
		504	0	00	25
5	Kalbari(Part 1)	577	0	04	23
6	Kalbari(Part 2)	578	0	02	77
7	Dahalapara	579	0	01	64
		578	0	00	55

[No. O-12016/9/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3205.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीगद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीगद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, मुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : : कोकराझार		जिला : कोकराझार		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	बासुगाँव टाउन (भाग 1)	693	0	13	64
2	बासुगाँव टाउन (भाग 2)	383	0	01	60
		384	0	10	30
		386	0	00	31
		405	0	00	25
3	भुटियापारा	343	0	14	51
		358	0	06	91
4	बन्दरचारा	37	0	00	25
		553	0	11	20
		40	0	09	99
		39	0	02	50
		38	0	01	34
		41	0	16	84
		33	0	11	72
		31	0	31	87
		30	0	14	17
		86	0	03	60
		87	0	02	94
		88	0	01	55
		89	0	05	90
		27	0	00	25
		90	0	01	47
		91	0	10	11
		93	0	15	12
		200	0	00	28
		199	0	00	25
		198	0	00	60
		197	0	03	51
		196	0	02	30
		194	0	03	84
		94	0	00	25
		193	0	08	56
		97	0	39	14
		190	0	00	28
		188	0	00	66
		170	0	02	01
		99	0	06	42
		102	0	08	52
		103	0	19	95
		549	0	05	62
		106	0	00	28
		107	0	00	61
		105	0	02	06
		109	0	06	06
		110	0	06	59

सर्कल : : कोकराझार		जिला : कोकराझार		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
4	बन्दरघारा	111	0	01	89
		113	0	18	72
		114	0	02	86
		115	0	03	53
		55	0	16	20
5	दोलोगाँव	57	0	00	78
		56	0	01	57
		58	0	04	57
		54	0	02	28
		50	0	10	69
		64	0	00	57
		65	0	01	86
		49	0	19	64
		43	0	04	85
		42	0	03	96
		41	0	48	94
		5	0	04	70
		39	0	03	68
		6	0	02	12
		7	0	08	43
		8	0	04	73
6	फुकागाँव	10	0	01	47
		13	0	07	53
		14	0	06	63
		15	0	07	80
		185	0	08	55
		186	0	04	73
		188	0	04	34
		187	0	01	85
		189	0	03	92
		534	0	01	30
		190	0	09	78
		191	0	08	53
		192	0	02	81
		178	0	06	49
		177	0	07	45
		180	0	13	74
7	कोकराझार गाँव	4	0	04	02
		3	0	75	72
		2	0	06	27
		1	0	56	27
		719	0	06	07
8	कोकराझार बगिचा	712	0	10	97
		713	0	15	80

सर्कल : : कोकराझार.		जिला : कोकराझार		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8	कोकराझार बगिचा	714	0	08	21
		715	0	00	69
		710	0	10	75
		708	0	04	51
		707	0	01	66
		691	0	22	37
		689	0	10	64

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/10/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखुप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3205.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Kokrajhar		District : Kokrajhar	State : Assam		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Basugaon Town (Part-I)	693	0	13	64
2	Basugaon Town (Part-II)	383	0	01	60
		384	0	10	30
		386	0	00	31
		405	0	00	25
3	Bhutiya para	343	0	14	51
		358	0	06	91
4	Bandarchara	37	0	00	25
		553	0	11	20
		40	0	09	99
		39	0	02	50
		38	0	01	34
		41	0	16	84
		33	0	11	72
		31	0	31	87
		30	0	14	17
		86	0	03	60
		87	0	02	94
		88	0	01	55
		89	0	05	80
		27	0	00	25
		90	0	01	47
		91	0	10	11
		93	0	15	12
		200	0	00	28
		199	0	00	25
		193	0	00	80
		197	0	08	51
		196	0	02	30
		194	0	03	84
		94	0	00	25
		193	0	08	56
		97	0	39	14
		190	0	00	28
		188	0	00	86
		170	0	02	01
		99	0	06	42
		102	0	08	52
		103	0	19	95
		549	0	05	62
		106	0	00	28
		107	0	00	61
		105	0	02	06
		109	0	06	06
		110	0	08	59

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
4	Bandarchara	111	0	01	88
		113	0	18	72
		114	0	02	88
		115	0	03	53
5	Dologan	55	0	18	20
		57	0	00	78
		58	0	01	57
		58	0	04	57
		54	0	02	28
		50	0	10	69
		84	0	00	57
		85	0	01	88
		49	0	19	64
		43	0	04	85
		42	0	03	96
		41	0	48	94
		5	0	04	70
		39	0	03	88
		6	0	02	12
		7	0	08	43
		8	0	04	73
		10	0	01	47
		13	0	07	53
		14	0	09	03
6	Fukagaon	15	0	07	80
		185	0	08	55
		186	0	04	73
		188	0	04	34
		187	0	01	85
		189	0	03	92
		534	0	01	30
		190	0	09	78
		191	0	08	53
		192	0	02	81
		178	0	08	49
		177	0	07	45
		180	0	13	74
7	Kokrajhar Gaon	4	0	04	02
		3	0	75	72
		2	0	08	27
		1	0	56	27
8	Kokrajhar Bagisha	719	0	08	07
		712	0	10	97
		713	0	15	80

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
8	Kokrajhar Bagisha	714	0	08	21
		715	0	00	69
		710	0	10	75
		708	0	04	51
		707	0	01	86
		691	0	22	37
		689	0	10	64

[No. O-12016/10/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3206.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि बूमालीनद (असम) से शिलिबुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसके इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ सार्वजनिकता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशांत कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, बूमालीनद शिलिबुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, गुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अवसृष्टी

सर्कल : दोटमा		जिला : कोकराझार		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाता सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एकर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	प्रतापखोदा (भाग 1)	450	0	14	59
		451	0	25	21
		453	0	02	06
		452	0	06	63
		455	0	00	99
		677	0	00	25
		328	0	00	25
		324	0	03	43
		323	0	05	07
		320	0	07	82
2	महिष बथान	319	0	04	40
		318	0	02	62
		317	0	01	19
		316	0	11	01
		315	0	13	79
		314	0	00	51
		313	0	16	10

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/10/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3206.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prasanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati - 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Dima		District : Kokrajhar	State : Assam		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Pratapkhata (Part-I)	450	0	14	59
		451	0	25	21
		453	0	02	06
		452	0	06	63
		455	0	00	99
		677	0	00	25
		328	0	00	25
2	Mahish Bathan	324	0	03	43
		323	0	05	07
		320	0	07	82
		319	0	04	40
		318	0	02	62
		317	0	01	19
		316	0	11	01
		315	0	13	79
		314	0	00	51
		313	0	16	10

[No. O-12016/10/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3207.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूनालीमद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आदेश की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हिस्सेदार है, उस तारीख से जिससे इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ सार्वजनिक जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सहाय प्रधिकारी, नूनालीमद शिलिगुरी पेट्रोलियम प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक : उदयन विहार, नुवाकोटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : : भौरागुरी		जिला : कोकराझर	राज्य : असम		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	पटियाल पारा	295	0	00	83
2	पटियाल पारा अहम्मदपुर	63	0	13	88
		64	0	00	25
		61	0	07	87
		60	0	13	93
		59	0	09	91
		54	0	11	74
		53	0	10	24
		52	0	03	42
		39	0	03	88
		40	0	00	53
		38	0	07	44
		36	0	04	05
		35	0	04	39
		25	0	03	75
		24	0	19	64
		23	0	05	92
		22	0	02	61
		21	0	00	25
		20	0	02	79
		11	0	00	61
		5	0	05	70
		108	0	00	25
		4	0	13	56
		109	0	12	55
3	घोनापारा	110	0	00	33
		31	0	00	46
		30	0	14	92
		32	0	00	27
		33	0	12	86
		34	0	00	30
		35	0	11	13
		36	0	03	06
		37	0	13	26
		38	0	00	25
		48	0	01	93
		50	0	09	87
		51	0	25	24
		52	0	00	26
		56	0	00	25
		39	0	00	25
		80	0	04	84
4	टोकियामरी (भाग 1)	166	0	38	09
		263	0	75	32
		269	0	0	71

सर्कल : : भौरान्तुरी		जिला : कोकराझर	राज्य : असम		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
4	टोकियामरी (भाग 1)	268	0	00	50
		172	0	00	25
		256	0	11	16
		255	0	13	82

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/10/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3207.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Bhowraguri		District : Kokrajhar	State : Assam		
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Patiyal Para	295	0	00	83
2	Patiyal Para Ahammadpur	63	0	13	88
		64	0	00	25
		61	0	07	87
		60	0	13	93
		59	0	09	91
		54	0	11	74
		53	0	10	24
		52	0	03	42
		39	0	03	68
		40	0	00	53
		38	0	07	44
		36	0	04	05
		35	0	04	39
		25	0	03	75
		24	0	19	64
		23	0	05	92
		22	0	02	61
		21	0	00	25
		20	0	02	79
		11	0	00	61
		5	0	05	70
		108	0	00	25
		4	0	13	56
		109	0	12	55
		110	0	00	33
3	Ghonapara	31	0	00	46
		30	0	14	92
		32	0	00	27
		33	0	12	86
		34	0	00	30
		35	0	11	13
		36	0	03	06
		37	0	13	26
		38	0	00	25
		48	0	01	93
		50	0	09	87
		51	0	25	24
		52	0	00	26
		56	0	00	25
		39	0	00	25
		80	0	04	84
4	Tokeyamari (Part-I)	166	0	38	09
		263	0	75	32

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
4	Tokeyamari (Part-I)	269	0	00	71
		268	0	00	50
		172	0	00	25
		266	0	11	16
		255	0	13	82

[No. O-12016/10/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3208.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि नूमालीमद (असम) से शिलिगुरी (पश्चिम बंगाल) तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री प्रशान्त कुमार बरूआ, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, नूमालीमद शिलिगुरी पाइपलाइन प्रसारण परियोजना, आर्थेल इंडिया लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन मुख्यालय, डाक उद्यान विहार, मुवाहाटी, पिन - 781171. (असम) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

सर्कल : : गोसाईगँव		जिला : कोकराझार		राज्य : असम	
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	रीमीझिमि घाट (भाग 2)	4	0	22	74
		3	0	17	17
2	रीमीझिमि घाट (भाग 1)	390	0	27	55
		389	0	02	61
		370	0	09	05
		369	0	13	28
		368	0	06	37
		371	0	06	93
		312	0	07	31
		313	0	15	63
		361	0	29	34
		360	0	11	02
		315	0	02	98
		299	0	07	00
		316	0	03	04
		317	0	01	17
		298	0	02	70
		318	0	06	20
		296	0	00	56
		294	0	05	86
		295	0	00	43
		297	0	03	11
		285	0	01	32
		282	0	03	32
		284	0	04	28
		283	0	04	92
		225	0	07	93
		224	0	02	53
		226	0	02	42
		231	0	00	25
		227	0	01	84
		230	0	00	63
3	श्रीरामपुर	130	0	08	52
		505	0	01	65
		504	0	01	10
		503	0	17	27
		502	0	05	52
		501	0	07	27
		22	0	00	25
		21	0	05	64
		436	0	08	16
		435	0	09	47
		434	0	17	09
		9	0	27	75
		432	0	28	60

सर्कल : : मोसाईनीय		जिला : कोकराझर	सकल : असल		
क्रम सं.	गाँव का नाम	पान सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	बर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
4	हामरा	187	0	44	73
5	सिमुलटापु (भाग 3)	86	0	22	64
		84	0	00	60
		19	0	60	01
		82	1	59	26
		60	0	00	83
		69	0	07	25
		18	0	00	25
		13	0	03	41
		49	0	01	22
		12	0	02	07
6	सिमुलटापु (भाग 2)	123	0	06	37
		121	0	25	95
		120	0	05	89
		101	0	06	39
		261	0	06	05
		127	0	01	64
		100	0	06	11
		258	0	24	57
		257	0	02	20
		70	0	10	66
		36	0	12	37
		255	0	00	25
		34	0	10	06
		195	0	16	27
		254	0	19	79
		253	0	03	03
		252	0	07	41
		251	0	02	94
		41	0	03	07
		24	0	02	22
		26	0	02	03
		35	0	06	27
		247	0	01	74
7	सिमुलटापु ब्लॉक	103	0	14	73
		104	0	08	23
		101	0	11	04
		105	0	13	02
		107	0	04	49
		108	0	06	06
		190	0	01	33
		182	0	69	93
		123	0	02	47
		121	0	11	29
		140	0	03	85
		120	0	01	65

सर्कल : : गोसाईगँव		जिला : कोकराझार	राज्य : असम		
क्रम सं.	गँव का नाम	दाग सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
7	सिमुलटापु ब्लाक	118	0	03	82
		117	0	03	35
		141	0	00	43
		142	0	08	68
		143	0	03	62
		155	0	12	66
		154	0	22	86
		153	0	20	72
		152	0	05	50
		150	0	00	57
		151	0	13	4
		255	0	05	47

[फा. सं. ओ-12016/10/2004-ओ.एन.जी./डी.ओ.-IV]

एन. सी. जाखूप, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 14th December, 2004

S. O. 3208.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Numaligarh (Assam) to Siliguri (West Bengal), a pipeline should be laid by Oil India Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Prosanta Kumar Borua, Competent Authority, Numaligarh-Siliguri Pipeline Expansion Project, Oil India Limited, Pipeline Head Quarters, P.O. Udayan Vihar, Guwahati – 781171(Assam).

SCHEDULE

Circle : Gossalgau		District : Kokrajhar		State : Assam	
Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Acre	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Rimijhimi Ghat (Part- II)	4	0	22	74
		3	0	17	17
2	Rimijhimi Ghat (Part- I)	390	0	27	55
		389	0	02	61
		370	0	09	06
		369	0	13	28
		368	0	06	37
		371	0	05	93
		312	0	07	31
		313	0	15	53
		361	0	29	34
		360	0	11	02
		315	0	02	98
		299	0	07	00
		316	0	03	04
		317	0	01	17
		298	0	02	70
		318	0	06	20
		298	0	00	56
		294	0	05	86
		295	0	00	43
		297	0	03	11
		285	0	01	32
		282	0	03	32
		284	0	04	26
		283	0	04	92
		225	0	07	93
		224	0	02	53
		226	0	02	42
		231	0	00	25
		227	0	01	84
		230	0	00	63
		130	0	08	52
		3	Shri Rampur	505	0
504	0			01	10
503	0			17	27
502	0			05	52
501	0			07	27
22	0			00	25
21	0			05	64
436	0			08	16
435	0			09	47
434	0			17	09
9	0			27	75
432	0			26	60
4	Damra	187	0	44	73

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
5	Simultapu (Part-III)	88	0	22	94
		84	0	00	80
		19	0	60	01
		82	1	59	28
		60	0	00	83
		69	0	07	25
		18	0	00	25
		13	0	03	41
		49	0	01	22
		12	0	02	07
6	Simultapu (Part-II)	123	0	06	37
		121	0	25	95
		120	0	05	89
		101	0	09	39
		261	0	08	05
		127	0	01	64
		100	0	08	11
		258	0	24	57
		257	0	02	20
		70	0	10	66
		36	0	12	37
		255	0	00	25
		34	0	10	08
		195	0	16	27
		254	0	10	79
		253	0	03	03
		252	0	07	41
		251	0	02	94
		41	0	06	07
		24	0	02	22
		26	0	02	06
		35	0	00	27
		247	0	01	74
7	Simultapu Block	103	0	14	73
		104	0	08	23
		101	0	11	04
		105	0	13	02
		107	0	04	49
		108	0	08	08
		190	0	01	33
		122	0	09	93
		123	0	02	47
		121	0	11	29
		140	0	06	85
		120	0	01	65
		118	0	08	82
		117	0	08	35
		141	0	00	43
		142	0	09	68
		143	0	08	62

Sr. No	Name of the Village	Dag no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
7	Simultapu Block	155	0	12	66
		154	0	22	88
		153	0	20	72
		152	0	05	50
		150	0	00	57
		151	0	13	04
		255	0	05	47

[No. O-12016/10/2004-O.N.G./D.O.-IV]
N. C. ZAKHUP, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3209.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड की संप्रवर्तक कम्पनी मेसर्स रिलाएंस इण्डस्ट्रीज लिमिटेड के गोवा में उत्तरी/दक्षिणी अपतट में खोज ब्लॉकों और आन्ध्रप्रदेश में संरचनाओं से महाराष्ट्र राज्य में पुणे जिले के विभिन्न उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइने बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के सम्बन्ध में श्री एस. डी. भिसे सक्षम प्राधिकारी, जी. टी.आई. सी. एल. पाइपलाइन प्रयोजना, रेल इन्फोकाम, टी / 23, टेलको रोड, गवली माथा के पास, एमसीसीआय एंड ए के सामने, भोसरी पुणे 411026, महाराष्ट्र राज्य को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसूची

मंडल : खेड		जिल्हा : पुणे		राज्य : महाराष्ट्र	
गाँव का नाम	गट नं. / सब डिविजन नं.	अप आ वू अत्रित करेन के भिउ क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टर	आर	सी - आर	
1	2	3	4	5	
1) सावळेवाडी	225	00	03	98	
	219	00	46	71	
	224	00	30	20	
	1514 (पुराना नं.)	00	01	55	
	स. नं. 1514 (पुराना नं.) और 220 के बीच का गाडी रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	01	57	
	220	00	38	67	
	1412 (पुराना नं.)	00	33	11	
	1511 (पुराना नं. 209)	00	18	89	
	214	00	09	10	
	212	00	10	63	
	211	00	07	88	
	213	00	00	08	
	215	00	36	85	
	स. नं. 215 और 13 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	05	67	
	13	00	00	85	
	17/अ	00	49	64	
	17/ब (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	24	71	
	16	00	05	15	
	28 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	40	69	
	27	00	01	54	
	36	00	21	32	
	33	00	11	33	
	34	00	13	09	
2) बहुळ	415 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	01	04	00	
	स. नं. 415 और कॅनाल के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	01	54	
	स. नं. 415 और 281 के बीच का बीच का कॅनाल (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	03	12	
	281	00	26	64	
	282/2 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	11	18	
	स. नं. 282/2 और 276 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	07	51	
	276	00	01	24	
	275/अ	00	17	18	
	स. नं. 275/अ और 275/ब के बीच का गाडी रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	02	08	
	275/ब	00	18	51	
	274	00	15	03	
	256	00	19	57	

1	2	3	4	5
2) बहक (निरंतर)	1272 (पुराना नं.)	00	00	76
	स. नं. 1272 (पुराना नं.) और 284 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	03	50
	284	00	08	99
	स. नं. 284 और 257 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	05	39
	257	00	02	43
	255	00	04	50
	254	00	19	34
	253	00	30	01
	स. नं. 253 और 233 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	05	99
	स. नं. 253 और 233 के बीच का गाड़ी रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	01	44
	233	01	29	67
	1048 (पुराना नं.)	00	06	29
	1048 (पुराना नं.)	00	04	86
	स. नं. 1048 (पुराना नं.) और 78 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	12	16
	78	00	39	36
	76	00	52	84
	765 (पुराना नं.)	00	20	63
	75	00	13	03
	1256	00	33	38
	स. नं. 1258 और 1257 के बीच का रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	04	34
	1257	00	49	08
	स. नं. 1257 और 530 (पुराना नं.) के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	07	68
	530 (पुराना नं.)	00	06	40
	1029	00	23	65
	1031	00	38	99
	1030	00	14	67
	स. नं. 1030 और 1050/1 के बीच का रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	03	07
	1050	00	35	68
	स. नं. 1050/2 और 1054 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	02	90
	1054	00	29	09
	स. नं. 1054 और 1059 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	06	45
	1059	00	28	35
	1058	00	00	87
	961	00	97	73
	स. नं. 961 और 960 के बीच का फ़िल्ड चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	00	45
	960	00	18	51
	959	00	18	49
3) पिपळगाव तर्फ खेड	1847	00	50	35
	1868	00	35	56

1	2	3	4	5
3) पिपळगाव तर्फ खेड (निरंतर)	1864	00	26	31
स. नं. 1864 और 1865 के बीच का कॅनाल (कोसिंग लॅण्ड)		00	02	69
1865		00	10	10
1995		00	54	22
1872		00	13	25
1858 (गवरमेन्ट लॅण्ड)		00	04	55
1873		00	07	21
1874 (गवरमेन्ट लॅण्ड)		00	05	90
1875		00	18	39
1876		00	13	13
1877		00	04	89
1878		00	06	44
1879 (गवरमेन्ट लॅण्ड)		00	11	43
1880		00	20	25
1881		00	06	39
स. नं. 1881 और 1882 के बीच का फिल्ड चॅनल (कोसिंग लॅण्ड)		00	00	70
1882		00	18	15
1887		00	11	98
1889		00	09	37
स. नं. 1889 में बीच का फिल्ड चॅनल (कोसिंग लॅण्ड)		00	00	24
1890		00	04	91
1891		00	13	07
स. नं. 1891 और 1892 के बीच का कॅनाल (कोसिंग लॅण्ड)		00	01	30
1892		00	07	01
स. नं. 1892 और 1894 के बीच का रस्ता (कोसिंग लॅण्ड)		00	04	78
1894 (गवरमेन्ट लॅण्ड)		00	21	88
स. नं. 1894 में गाड़ी रस्ता (कोसिंग लॅण्ड)		00	03	33
1912		00	01	37
1906		00	46	36
1902		00	02	40
1904		00	02	00
1903		00	02	20
1905		00	02	20
1907		00	01	10
1908		00	00	50
स. नं. 1906 और 1972 के बीच का नाला (कोसिंग लॅण्ड)		00	04	30
1972		00	20	40
1973		00	10	23
1974		00	02	56
1975		00	08	51
1976		00	00	46
1978		00	16	85

1	2	3	4	5
3) पिंपळगाव तर्फ खेड (निरंतर)	1979	00	04	96
	1981	00	05	00
	1982	00	08	07
	स. नं. 1978 और 1982 के बीच का फिज्ड चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	00	23
	स. नं. 1978 और 1982 के बीच का फिज्ड चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	00	11
	1983	00	10	55
	1999	00	01	50
	1959	00	02	24
	1958	00	11	05
	1957	00	15	10
	1956	00	11	35
	1955	00	04	79
	1946	00	41	00
	1952/1	00	28	94
	स. नं. 1952/1 और रस्ता के बीच का चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	05	36
	स. नं. 1952/1 और 1744/1 के बीच का रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	08	68
	1744	00	47	74
	स. नं. 1744 और 1580 के बीच का फिज्ड चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	02	54
	1743	00	00	73
	1580	00	76	26
	1582	00	55	06
	1581	00	02	82
	स. नं. 1581 और 1583 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	03	15
	1583	00	07	13
	1584	00	10	99
	1579	00	00	24
	1586	00	05	33
	1587	00	02	60
	1589	00	02	05
	1590/1	00	04	56
	1590/2	00	06	59
	1591	00	03	54
	1592	00	08	44
	1593	00	22	50
	1594 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	07	17
	394/1	00	01	33
	394/2	00	16	44
	393/1ब	00	16	40
	393/1अ (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	00	48
	स. नं. 393/1अ और 393/2 के बीच का चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	05	66
	393/2	00	07	48

1	2	3	4	5
3) पिपळगाव तर्फ खेड (निरंतर)	386	00	03	74
	388	00	03	53
	385/1ब	00	04	48
	385/2	00	05	57
	385/1अ (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	04	23
	384	00	08	03
	383	00	09	16
	382	00	10	38
	363/2	00	36	31
	363/4 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	16	70
	363/3	00	01	37
	359	00	27	10
	360	00	17	17
	357	00	02	81
	361	00	38	80
	356	00	14	47
	354	00	01	49
	स. नं. 354 और 170/2 के बीच का रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	05	57
	170/2 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	14	87
	171	00	20	92
	172/अ	00	12	96
	172/ब	00	23	08
	173	00	10	42
	174	00	12	56
	175	00	13	82
	स. नं. 175 और 176 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	07	12
	176	00	15	68
	164	00	05	48
	191	00	25	82
	192	00	16	33
	193	00	26	13
	195	00	02	20
	196	00	01	70
	197	00	11	35
	198	00	05	73
	210	00	12	53
	199	00	00	08
	211	00	05	81
	212	00	07	32
	231	00	09	16
	230	00	15	56
	232	00	04	87
	235	00	26	20
	236	00	26	06

1	2	3	4	5
3) पिंपळगाव तर्फ खेड (निरंतर)	237	00	14	23
	249	00	14	50
	250	00	12	58
	258	00	02	74
	257	00	09	82
	226	00	06	86
	255	00	04	64
	254	00	10	33
	253	00	07	59
	252	00	12	24
	251	00	02	00
	गाव सीमा के पास की नदी (कोसिंग लैण्ड)	00	35	25
4) काळूस	582	00	17	51
	580	00	00	07
	581	00	22	88
	583	00	10	78
	577	00	09	97
	576	00	21	05
	575	00	07	43
	574	00	14	75
	573	00	08	10
	569	00	00	72
	524	00	24	09
	स. नं. 524 और 568/अ के बीच का गाड़ी रस्ता (कोसिंग लैण्ड)	00	01	81
	568/अ	00	96	98
	567 (गवर्मेन्ट लैण्ड)	00	22	38
	566 (गवर्मेन्ट लैण्ड)	00	08	43
	565	00	06	97
	564	00	07	11
	563	00	07	53
	562 (गवर्मेन्ट लैण्ड)	00	05	37
	561	00	03	50
	560	00	01	44
	525	00	26	52
	526	00	03	21
	527	00	23	73
	528	00	18	83
	530	00	00	35
	529	00	10	39
	स. नं. 529 और 543 के बीच का कॅनाल (कोसिंग लैण्ड)	00	02	45
	543	00	35	34
	112	00	17	32
	111	00	06	76

1	2	3	4	5
4) काबूस (निरंतर)	114	00	00	56
	110	00	05	86
	109	00	06	14
	108	00	03	56
	105	00	29	67
	106	00	00	20
	104	00	02	20
	115	00	00	23
	103	00	02	06
	102	00	11	10
	101	00	12	43
	100	00	05	99
	99	00	21	65
	96	00	13	79
स. नं. 96 और 52 के बीच का सरकारी जमीन (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	52	00	17	96
	51	00	31	27
	60	00	10	35
	49	00	07	61
	47	00	01	76
	45	00	28	38
	29	00	15	77
	21	00	58	96
	20	00	13	70
	18	00	08	62
	17	00	05	03
16 (गवर्नमेंट लैंड)	16	00	07	98
15	15	00	00	63
स. नं. 15 और 13 के बीच का कॅनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	13	00	18	79
	14	00	03	02
स. नं. 14 और 1898 के बीच का एम डी. आर. 20 (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	1898	00	00	04
	1800 (गवर्नमेंट लैंड)	00	22	11
स. नं. 1800 और 2408 के बीच का वी. आर. 115 (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	2408	00	06	38
	2407	00	01	10
	140	00	27	43
1880	115	00	03	05
1944	2408	00	00	87
स. नं. 1944 और 1981 के बीच का फ़िल्ड चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	2407	00	07	32
	140	00	01	31
1981 (गवर्नमेंट लैंड)	1880	00	17	52
2395	1944	00	07	62
	स. नं. 1944 और 1981 के बीच का फ़िल्ड चैनल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	00	62
	1981 (गवर्नमेंट लैंड)	00	08	46
	2395	00	11	00

1	2	3	4	5
4) काबूस (निरंतर)	2394	00	13	60
	2393	00	18	02
	2392	00	09	50
	2391	00	08	03
	2390	00	05	85
	2387	00	06	36
	2386	00	04	84
	2384	00	03	61
	2383	00	03	93
	2380	00	11	93
	2354	00	11	67
	स. नं. 2354 और 1017 के बीच का रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	06	50
	1017	00	01	24
	1019	00	09	10
	1020	00	12	12
	1023	00	06	18
	1022	00	19	48
	1027	00	11	81
	1063 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	20	31
	1062	00	15	20
	1061	00	12	32
	1038	00	21	75
	स. नं. 1038 और 1036 के बीच का गाड़ी रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	76
	1036	00	04	45
	1037 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	06	84
	1039	00	15	34
	1041 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	08	71
	1040	00	04	40
	1042	00	06	41
	1043	00	01	59
	1044	00	06	05
	1047	00	04	24
	1045 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	28	84
	1130 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	25	79
	1131 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	07	94
	1132 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	06	37
	1133 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	06	18
	स. नं. 1133 और 1134 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	02	39
	1134	00	04	01
	1135	00	02	75
	1136	00	06	53
	1137 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	10	89
	1138	00	04	72

1	2	3	4	5
4) काबूस (निरंतर)	1139 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	08	26
	1140	00	15	76
	1149	00	27	53
	1598 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	00	75
	स. नं. 1598 और 1142 के बीच का रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	06	37
	1142	00	01	32
	1143	00	00	50
	1596	00	27	49
	1597	00	29	81
	1722 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	11	76
	1721	00	02	20
	1720/1 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	50	97
	स. नं. 1720 /1 और 1711 के बीच का सरकारी जमीन	00	11	85
	1711	00	08	94
	1712	00	05	17
	1713	00	02	84
	1714	00	04	58
	1748	00	04	88
	1747	00	11	53
	964	00	02	95
	1746	00	03	00
	1745	00	02	33
	1744	00	03	39
	1743	00	03	29
	1741	00	16	95
	1742	00	69	60
	स. नं. 1742 में कॅनाल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	69
	गाव सीमा के पास का ओडीआर- 32 (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	03	68
5) बाकी बुदुक	401	00	00	59
	400	00	21	73
	399	00	20	71
	स. नं. 399 और 398 के बीच का कॅनाल (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	07	49
	398	00	17	53
	397	00	01	40
	402	00	08	66
	396	00	17	95
	393	00	09	92
	393	00	02	47
	391	00	15	30
	492	00	22	53
	389	00	00	50
	387	00	00	40
	390	00	03	32

1	2	3	4	5
5) बाकी बुदुक (निरंतर)	520	00	13	58
	519 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	08	36
	517	00	04	90
	518	00	02	42
	514	00	02	36
	696	00	25	01
	700	00	14	63
	701	00	10	35
	713	00	07	50
	714	00	08	54
	715	00	06	95
	719	00	09	08
	720	00	04	64
	727	00	04	73
	726	00	07	70
	724	00	11	24
	731 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	32	15
	730 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	07	84
	739	00	00	18
	740	00	03	39
	741	00	02	69
	742	00	03	84
	744	00	04	24
	745	00	03	81
	746	00	00	35
	747	00	00	46
	757	00	07	70
	758	00	03	68
	759	00	01	56
	760	00	03	23
	761	00	02	95
	763	00	08	38
	762	00	00	30
	स. नं. 763 और 771 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	06	06
	771	00	24	77
	770	00	05	84
	772	00	00	98
	स. नं. 772 और 775 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	63	69
	775	00	42	25
	स. नं. 775/ब और 782 के बीच का गाड़ी रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	03	85
	782	00	38	75
	801/अ	00	29	40
	801/ब (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	13	96

1	2	3	4	5
5) वाकी बुदुक (निरंतर)	स. न. 801/ब और 803 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	03	08
	803	00	19	52
	806	00	08	49
	807	00	07	64
	808	00	08	75
	811	00	11	18
	810	00	28	49
	स. नं. 810 और 813 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	05	45
	813	00	76	93
	815	00	06	49
	865	00	21	39
	864	00	07	98
	स. नं. 864 और 863 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	05	42
	863	00	16	13
	856	00	02	22
	862	00	35	46
	867	00	06	71
	गाव सीमा के पास की नदी (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	29	72
	515	00	07	05
	562	00	00	06
	563	00	02	87
	516	00	05	70
	513	00	04	82
	511	00	02	44
	510	00	03	28
	505	00	00	99
	504	00	00	01
	स. नं. 504 और 568 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	08	89
	568	00	02	02
	569	00	08	78
	570	00	28	57
	609	00	36	66
	612	00	20	62
	स. नं. 612 और 614 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	05	24
	614	00	18	63
	619	00	31	27
	619	00	01	78
	620	00	02	13
	623	00	02	55
	624	00	01	92
	स. नं. 624 और 630 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	07	98
	630	00	10	34

1	2	3	4	5
5) वाकी बुदुक (निरंतर)	634	00	02	07
	635	00	02	51
	684	00	46	08
	688	00	19	74
	687	00	00	04
	689	00	21	04
	स. नं. 689 और 694 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	03	48
	694	00	28	77
6) वाकी खुर्द	स. नं. 180 और 193 के बीच का नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	48
	193	00	02	50
	180पै	00	15	50
	सर्वे नंबर 180पै और 180 के बीच में एन। एच। 50 (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	40
	180	00	73	72
	सर्वे नंबर 180 में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	18
	179अ	00	14	91
	सर्वे नंबर 179 पै। और गोंब सीमा के बीच में रोड (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	02	74
7) रोहकल्ल	गाव सीमा के पास का रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	00	10
	103	00	09	91
	109	00	02	05
	108	00	32	25
	38	00	04	92
	36	00	04	73
	35	00	03	48
	34	00	02	13
	33	00	01	18
	28	00	49	81
	220 (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	01	76	35
	स. नं. 220 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	07	94
	स. नं. 220 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	11
	207	00	13	73
	107	00	38	12
	106	00	09	00
	स. नं. 107 और 80 के बीच में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	05	06
	80	00	10	54
	81	00	10	47
	83	00	07	02
	84	00	24	00
	71	00	12	27
	72	00	00	31
	70	00	36	14
	67	00	01	64
	69	00	03	75

1	2	3	4	5
7) रोहकल्ल (निरंतर)	68	00	25	76
	63	00	77	48
	64	00	00	10
	44	00	24	72
	41	00	02	71
	40	00	12	48
	39	00	13	30
8) पिंपरी खुर्द	52	00	07	83
	57	00	45	31
	58	00	17	56
	59	00	11	58
	60	00	11	76
	61	00	29	13
	62	00	04	14
	63	00	09	88
	64	00	15	33
9) गोमवाडी	18	00	66	26
	17	00	80	39
	8	00	06	74
	9	00	09	97
	16	00	53	37
	10	00	14	13
	11	00	25	01
	स. नं. 11 और 52 के बीच में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	11	59
	स. नं. 52 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	02	86
	52 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	32	02
	53 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	13	34
	54	00	34	54
	51 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	00	60
	50	00	02	12
	55	00	78	04
	56	00	43	54
10) आंबेठाण	799	00	04	75
	798	00	52	82
	723	00	10	08
	722	00	13	58
	721	00	30	44
	719	00	63	30
	717	00	04	50
	718	00	01	20
	स. नं. 718 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	02	79
	687	00	43	82
	688	00	17	52
	689	00	05	20

1	2	3	4	5
10) आंबेठाण (निरंतर)	534	00	04	50
	533	00	02	30
	536	00	03	10
	537	00	04	20
	538	00	03	02
	539	00	03	00
	540	00	03	02
	541	00	02	25
	542	00	01	50
	543	00	01	25
	544	00	01	38
	578	00	00	03
	579	00	02	63
	580	00	07	05
	615	00	62	70
	611	00	37	00
	610	00	00	02
	812 (कॉसिंग लैंड)	00	27	15
	794	00	00	75
	786	00	03	00
	531	00	03	00
	532	00	03	75
	624	00	00	05
	621	00	03	00
	620	00	08	44
	619	00	11	38
	616	00	15	63
	529	00	01	88
	530	00	00	25
	614	00	09	69
11) कोरेगाव खुर्द	गाव सीमा के पास का रस्ता (कॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	85
	102 (कॉसिंग लैंड)	00	48	61
	109	00	00	10
	110	00	60	90
	117	00	00	31
	118	00	37	67
	119	00	00	10
	122	00	22	79
	123	00	07	75
	128	00	12	21
	129	00	02	42
	130	00	04	35
	131	00	04	46
	132	00	02	89

1	2	3	4	5
11) कोरेगाव खुर्द (निरंतर)	133	00	07	05
	134	00	11	85
	135	00	12	32
	136	00	12	05
	137	00	13	74
	138	00	11	48
	139	00	00	14
	21	00	06	22
	17	00	18	26
	16	00	19	56
	स. नं. 16 और 169 के बीच में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	02	78
	170	00	00	10
	171	00	09	89
	174	00	04	57
	169	00	39	04
	262	00	26	68
	261	00	46	04
	264	00	52	62
	263	00	70	75
	340	01	24	66
	331	00	00	23
	330	00	05	98
12) शेलु	169	00	20	48
	168 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	01	45	35
	143	00	00	81
	248	00	09	25
	245 (गवर्मेन्ट लैण्ड)	00	03	33
	249 (गवर्मेन्ट लैण्ड)	00	13	47
	250 (गवर्मेन्ट लैण्ड)	00	10	00
	253	00	02	79
	254	00	32	72
	255	00	09	52
	259	00	04	87
	260	00	00	15
	224	00	06	09
	225	00	13	01
	218	00	22	99
	216	00	04	24
	219	00	09	28
	215	00	01	92
	214	00	02	16
	213	00	01	61
	212	00	07	83
	211	00	12	74

1	2	3	4	5
12) शेलु (निरंतर)	स. नं. 211 और 305 के बीच में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	11	13
	303	00	06	92
	305	00	09	52
	306	00	29	35
	302	00	00	89
	307	00	09	28
	308	00	05	71
	316	00	00	41
	309	00	06	61
	310	00	02	87
	315	00	01	37
	314	00	59	19
	312	00	07	35
	स. नं. 314 और 336 के बीच में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	22	13
	336 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	75	79
	342 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	01	14	07
	363 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	07	28
	364 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	07	90
	365 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	17	23
	359 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	77	34
	355	00	03	37
	356	00	42	76
	357	00	01	69
13) असखेड खुर्द	64	00	30	88
	स. नं. 64 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	03	76
	66	00	70	89
	166	00	09	97
	68	00	06	86
	163	00	07	66
	162	00	34	41
	164	00	34	71
	69	00	51	31
	74	00	05	96
	75	00	04	50
	76	00	05	53
	84	00	13	93
	85	00	12	95
	89	00	35	08
	91	00	33	36
	92	00	11	73
	93	00	86	38
	156	00	54	21
	स. नं. 156 में एच. डी. आर. 17 (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	16	97
	157	00	14	94

1	2	3	4	5
14) करंजविहिरे	230	00	57	13
	229	00	01	44
	233	00	39	36
	234	00	20	87
	256	00	25	24
	254	00	23	89
	257	00	28	04
	258	00	26	32
	259	00	25	96
	260	00	58	60
	281	00	19	61
	282	00	02	18
	283	00	00	33
	300 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	37	55

मंडल : मावळ

जिल्हा : पुणे

राज्य : महाराष्ट्र

1) मिडेवाडी

गाव सीमा के पास सरकारी जमीन (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)

98	00	59	71
97	00	09	92
96	00	33	95
101	00	26	47
95	00	08	10
94	00	13	05
92	00	11	42
91	00	14	07
68	00	16	94
69	00	38	43
स. नं. 69 और 70 के रस्ता (कॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	56	88
62	00	03	90
28	00	46	59
	00	47	57

2) बादलवाडी

179	00	31	47
177	00	29	60
183	00	67	23
184	00	30	43
185	00	21	68
186	00	33	01
187	00	10	49
188	00	19	38
189	00	21	04
190	00	30	10
201	00	00	46
218	00	14	59
170	00	06	88
171	00	18	41

1	2	3	4	5
2) बादलवाडी (निरंतर)	202	00	45	62
	204	00	00	43
	207	00	47	48
	206	00	30	22
	205	00	00	12
	717	00	00	19
	721	00	17	07
	720	00	05	05
	723	00	02	29
	691	00	00	40
	692	00	02	23
	693	00	02	34
	694	00	02	33
	695	00	07	34
	665	00	17	14
	स. नं. 665 में रस्ता (कोसिंग लैण्ड)	00	03	30
	स. नं. 665 में रस्ता (कोसिंग लैण्ड)	00	12	08
	648	00	52	80
	644	00	16	30
	578	00	17	73
	577	00	30	21
	568	00	33	24
	575	00	10	90
	569	00	34	40
	570	00	34	94
	556	00	14	47
	555	00	24	33
	540	00	13	58
	539	00	42	62
	538	00	03	68
	537	00	29	33
	536	00	63	59
	स. नं. 536 और 512 सरकारी जमीन (कोसिंग लैण्ड)	00	14	42
	स. नं. 536 और 512 सरकारी जमीन (कोसिंग लैण्ड)	00	18	03
	512	00	29	13
3) नक्साक उम्मे	760	00	21	25
	770	00	03	08
	771	00	01	88
	773	00	18	70
	772	00	00	36
	769	00	09	38
	768	00	02	23
	767	00	09	13
	766	00	00	57

1	2	3	4	5
3) नवलाक उम्मे (निरंतर)	708	00	03	09
	707	00	15	69
	709	00	13	67
	720	00	06	61
	721	00	00	40
	719	00	04	22
	717	00	04	50
	718	00	05	25
	716	00	20	45
	580	00	01	64
	559	01	23	73
	556	00	61	14
	555	00	09	76
	577	00	39	42
	578	00	18	00
	579	00	05	47
	580	00	29	57
	581	00	17	07
	स. नं. 580 में सरकारी जमीन (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	00	68
	स. नं. 580 में सरकारी जमीन (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	02	32	36
	465 (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	01	45
	463	00	29	82
	गाव कीया के पास सरकारी जमीन (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	03	50
4) आबिले	66	00	25	61
	67	00	19	92
	65	00	12	66
	64	00	13	33
	63	00	24	69
	62	00	54	15
	30	00	22	32
	32	00	30	94
	33	00	97	07
	26	00	78	39
	27	00	64	56
	22	00	48	90
	5	00	75	75
	6	00	56	77
	16	00	95	00
	15	00	09	68
5) निगडे	288	00	00	81
	287	00	42	71
	303	00	00	52
	295 (गवर्मेन्ट लैंड)	00	20	24
	296	01	00	72

1	2	3	4	5
5) निगडे (निरंतर)	305	01	02	43
	306	00	16	35
	स. नं. 306 और 625 के बीच में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	06	96
	625	00	05	78
	486	00	58	63
	499	00	00	30
	467	00	03	10
	498	00	26	81
	497	00	32	29
	496	00	05	22
	508	00	37	77
	स. नं. 306 और 508 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	03	53
	509	00	53	57
	544	00	00	34
	543	00	20	20
	542	00	64	93
	554	01	01	25
	534	00	45	84
	555	00	03	37
	558	00	81	25
	557	00	35	00
	453	00	00	98
6) पवलेवाडी	गाव सीमा के पास की सरकारी जमीन (गवर्नमेंट लैंड)	00	16	27
	13	01	06	49
	10	00	02	48
	12	00	18	91
	14	00	18	17
	15	00	53	36
	7	00	12	29
	16	00	45	64
	3	00	09	24
	21	00	42	43
	2	00	26	81
	1	00	52	10
7) कल्हाट	258	00	59	62
	स. नं. 258 और 262 के बीच में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	33	33
	261	00	02	64
	262	00	25	58
	263	00	36	06
	470	00	53	83
	469	00	04	64
	464	00	65	41
	485	00	53	47

1	2	3	4	5
7) कलहाट (निरंतर)	500	00	21	15
	स. नं. 485 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	03	33
	499	00	36	12
	512	00	12	56
	511	00	17	37
	510	00	20	57
	509	00	11	00
	513	00	36	21
	552	00	00	74
	553	00	41	26
	554	00	40	39
	558	00	45	40
8) मयूर	166	00	69	98
	स. नं. 165 और 16 के बीच में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	03	89
	16	00	29	40
	17	00	02	89
	18	00	56	44
	20 (गवर्मेन्ट लैण्ड)	00	65	93
	11	00	66	35
	6बी	00	22	82
	6ए	00	32	72
	5	00	10	78
	4	00	15	70
	528	00	56	38
	529	00	05	47
	525	00	21	56
	526	00	00	10
	524	00	14	42
	530	00	00	45
9) कशाक	180	00	25	10
	179	00	01	98
	181	00	93	44
	184	00	00	10
	210	00	06	37
	स. नं. 210 और 209 के बीच में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	05	25
	209	00	34	60
	208	00	39	44
	207	00	25	68
	206	00	15	49
	205	00	02	46
	204	00	32	38
	202	00	03	59
	स. नं. 202 और 421 के बीच में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैण्ड)	00	07	29
	421	00	55	59

1	2	3	4	5
9) कशाढ (निरंतर)	422	00	11	09
	423	00	45	47
	424	00	34	15
	404	00	12	45
	403	00	54	26
	402	00	18	60
	400	00	09	70
	399	00	00	66
	383	00	59	48
	384	00	04	65
	390	00	46	06
	385	00	06	98
	391	00	39	44
10) किवळे	49	00	37	17
	48	00	17	33
	51	00	73	96
	52	00	29	06
	स. नं. 52 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	08	46
	53	00	95	14
	54	00	53	20
	55	00	17	48
	स. नं. 55 और 142 के बीच में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	05	70
	स. नं. 55 और 142 के बीच में तालाब (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	18	70
	142	00	12	56
	143	00	25	44
	144	00	19	31
	140	00	07	88
	139	00	15	22
	138	00	17	19
	137	00	30	77
	141	00	13	32
	स. नं. 141 और 134 के बीच में तालाब (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	35	22
	134	00	11	45
	135	00	15	17
	133	00	47	18
	132	00	58	87
	131	00	22	33
	130	00	09	68
	174 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	24	53
11) इंगळून	48	00	76	55
	47 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	00	22	07
	39	00	02	35
	38	00	03	68
	36	00	32	65

1	2	3	4	5
11) इंगळून (निरंतर)	35	00	31	03
	स. नं. 35 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	03	98
	30	00	00	11
	29	00	06	98
	23	00	05	95
	25	00	12	50
	24	00	09	81
	21	00	02	33
	19ए	00	09	33
	20	00	03	02
	18	00	01	00
	स. नं. 20, 330 और 18 बीच में तालाब (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	04	02
	330	00	23	48
	328	00	12	70
	332	00	22	28
	325	00	06	43
	324	00	23	66
	323	00	54	41
	111	00	49	55
	109	00	47	21
	128	00	25	59
	स. नं. 128 में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	03	52
	153	00	57	97
	152	00	05	52
	160	00	11	64
	159	00	51	60
	156	00	01	19
	158	00	38	31
	157	00	25	78
	163	00	07	04
	164	00	04	46
	167	00	29	26
	165	00	19	72
	247	00	59	40
	244	00	39	94
	स. नं. 244 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	03	35
	245	00	22	50
	240	00	00	10
	242	00	26	13
	238	00	17	16
	236	00	41	66
	227	00	03	58
	स. नं. 236 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	00	27
	229	00	10	00

1	2	3	4	5
12) कुणेवाडी	स. नं. 48 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	04	26
	48	00	62	58
	49	00	18	40
	115	00	21	78
	122	00	28	21
	121	00	40	18
	120	00	26	90
	151 (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	31	80
	148	00	05	39
	149	00	00	10
	150	00	29	48
	152	00	62	62
	172 (गवर्नेट लैंड)	00	29	31
	स. नं. 172 और 173 के बीच में तालाब (गवर्नेट लैंड)	00	45	24
	आन्ध्रा लेक में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	07	45
	173	00	30	64
	175	00	83	10
	183	00	09	79
	4	01	11	98
13) मालेगांव खुर्द	गाव सीमा के पास सरकारी जमीन (गवर्नेट लैंड)	00	75	80
	24	00	12	98
	23	00	08	74
	स. नं. 12 में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	03	12
	12	00	03	92
	28	00	50	01
	स. नं. 20 में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	05	91
14) पिंपरीवाडी	स. नं. 126 में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	03	30
	126	00	86	77
	125	00	30	17
	123	00	39	53
	134	00	06	01
	135	00	26	43
	101	00	46	77
	स. नं. 100 में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	15	30
	100	00	38	61
	139	00	36	77
	140	00	45	97
	स. नं. 140 में नाला (क्रॉसिंग लैंड)	00	07	80
	141	00	55	97
	142	00	68	97
	145	00	26	69
	144	00	00	30
	143	00	08	00

1	2	3	4	5
14) पिंपरीवाडी (निरंतर)	169	00	00	75
	222	00	55	46
	171	00	00	83
	221	00	02	65
	215	00	35	72
	216	00	31	11
	220	00	39	15
	218	00	57	48
15) मालेगाव बुदुक	109	00	20	40
	111	00	05	88
	110	00	01	21
	107	00	05	12
	106	00	74	38
	105 (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	40	60
	104	00	18	42
	103	00	50	19
	59	00	63	00
	स. नं. 59 और 51 के बीच में तालाब (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	01	18	94
	51	00	13	87
	52	00	06	32
	55	00	00	10
	54	00	18	68
	178	00	12	40
	179	00	14	46
	184	00	10	43
	176	00	00	18
	182	00	15	02
	180	00	09	22
	181	00	18	32
	173	00	23	17
	172	00	23	08
	171	00	19	43
	स. नं. 171 और 165 के बीच में सरकारी जमीन (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	38	30
	165	00	77	55
	158	00	03	76
	159	00	55	41
16) सावळे	51	00	47	45
	50	00	86	11
	52	00	01	20
	72	02	25	55
	97/3	00	59	49
	97/2	00	13	32
	स. नं. 97/2 और 97/3 के बीच में रस्ता (क्रॉसिंग लॅण्ड)	00	04	82
	97/5	00	43	30

1	2	3	4	5
(6) सावळे (निरंतर)	118	00	43	58
	97/4	00	14	96
	112	00	01	26
	114	00	03	02
	113	00	10	81
	120/1	00	80	54
	120/2	00	01	54
	106	00	00	40
	87/12	00	52	45
	120/3	00	50	94
	86	00	14	56
	122	00	00	99
	121	00	11	97
	120/10	00	45	78
	120/8	00	83	86
	14 (गवर्मेन्ट लॅण्ड)	02	08	31
	156	00	22	91

[फा. सं. एल-14014/50/2004-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 15th December, 2004

S. O. 3209.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of the Natural Gas from exploration blocks in the Northern/Southern offshore of Goa and structure in Andhra Pradesh of M/s Reliance Industries Limited, the promoter company of M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited to the various Consumers of district Pune in the State of Maharashtra, a Pipeline should be laid by M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user (ROU) in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri S.D.Bhise, Competent Authority, Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited Pipeline Project, Rel Infecom, T/23 Telco Road, Near Gavali Matha, Opp MCCI&A, Bhosan, Pune - 411026, Maharashtra State.

Schedule

Mandal : Khed

District : Pune

State : Maharashtra

Village	Survey No./Sub-Division No.	Area to be acquired for ROU		
		Hectare	Are	C-Are
1	2	3	4	5
1) Sablewadi	225	00	03	98
	219	00	46	71
	224	00	30	20
	1514 (Old No.)	00	01	55
	Cart Track bet. Svy. No. 1514 (Old No.) & 220 (CROSSING LAND)	00	01	57
	220	00	38	67
	1412 (Old No.)	00	33	11
	1511 (Old No.) (209)	00	18	89
	214	00	19	10
	212	00	10	63
	211	00	07	88
	213	00	00	08
	215	00	36	85
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 215 & 13 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	67
	13	00	00	85
	17/A	00	49	64
	17/B (GOVT LAND)	00	24	71
	16	00	05	15
	28 (GOVT LAND)	00	40	69
	27	00	01	54
	36	00	21	32
	33	00	11	33
	34	00	13	09
2) Bahul	415 (GOVT LAND)	01	04	60
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 415 & Canal (CROSSING LAND)	00	01	54
	Canal bet. Survey. No. 415 & 281 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	12
	281	00	26	64
	282/2 (GOVT LAND)	00	11	18
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 282/2 & 276 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	51
	276	00	01	24
	275/A	00	17	18
	Cart Track bet. Svy. No. 275/A & 275/B (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	08
	275/B	00	18	51
	274	00	15	03
	256	00	19	57
	1272 Old No.	00	00	76
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1272 Old No. & 284 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	50

1	2	3	4	5
2) Bahul (Contd....)	284	00	08	99
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 284 & 257 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	39
	267	00	02	43
	255	00	04	50
	254	00	19	34
	253	00	30	01
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 253 & 233 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	99
	Cart Track bet. Svy. No. 253 & 233 (CROSSING LAND)	00	01	44
	233	01	29	67
	1046 (Old No.)	00	06	29
	1048 (Old No.)	00	04	86
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1048 (Old No.) & 78 (CROSSING LAND)	00	12	16
	78	00	39	36
	76	00	52	84
	765 (Old No.)	00	20	63
	75	00	13	03
	1256	00	33	38
	Road bet. Svy. No. 1256 & 1257 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	34
	1257	00	49	08
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1257 & 530 (Old No.) (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	68
	530 (Old No.)	00	06	40
	1029	00	23	65
	1031	00	38	99
	1030	00	14	67
	Road bet. Svy. No. 1030 & 1050/1 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	07
	1050	00	35	68
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1050/2 & 1054 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	90
	1054	00	29	09
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1054 & 1059 (CROSSING LAND)	00	06	45
	1059	00	28	35
	1058	00	00	87
	961	00	97	73
	Field Channel bet. Svy. No. 961 & 960 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	45
	960	00	18	51
	959	00	18	49
3) Pimpalgaon tarf Khed	1847	00	50	35
	1868	00	35	56
	1864	00	26	31
	Canal bet. Svy. No. 1864 & 1865 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	69
	1865	00	10	10

1	2	3	4	5
3) Pimpalgaon tarf Khed (Contd....)	1995	00	54	22
	1872	00	13	25
	1858 (GOVT LAND)	00	04	55
	1873	00	07	21
	1874 (GOVT LAND)	00	05	90
	1875	00	18	39
	1876	00	13	13
	1877	00	04	89
	1878	00	06	44
	1879 (GOVT LAND)	00	11	43
	1880	00	20	25
	1881	00	06	39
	Field Channel bet. Svy. No. 1881 & 1882 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	70
	1882	00	18	15
	1887	00	11	98
	1889	00	09	37
	Field Channel in Svy. No. 1889 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	24
	1890	00	04	91
	1891	00	13	07
	Canal bet. Svy. No. 1891 & 1892 (CROSSING LAND)	00	01	30
	1892	00	07	01
	Road bet. Svy. No. 1892 & 1894 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	78
	1894 (GOVT LAND)	00	21	88
	Cart Track in Svy. No. 1894 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	33
	1912	00	01	37
	1906	00	46	36
	1902	00	02	40
	1904	00	02	00
	1903	00	02	20
	1905	00	02	20
	1907	00	01	10
	1908	00	00	50
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1906 & 1972 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	30
	1972	00	20	40
	1973	00	10	23
	1974	00	02	56
	1975	00	08	51
	1976	00	00	48
	1978	00	16	85
	1979	00	04	96
	1981	00	05	00

1	2	3	4	5
3) Pimpalgaon tarf Khed (Contd....)	1982	00	08	07
	Field Channel bet. Svy. No. 1978 & 1982 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	23
	Field Channel bet. Svy. No. 1978 & 1982 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	11
	1983	00	10	55
	1999	00	01	50
	1959	00	02	24
	1958	00	11	05
	1957	00	15	10
	1956	00	11	35
	1955	00	04	79
	1946	00	41	00
	1952/1	00	28	94
	Canal bet. Svy. No. 1952/1 & Road (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	36
	Road bet. Svy. No. 1952/1 & 1744/1 (CROSSING LAND)	00	08	68
	1744	00	47	74
	Field Channel bet. Svy. No. 1744 & 1580 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	54
	1743	00	00	73
	1580	00	76	26
	1582	00	55	06
	1581	00	02	82
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1581 & 1583 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	15
	1583	00	07	13
	1584	00	10	99
	1579	00	00	24
	1586	00	05	33
	1587	00	02	60
	1589	00	02	05
	1590/1	00	04	58
	1590/2	00	06	59
	1591	00	03	54
	1592	00	08	44
	1593	00	22	50
	1594 (GOVT LAND)	00	07	17
	394/1	00	01	33
	394/2	00	16	44
	393/1B	00	16	40
	393/1A (GOVT LAND)	00	00	48
	Canal bet. Svy. No. 393/1A & 393/2 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	66
	393/2	00	07	48
	386	00	03	74
	388	00	03	53

1	2	3	4	5
3) Pimpalgaon tarf Khed (Contd....)	385/1B	00	04	48
	385/2	00	05	57
	385/1A (GOVT LAND)	00	04	23
	384	00	08	03
	383	00	09	16
	382	00	10	38
	363/2	00	36	31
	363/4 (GOVT LAND)	00	16	70
	363/3	00	01	37
	359	00	27	10
	360	00	17	17
	357	00	02	81
	361	00	38	80
	356	00	14	47
	354	00	01	49
	Road bet. Svy. No. 354 & 170/2 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	57
	170/2 (GOVT LAND)	00	14	87
	171	00	20	92
	172/A	00	12	96
	172/B	00	23	08
	173	00	10	42
	174	00	12	56
	175	00	13	82
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 175 & 176 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	12
	176	00	15	68
	164	00	05	48
	191	00	25	82
	192	00	16	33
	193	00	26	13
	195	00	02	20
	196	00	01	70
	197	00	11	35
	198	00	05	73
	210	00	12	53
	199	00	00	08
	211	00	05	81
	212	00	07	32
	231	00	09	16
	230	00	15	56
	232	00	04	87
	235	00	26	20
	236	00	26	06
	237	00	14	23

1	2	3	4	5
3) Pimpalgaon tarf Khed (Contd....)	249	00	14	50
	250	00	12	58
	258	00	02	74
	257	00	09	82
	226	00	06	86
	255	00	04	64
	254	00	10	33
	253	00	07	59
	252	00	12	24
	251	00	02	00
	River at V. B. (CROSSING LAND)	00	35	25
4) Kalus	582	00	17	51
	580	00	00	07
	581	00	22	88
	583	00	10	78
	577	00	09	97
	576	00	21	05
	575	00	07	43
	574	00	14	75
	573	00	08	10
	569	00	00	72
	524	00	24	09
	Cart Track bet. Svy. No. 524 & 568/A (CROSSING LAND)	00	01	81
	568/A	00	96	96
	567 (GOVT LAND)	00	22	38
	566 (GOVT LAND)	00	08	43
	565	00	06	97
	564	00	07	11
	563	00	07	53
	562 (GOVT LAND)	00	05	37
	561	00	03	50
	560	00	01	44
	525	00	26	52
	526	00	03	21
	527	00	23	73
	528	00	18	83
	530	00	00	35
	529	00	10	39
	Canal bet. Svy. No. 529 & 543 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	45
	543	00	35	34
	112	00	17	32
	111	00	06	76
	114	00	30	56

1	2	3	4	5
4) Kalus (Contd....)	110	00	05	86
	109	00	06	14
	108	00	03	56
	105	00	29	67
	106	00	00	20
	104	00	02	20
	115	00	00	23
	103	00	02	06
	102	00	11	10
	101	00	12	43
	100	00	05	99
	99	00	21	65
	96	00	13	79
	Govt. Land Bet. Svy. No. 96 & 52 (CROSSING LAND)	00	17	96
	52	00	31	27
	51	00	10	35
	60	00	07	61
	49	00	01	76
	47	00	28	38
	45	00	15	77
	29	00	58	98
	21	00	13	70
	20	00	08	62
	18	00	05	03
	17	00	07	98
	16 (GOVT LAND)	00	00	63
	15	00	18	79
	Canal bet. Svy. No. 15 & 13 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	02
	13	00	00	04
	14	00	22	11
	MDR-20 bet. Svy. No. 14 & 1898 (CROSSING LAND)	00	06	38
	1898	00	01	10
	1800 (GOVT LAND)	00	27	43
	VR-115 bet. Svy. No. 1800 & 2408 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	05
	2408	00	00	87
	2407	00	07	32
	140	00	01	31
	1880	00	17	52
	1944	00	07	62
	Field Channel bet. Svy. No. 1944 & 1981 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	82
	1981 (GOVT LAND)	00	08	46
	2395	00	11	00
	2394,	00	13	60

1	2	3	4	5
4) Kalus (Contd....)	2393	00	18	02
	2392	00	09	50
	2391	00	08	03
	2390	00	05	85
	2387	00	06	36
	2386	00	04	84
	2384	00	03	61
	2383	00	03	93
	2380	00	11	93
	2354	00	11	67
	Road bet. Svy. No. 2354 & 1017 (CROSSING LAND)	00	06	50
	1017	00	01	24
	1019	00	09	10
	1020	00	12	12
	1023	00	06	18
	1022	00	19	48
	1027	00	11	81
	1063 (GOVT LAND)	00	20	31
	1062	00	15	20
	1061	00	12	32
	1038	00	21	75
	Cart Track bet. Svy. No. 1038 & 1036 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	76
	1036	00	04	45
	1037 (GOVT LAND)	00	06	84
	1039	00	15	34
	1041 (GOVT LAND)	00	08	71
	1040	00	04	40
	1042	00	06	41
	1043	00	01	59
	1044	00	06	05
	1047	00	04	24
	1045 (GOVT LAND)	00	28	84
	1130 (GOVT LAND)	00	25	79
	1131 (GOVT LAND)	00	07	94
	1132 (GOVT LAND)	00	06	37
	1133 (GOVT LAND)	00	06	18
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 1133 & 1134 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	39
	1134	00	04	01
	1135	00	02	75
	1136	00	06	53
	1137 (GOVT LAND)	00	10	89
	1138	00	04	72
	1139 (GOVT LAND)	00	08	26
	1140	00	15	76

1	2	3	4	5
4) Kalus (Contd....)	1149	00	27	53
	1598 (GOVT LAND)	00	00	75
	Road bet. Svy. No. 1598 & 1142 (CROSSING LAND)	00	06	37
	1142	00	01	32
	1143	00	00	50
	1596	00	27	49
	1597	00	29	81
	1722 (GOVT LAND)	00	11	76
	1721	00	02	20
	1720/1 (GOVT LAND)	00	50	97
	Govt. Land Bet. Svy. No. 1720/1 & 1711	00	11	85
	1711	00	08	94
	1712	00	05	17
	1713	00	02	84
	1714	00	04	58
	1748	00	04	88
	1747	00	11	53
	964	00	02	95
	1746	00	03	00
	1745	00	02	33
	1744	00	03	39
	1743	00	03	29
	1741	00	16	95
	1742	00	69	60
	Canal in Svy. No. 1742 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	69
	ODR-32 at V. B. (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	68
5) Waki budurk	401	00	00	59
	400	00	21	73
	399	00	20	71
	Canal bet. Svy. No. 399 & 398 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	49
	398	00	17	53
	397	00	01	40
	402	00	08	66
	396	00	17	95
	393	00	09	92
	394	00	02	47
	391	00	15	30
	492	00	22	53
	389	00	00	50
	387	00	00	40
	390	00	03	32
	520	00	13	58
	519 (GOVT LAND)	00	08	36

1	2	3	4	5
5) Waki budurk (Contd....)	517	00	04	90
	518	00	02	42
	514	00	02	36
	696	00	25	01
	700	00	14	63
	701	00	10	35
	713	00	07	50
	714	00	08	54
	715	00	06	95
	719	00	09	08
	720	00	04	64
	727	00	04	73
	726	00	07	70
	724	00	11	24
	731 (GOVT LAND)	00	32	15
	730 (GOVT LAND)	00	07	64
	739	00	00	18
	740	00	03	39
	741	00	02	69
	742	00	03	84
	744	00	04	24
	745	00	03	81
	746	00	00	35
	747	00	00	46
	757	00	07	70
	758	00	03	68
	759	00	01	56
	760	00	03	23
	761	00	02	95
	763	00	08	38
	762	00	00	30
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 763 & 771 (CROSSING LAND)	00	06	06
	771	00	24	77
	770	00	05	84
	772	00	00	98
	Govt. Land bet. Svy. No. 772 & 775 (GOVT LAND)	00	63	69
	775	00	42	25
	Cart Track bet. Svy. No. 775/B & 782 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	85
	782	00	38	75
	801/A	00	29	40
	801/B (GOVT LAND)	00	13	96
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 801/B & 803 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	08
	803	00	19	52

1	2	3	4	5
5) Waki budurk (Contd....)	806	00	08	49
	807	00	07	64
	808	00	08	75
	811	00	11	18
	810	00	28	49
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 810 & 813 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	45
	813	00	76	93
	815	00	06	49
	865	00	21	39
	864	00	07	98
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 864 & 863 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	42
	863	00	16	13
	856	00	02	22
	862	00	35	46
	867	00	06	71
	River at V. B. (CROSSING LAND)	00	29	72
	515	00	07	05
	562	00	00	06
	563	00	02	87
	516	00	05	70
	513	00	04	82
	511	00	02	44
	510	00	03	28
	505	00	00	99
	504	00	00	01
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 504 & 568 (CROSSING LAND)	00	08	89
	568	00	02	02
	569	00	08	78
	570	00	28	57
	609	00	36	66
	612	00	20	62
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 612 & 614 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	24
	614	00	18	63
	615	00	31	27
	619	00	01	78
	620	00	02	13
	623	00	02	55
	624	00	01	92
	Govt. Land bet. Svy. No. 624 & 630 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	98
	630	00	10	34
	634	00	02	07
	635	00	02	51

1	2	3	4	5
5) Waki budurk (Contd....)	684	00	46	08
	688	00	19	74
	687	00	00	04
	689	00	21	04
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 689 & 694 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	48
	694	00	28	77
6) Waki khurd	Nala bet. Svy. No. 180 & 193 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	48
	193	00	02	50
	180P	00	15	50
	N.H.-50 Bet Svy No 180P & 180 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	40
	180	00	73	72
	Nala in Svy.No 180 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	18
	179A	00	14	91
	Road in Bet Svy No. 180P & 180 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	74
7) Rohakkal	Road at Village Boundary (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	10
	103	00	09	91
	109	00	02	05
	108	00	32	25
	38	00	04	92
	36	00	04	73
	35	00	03	48
	34	00	02	13
	33	00	01	16
	28	00	49	81
	220 (CROSSING LAND)	01	76	35
	Road in Svy. No. 220 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	94
	Road in Svy. No. 220 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	11
	207	00	13	73
	107	00	38	12
	106	00	09	00
	Road bet.Svy. No. 107 & 80 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	06
	80	00	10	54
	81	00	10	47
	83	00	07	02
	84	00	24	00
	71	00	12	27
	72	00	00	31
	70	00	36	14
	67	00	01	64
	69	00	03	75

1	2	3	4	5
7) Rohakkal (Contd....)	68	00	25	76
	63	00	77	48
	64	00	00	10
	44	00	24	72
	41	00	02	71
	40	00	12	48
	39	00	13	30
8) Pimprikhurd	52	00	07	83
	57	00	45	31
	58	00	17	56
	59	00	11	58
	60	00	11	76
	61	00	29	13
	62	00	04	14
	63	00	09	88
	64	00	15	33
9) Gonawadi	18	00	66	26
	17	00	80	39
	8	00	08	74
	9	00	09	97
	16	00	53	37
	10	00	14	13
	11	00	25	01
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 11 & 52 (CROSSING LAND)	00	11	59
	Road in Svy. No. 52 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	88
	52 (CROSSING LAND)	00	32	02
	53 (CROSSING LAND)	00	13	34
	54	00	34	54
	51 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	60
	50	00	02	12
	55	00	78	04
	56	00	43	54
10) Ambethan	799	00	04	75
	798	00	52	82
	723	00	10	08
	722	00	13	58
	721	00	30	44
	719	00	63	30
	717	00	04	50
	718	00	01	20
	Road in Svy. No. 718 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	79
	687	00	43	82
	688	00	17	52

1	2	3	4	5
10) Ambethan (Contd....)	689	00	05	20
	534	00	04	50
	533	00	02	30
	536	00	03	10
	537	00	04	20
	538	00	03	02
	539	00	03	00
	540	00	03	02
	541	00	02	25
	542	00	01	50
	543	00	01	25
	544	00	01	38
	578	00	00	03
	579	00	02	63
	580	00	07	05
	815	00	62	70
	611	00	37	00
	610	00	00	02
	812 (CROSSING LAND)	00	27	15
	794	00	00	75
	786	00	03	00
	531	00	03	00
	532	00	03	75
	624	00	00	05
	621	00	03	00
	620	00	08	44
	619	00	11	15
	616	00	18	00
	629	00	01	00
	630	00	00	25
	614	00	09	60
11) Koregaonkhurd	Road at V. B. (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	85
	102 (CROSSING LAND)	00	48	61
	109	00	00	10
	110	00	60	90
	117	00	00	31
	118	00	37	67
	119	00	00	10
	122	00	22	79
	123	00	07	75
	128	00	12	21
	129	00	02	42
	130	00	04	35
	131	00	04	46
	132	00	62	89

1	2	3	4	5
11) Koregaonkhurd (Contd....)	133	00	07	05
	134	00	11	85
	135	00	12	32
	136	00	12	05
	137	00	13	74
	138	00	11	48
	139	00	00	14
	21	00	06	22
	17	00	18	26
	16	00	19	56
	Road bet. Svy. No. 16 & Svy. No. 169 (CROSSING LAND)	00	02	78
	170	00	00	10
	171	00	09	89
	174	00	04	57
	169	00	39	04
	262	00	26	68
	261	00	48	04
	264	00	52	62
	263	00	70	75
	340	01	24	66
	331	00	00	23
	330	00	05	98
12) Shelu	169	00	20	48
	168 (CROSSING LAND)	01	45	35
	143	00	00	81
	248	00	09	25
	245 (GOVT LAND)	00	03	33
	249 (GOVT LAND)	00	13	47
	250 (GOVT LAND)	00	10	00
	253	00	02	79
	254	00	32	72
	255	00	09	52
	259	00	04	87
	260	00	00	15
	224	00	06	09
	225	00	13	01
	218	00	22	99
	216	00	04	24
	219	00	09	28
	215	00	01	92
	214	00	02	18
	213	00	01	61
	212	00	07	83
	211	00	12	74

1	2	3	4	5
12) Shelu (Contd.....)	Nala bet. Svy. No. 211 & 305 (CROSSING LAND)	00	11	13
	303	00	08	92
	305	00	09	52
	306	00	29	35
	302	00	00	89
	307	00	09	28
	308	00	05	71
	316	00	00	41
	309	00	08	61
	310	00	02	87
	315	00	01	37
	314	00	59	19
	312	00	07	35
	Road bet. Svy. No. 314 & 338 (CROSSING LAND)	00	22	13
	336 (CROSSING LAND)	00	75	79
	342 (CROSSING LAND)	01	14	07
	363 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	28
	364 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	90
	365 (CROSSING LAND)	00	17	23
	359 (CROSSING LAND)	00	77	34
	355	00	03	37
	358	00	42	76
	357	00	01	69
13) Ashkedkhurd	64	00	30	86
	Road in Svy. No. 64 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	76
	66	00	70	39
	158	00	09	77
	68	00	06	46
	163	00	07	66
	162	00	34	41
	164	00	34	71
	69	00	51	31
	74	00	05	96
	75	00	04	50
	76	00	05	53
	84	00	13	93
	85	00	12	95
	89	00	35	09
	91	00	33	36
	92	00	11	73
	93	00	86	38
	156	00	54	21
	MDR-17 in Svy. No. 156 (CROSSING LAND)	00	16	97
	157	00	14	94

1	2	3	4	5
14) Karanjavihire	230	00	57	13
	229	00	01	44
	233	00	39	36
	234	00	20	87
	256	00	25	24
	254	00	23	89
	257	00	28	04
	258	00	26	32
	259	00	25	96
	260	00	58	60
	281	00	19	61
	282	00	02	18
	283	00	00	33
	300 (GOVT LAND)	00	37	55
<hr/>				
Mandal : Maval	District : Pune	State : Maharashtra		
1) Midewadi	Govt. Land at V. B. (GOVT LAND)	00	59	71
	98	00	09	92
	97	00	33	95
	96	00	28	47
	101	00	00	10
	95	00	13	05
	94	00	11	42
	92	00	14	07
	91	00	16	94
	68	00	38	43
	69	00	56	88
	Road in Svy. No. 69 & 70 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	90
	62	00	46	59
	28	00	47	57
2) Badalwadi	179	00	31	47
	177	00	29	60
	183	00	67	23
	184	00	30	43
	185	00	21	68
	186	00	33	01
	195	00	10	49
	199	00	19	38
	200	00	21	04
	217	00	30	10
	201	00	00	46
	218	00	14	59
	170	00	06	88
	171	00	18	41

1	2	3	4	5
2) Badahwadi (Contd....)	202	00	45	62
	204	00	00	43
	207	00	47	48
	206	00	30	22
	205	00	00	12
	717	00	00	19
	721	00	17	07
	720	00	05	05
	723	00	02	29
	691	00	00	40
	692	00	02	23
	693	00	02	34
	694	00	02	33
	695	00	07	34
	665	00	17	14
	Road in Svy.No. 665 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	30
	Road in Svy. No. 665 (CROSSING LAND)	00	12	08
	648	00	52	60
	644	00	16	30
	578	00	17	73
	577	00	30	21
	568	00	33	24
	575	00	10	90
	569	00	34	40
	570	00	34	94
	556	00	14	47
	555	00	24	33
	540	00	13	58
	539	00	42	62
	538	00	03	68
	537	00	29	33
	536	00	63	59
	Govt. Land bet.Svy.No. 536 & 512 (CROSSING LAND)	00	14	42
	Govt. Land bet Svy.No. 536 & 512 (CROSSING LAND)	00	18	03
	512	00	29	13
3) Navalakh-Umbre	760	00	21	25
	770	00	03	08
	771	00	01	88
	773	00	18	70
	772	00	00	36
	769	00	09	38
	768	00	02	23
	767	00	09	13

1	2	3	4	5
3) Navalakh-Umbre (Contd....)	766	00	00	57
	708	00	03	09
	707	00	15	69
	709	00	13	67
	720	00	06	61
	721	00	00	40
	719	00	04	22
	717	00	04	50
	718	00	05	25
	716	00	20	45
	560	00	01	64
	559	01	23	73
	556	00	61	14
	555	00	09	76
	577	00	39	42
	578	00	16	00
	579	00	05	47
	580	00	29	57
	581	00	17	07
	Govt. Land in Svy. No. 580 (GOVT LAND)	00	00	68
	Govt. Land in Svy. No. 580 (GOVT LAND)	02	32	36
	465 (CROSSING LAND)	00	01	45
	463	00	29	82
	Govt. Land at V. B. (GOVT LAND)	00	03	50
4) Amble	66	00	25	61
	67	00	19	92
	65	00	12	66
	64	00	13	33
	63	00	24	69
	62	00	54	15
	30	00	22	32
	32	00	30	94
	33	00	97	07
	26	00	78	39
	27	00	64	56
	22	00	48	90
	5	00	75	75
	6	00	56	77
	16	00	95	00
	15	00	09	68
5) Nigade	288	00	00	81
	287	00	42	71
	303	00	00	52

1	2	3	4	5
5) Nigade (Contd....)	295 (GOVT LAND)	00	20	24
	296	01	00	72
	305	01	02	43
	306	00	16	35
	Nala bet. Svy. No. 306 & 625 (CROSSING LAND)	00	06	96
	625	00	05	78
	486	00	58	63
	499	00	00	30
	487	00	03	10
	498	00	26	81
	497	00	32	29
	496	00	05	22
	508	00	37	77
	Road bet. Svy. No. 306 & 508 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	53
	509	00	53	57
	544	00	00	34
	543	00	20	20
	542	00	64	93
	554	01	01	25
	534	00	45	84
	555	00	03	37
	558	00	81	25
	557	00	35	00
	453	00	00	98
6) Pawalewadi	Govt. Land at V. B. (GOVT LAND)	00	18	27
	13	01	06	49
	10	00	02	48
	12	00	18	91
	14	00	18	17
	15	00	53	36
	7	00	12	29
	16	00	45	64
	3	00	09	24
	21	00	42	43
	2	00	28	81
	1	00	52	10
7) Kalhat	258	00	59	62
	Nala bet. Survey. No. 258 & 262 (CROSSING LAND)	00	33	33
	261	00	02	84
	262	00	25	58
	263	00	36	06
	470	00	53	83
	469	00	04	64

1	2	3	4	5
7) Kalhat (Contd....)	484	00	65	41
	485	00	53	47
	500	00	21	15
	Road in Svy. No. 485 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	33
	499	00	36	12
	512	00	12	56
	511	00	17	37
	510	00	20	57
	509	00	11	00
	513	00	38	21
	552	00	00	74
	553	00	41	26
	554	00	40	39
	558	00	45	40
8) Bhoyare	166	00	69	98
	Road bet. Svy.No.165 & 16 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	89
	16	00	29	40
	17	00	02	89
	18	00	56	44
	20 (GOVT LAND)	00	65	93
	11	00	66	35
	6B	00	22	82
	6A	00	32	72
	5	00	10	78
	4	00	15	70
	528	00	56	36
	529	00	05	47
	525	00	21	56
	526	00	00	10
	524	00	14	42
	530	00	00	45
9) Kashal	180	00	25	10
	179	00	01	98
	181	00	93	44
	184	00	00	10
	210	00	06	37
	Road bet. Svy.No. 210 & 209 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	25
	209	00	34	60
	208	00	39	44
	207	00	25	66
	206	00	15	49
	205	00	02	48
	204	00	32	38
	202	00	03	59

1	2	3	4	5
9) Kashal (Contd....)	Nala bet. Svy. No. 202 & 421 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	29
	421	00	55	59
	422	00	11	09
	423	00	45	47
	424	00	34	15
	404	00	12	45
	403	00	54	26
	402	00	18	60
	400	00	09	70
	399	00	00	66
	383	00	59	48
	384	00	04	65
	390	00	46	06
	385	00	06	98
	391	00	39	44
10) Kivale	49	00	37	17
	48	00	17	63
	51	00	73	96
	52	00	29	06
	Road in Svy. No. 52 (CROSSING LAND)	00	08	46
	53	00	95	14
	54	00	53	20
	55	00	17	48
	Nala in Bet. Svy. No. 55 & 142 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	70
	Pond in Bet. Svy. No. 55 & 142 (CROSSING LAND)	00	18	70
	142	00	12	56
	143	00	25	44
	144	00	19	31
	140	00	07	88
	139	00	15	22
	138	00	17	19
	137	00	30	77
	141	00	13	32
	Pond bet. Svy. No. 141 & 134 (GOVT LAND)	00	35	22
	134	00	11	45
	135	00	15	17
	133	00	47	18
	132	00	58	87
	131	00	22	33
	130	00	09	68
	174 (GOVT LAND)	00	24	53

1	2	3	4	5
11) Ingalun	48	00	76	55
	47 (GOVT LAND)	00	22	07
	39	00	02	35
	38	00	03	68
	36	00	32	65
	35	00	31	03
	Road in Svy. No. 35 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	96
	30	00	00	11
	29	00	06	98
	23	00	05	95
	25	00	12	50
	24	00	09	81
	21	00	02	33
	19A	00	09	33
	20	00	03	02
	18	00	01	00
	Pond in Svy. No. 20, 330 & 18 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	02
	330	00	23	48
	328	00	12	70
	332	00	22	28
	325	00	06	43
	324	00	23	66
	323	00	54	41
	111	00	49	55
	109	00	47	21
	128	00	25	59
	Nala in Svy. No. 128 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	52
	153	00	57	97
	152	00	05	52
	160	00	11	64
	159	00	51	60
	156	00	01	19
	158	00	38	31
	157	00	25	78
	163	00	07	04
	164	00	04	46
	167	00	29	26
	165	00	19	72
	247	00	59	40
	244	00	39	94
	Road in Svy. No. 244 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	35
	245	00	22	50
	240	00	00	10

1	2	3	4	5
11) Ingalun (Contd....)	242	00	26	13
	238	00	17	16
	236	00	41	66
	227	00	03	58
	Road in Svy. No. 236 (CROSSING LAND)	00	00	27
	229	00	10	00
12) Kunewadi	Road in Svy. No 48 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	26
	48	00	62	58
	49	00	16	40
	115	00	21	78
	122	00	28	21
	121	00	40	18
	120	00	26	90
	151 (CROSSING LAND)	00	31	80
	148	00	05	39
	149	00	00	10
	150	00	29	48
	152	00	62	62
	172 (GOVT LAND)	00	29	31
	Pond bet. Svy. No. 172 & 173 (GOVT LAND)	00	45	24
	Nala in Andhra Lake (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	45
	173	00	30	64
	175	00	83	10
	183	00	09	79
	4	01	11	98
13) Malegaon-khurd	Govt. Land at V. B. (GOVT LAND)	00	75	80
	24	00	12	98
	23	00	08	74
	Road in Svy. No. 12 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	12
	12	00	03	92
	28	00	50	01
	Nala in Svy. No. 28 (CROSSING LAND)	00	05	91
14) Pimpriwadi	Nala in Svy. No. 126 (CROSSING LAND)	00	03	30
	126	00	86	77
	125	00	30	17
	123	00	39	53
	134	00	05	01
	135	00	26	43
	101	00	46	77
	Nala in Svy. No. 100 (CROSSING LAND)	00	15	30
	100	00	38	61

1	2	3	4	5
14) Pimpriwadi (Contd....)	139	00	36	77
	140	00	45	97
	Nala in Svy. No. 140 (CROSSING LAND)	00	07	80
	141	00	55	97
	142	00	68	97
	145	00	26	69
	144	00	00	30
	143	00	08	09
	169	00	00	75
	222	00	55	46
	171	00	00	83
	221	00	02	65
	215	00	35	72
	216	00	31	11
	220	00	39	15
	218	00	57	48
15) Malegaon-budruk	109	00	20	40
	111	00	05	88
	110	00	01	21
	107	00	05	12
	106	00	74	38
	105 (CROSSING LAND)	00	40	60
	104	00	18	42
	103	00	50	19
	59	00	63	00
	Pond bet. Survey. No. 59 & 51. (CROSSING LAND)	01	18	94
	51	00	13	87
	52	00	06	32
	55	00	00	10
	54	00	18	68
	178	00	12	40
	179	00	14	46
	184	00	10	43
	176	00	00	18
	182	00	15	02
	180	00	09	22
	181	00	18	32
	173	00	23	17
	172	00	23	08
	171	00	19	43
	Govt. Land bet. Svy. No. 171 & 165 (CROSSING LAND)	00	38	30
	165	00	77	55
	158	00	03	76
	159	00	55	41

1	2	3	4	5
16) Savle	51	00	47	45
	50	00	86	11
	52	00	01	20
	72	02	25	55
	97/3	00	59	49
	97/2	00	13	32
	Road bet. Survey. No. 97/2 & 97/3 (CROSSING LAND)	00	04	82
	97/5	00	43	30
	118	00	43	58
	97/4	00	14	96
	112	00	01	26
	114	00	03	02
	113	00	10	81
	120/1	00	80	54
	120/2	00	01	54
	106	00	00	40
	87/12	00	52	45
	120/3	00	50	94
	86	00	14	56
	122	00	00	99
	121	00	11	97
	120/10	00	45	76
	120/8	00	83	86
	14 (GOVT LAND)	02	08	31
	156	00	22	91

[F. No. L-14014/50/2004-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3210.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए जामनगर-भोपाल और काकीनाडा-हैदराबाद-गोवा पाइपलाइन को आपस में जोड़ने के लिए मैसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्राम्स्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में, जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है। और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपायद्व अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा (3) की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री ए. के. मंघवी, मक्षम प्राधिकारी, जी.टी.आई.सी.एल. पाइपलाइन प्रयोजना, तीसरी मंजिल, एटलांटा टावर, इन्कलाब सोमायटी के सामने गुलबार्ड टेकरा, एलिमग्रिज-अहमदाबाद-380006, गुजरात राज्य को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तालुका : पादरा

जिला : वडोदरा

राज्य : गुजरात

आर. डी. ए. अर्जित करी के लिए
क्षेत्रफल

गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हेक्टर	आर	एकर मी.
1	2	3	4	5
1- दनोली	329/ब	00	10	77
	341	00	36	68
	342	00	32	52
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 341 और 340 के बीच में)	00	01	58
	340/ब	00	12	81
	345	00	26	11
	346	00	25	03
	312	00	69	64
	311	00	27	83
	नाला (सर्वे नं. 311 और 308 के बीच में)	00	10	60
	308	00	00	60
	307	00	22	60
	305	00	02	94
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 305 और 306 के बीच में)	00	03	87
	306	00	16	87
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 306 और 391 के बीच में)	00	05	71
	391	00	40	42
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 391 और 387 के बीच में)	00	02	82
	393	00	00	40
	387	00	12	62
	399/अ	00	09	14
	386/ब	00	24	21
	386/अ	00	02	00
	नहर (सर्वे नं. 386 और 385 के बीच में)	00	01	61
	385	00	27	10
	384/अ	00	00	50
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 384 और गांव की हद के बीच में)	00	05	96
2- सांपला	794	00	21	86
	801	00	15	14
	802	00	23	67
	804	00	21	98
	791/अ	00	11	19
	791/ब	00	01	45
	789	00	54	09
	788	00	19	50
	783	00	06	85
	785	00	13	44
	पट्टी सड़क (सर्वे नं. 785 और 738 के बीच में)	00	04	91
	738	00	34	03
	नाला (सर्वे नं. 738 और 819 के बीच में)	00	10	00
	819	00	04	24

1	2	3	4	5
सांपला (निरंतर)	820	00	20	09
	821	00	09	93
	879	00	19	42
	822	00	01	11
	878	00	09	55
	880	00	00	50
	877	00	33	95
	881	00	24	00
	876	00	04	15
	874/ब	00	39	55
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 874 और 849 के बीच में)	00	04	78
	849	00	16	16
नहर	(सर्वे नं. 849 और 850 के बीच में)	00	01	31
	850	00	22	99
	859	00	09	66
	858	00	09	65
	855	00	25	99
	854	00	07	36
	853	00	03	43
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 853 और 554 के बीच में)	00	03	49
	553	00	00	40
	554	00	23	74
	550	00	21	58
	556	00	00	40
	545	00	12	52
	557	00	12	83
	544	00	17	81
	543	00	12	83
पक्की सड़क	(सर्वे नं. 543 और 288 के बीच में)	00	13	25
	288	00	16	50
	289	00	34	42
	348	00	00	40
	347	00	32	60
	345	00	00	40
	346	00	20	50
	349	00	00	40
	350	00	00	40
	344	00	01	59
	343	00	15	62
	341	00	27	59
	338	00	13	88
	339	00	16	38
	368	00	20	30
	336	00	34	89
	369	00	01	62
	371	00	14	08
	330	00	04	16
	372	00	74	83
	374	00	04	66
3- अधोर	नाला	00	01	48
	208	00	62	00

1	2	3	4	5
अभोर (निरंतर)	226	00	02	40
	220	00	53	19
	221	00	00	47
	219	00	21	54
	218	00	15	81
	217	00	00	40
	210	00	24	49
	211	00	09	74
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 211 और 57 के बीच में)	00	02	87
	57	00	08	02
	56	00	13	40
	58	00	09	94
	59	00	21	67
	69	00	00	40
	60	00	24	66
	61/ब	00	39	78
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 61/B और 61/A के बीच में)	00	02	80
	61/अ	00	49	93
	63	00	01	34
	27/अ प /1	00	22	32
	62	00	04	73
	26/प	00	01	98
सरकारी - जमीन	(सर्वे नं. 26 और 25 के बीच में)	00	06	14
छोटी नहर	(सर्वे नं. 26 और 24 के बीच में)	00	31	56
	24/प1	00	03	54
रेलवे	(सर्वे नं. 27 और 762 के बीच में)	00	05	96
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 27 और 762 के बीच में)	00	01	96
	23	00	00	40
	762	00	01	37
	761	00	04	43
	763	00	35	26
	764	00	13	32
	765	00	25	21
	706	00	30	44
	704	00	03	50
	703	00	13	50
	702	00	12	90
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 702 और 661 के बीच में)	00	03	60
	661	00	04	90
	662	00	08	60
	663	00	21	98
	665/अ	00	13	87
	665/ब	00	13	76
	666	00	14	78
	655	00	35	74
	653	00	27	37
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 653 और 554 के बीच में)	00	05	63
	554	00	16	44
	555	00	03	76
	557	00	22	33

1	2	3	4	5
अधोर (निरंतर)	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 557 और 560 के बीच में)	00	02	18
	558	00	31	32
	559	00	03	36
	560/अ	00	13	42
	605	00	16	66
	606	00	08	06
	607 (सरकारी जमीन)	00	22	05
	609	00	28	90
	633	00	00	19
	610	00	08	61
	611	00	09	08
	613	00	04	81
	632	00	09	39
	614	00	11	17
	616	00	00	41
	615	00	21	03
	627	00	32	36
	628 (सरकारी जमीन)	00	02	16
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 627 और गांव की हद के बीच में)	00	03	57
4 - कुराल	806	00	07	85
	807	00	02	69
	812	00	11	48
	811	00	01	13
	813	00	01	50
	810	00	17	76
	809	00	21	83
	820	00	14	18
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 820 और 9 के बीच में)	00	06	73
	11	00	03	65
	9	00	29	67
	6	00	02	71
	8	00	16	24
	23	00	12	59
	24	00	10	17
	25	00	18	87
	26	00	17	93
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 26 और 43 के बीच में)	00	04	91
	43	00	00	01
	42	00	14	74
	41	00	08	07
	37	00	00	71
	40	00	07	43
	39	00	02	43
	38	00	22	86
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 38 और 62 के बीच में)	00	02	74
	62	00	10	83
	पट्टी सड़क-एस.एच-6 (सर्वे नं. 62 और 61 के बीच में)	00	07	49
	61	00	10	38
	58	00	12	01
	60	00	01	32
	59	00	18	38
	नाला (सर्वे नं. 59 और गांव की हद के बीच में)	00	09	34

1	2	3	4	5
5 - मासर	नाला (गांव की हद और सर्वे नं. 48 के बीच में)	00	02	86
	48	00	10	84
	छोटी नहर - सरदार सरोवर (सर्वे नं. 48 और 49 के बीच में)	00	05	58
	49	00	21	81
	55	00	20	80
	54	00	16	85
	65	00	28	62
	64	00	06	37
	67	00	17	00
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 67 और 69 के बीच में)	00	03	74
	69	00	13	54
	70	00	10	69
	71	00	04	18
	72	00	00	03
	पक्की सड़क (सर्वे नं. 71 और गांव की हद के बीच में)	00	10	20
6-गामेठा	375	00	00	14
	376	00	10	45
	376/ब	00	00	05
	377	00	12	53
	378	00	09	72
	381	00	13	24
	380	00	06	76
	382	00	04	46
	416	00	03	44
	383	00	00	51
	395	00	14	03
	396	00	14	86
	411	00	20	23
	413	00	05	16
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 413 और 410 के बीच में)	00	06	32
	410	00	09	37
	408	00	11	33
	407	00	10	88
	400	00	00	42
	406	00	01	67
	401	00	13	46
	402	00	05	86
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 402 और 202 के बीच में)	00	13	16
	305 (सरकारी जमीन - तालाब)	00	05	85
	202	00	43	21
	204	00	57	07
	194	00	08	52
	191/अ	00	00	72
	192	00	01	30
	185	00	17	37
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 185 और 63 के बीच में)	00	06	22
	63	00	04	96
	64	00	17	99
	66/ब	00	08	09
	89	00	08	27
	88	00	26	40
	87	00	00	06

1	2	3	4	5
गायेल (निरंतर)	94	00	10	29
	95	00	00	24
	96	00	02	62
	86	00	01	27
	84	00	00	33
	97	00	19	57
	98	00	06	81
	79	00	02	49
	110	00	05	07
	111	00	00	61
नहर - सरदार सरोवर	(सर्वे नं. 111 और 115 के बीच में)	00	26	77
	115	00	23	41
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 115 और 754 के बीच में)	00	05	12
	754	00	13	12
	753	00	10	31
	752	00	13	52
	751	00	13	37
	750/ब	00	45	68
	740	00	00	04
	739	00	12	27
7- मजालन	कच्चा रास्ता (गांव की हद और सर्वे नं. 597 के बीच में)	00	02	29
	597	00	01	20
	598	00	14	91
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 598 और 596 के बीच में)	00	01	98
	596	00	00	61
नहर - सरदार सरोवर	(सर्वे नं. 598 और 599 के बीच में)	00	03	91
	592	00	11	13
	599	00	03	78
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 592 और 602 के बीच में)	00	04	40
	602	00	17	02
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 602 और 563 के बीच में)	00	05	07
	563	00	23	62
	560	00	03	85
	561	00	17	61
	562	00	04	84
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 562 और 559 के बीच में)	00	01	23
	559	00	20	68
	545	00	00	03
	548	00	24	89
	546	00	00	08
	547	00	06	76
	543	00	32	63
	542	00	25	29
पक्की सड़क	(सर्वे नं. 540 में)	00	06	48
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 540 में)	00	03	14
	540 (सरकारी जमीन - तालाब)	00	11	65
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 540 और 619 के बीच में)	00	02	40
	619	00	05	72
	624	00	17	08
	625	00	23	60
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 625 और 634 के बीच में)	00	01	96

1	2	3	4	5
प्रजापतन (निरंतर)	634	00	18	91
	636 (सरकारी जमीन)	00	06	47
	635	00	10	13
	631	00	33	14
	640	00	00	72
	641	00	11	45
	642	00	29	70
	नहर - सरदार सरोवर (सर्वे नं. 642 में)	00	04	46
	644	00	02	51
	645	00	01	15
	646	00	00	69
	648	00	00	60
	नहर - सरदार सरोवर (सर्वे नं. 648 में)	00	00	32
8 - करखडी	241	00	04	39
	200	00	07	53
	नहर (सर्वे नं. 200 में)	00	06	90
	199	00	11	63
	198	00	13	28
	196	00	00	76
	197	00	17	17
	195	00	00	64
	205	00	21	37
	204	00	14	92
	228	00	00	17
	227	00	11	90
	226	00	07	06
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 226 और 215 के बीच में)	00	07	77
	215	00	08	41
	225	00	12	35
	216	00	24	25
	पक्की सड़क (सर्वे नं. 216 और 170 के बीच में)	00	08	21
	170	00	39	50
	169	00	12	47
	164	00	27	62
	168	00	04	05
	165	00	21	58
9 - चौकरी	कच्चा रास्ता (गांव की हद और सर्वे नं. 845 के बीच में)	00	13	68
	845	00	37	28
	684	00	01	10
	685	00	10	86
	नहर (सर्वे नं. 846 और 829 के बीच में)	00	05	28
	829	00	09	56
	828	00	07	44
	827	00	02	66
	पक्की सड़क (सर्वे नं. 827 में)	00	01	57
	नाला (सर्वे नं. 827 में)	00	00	87
	826	00	05	69
	824	00	11	92
	823	00	06	93
	822	00	03	74
	821	00	00	30
	820	00	00	14

1	2	3	4	5	
चौकरी (निरंतर)	819	00	04	25	
	816	00	10	52	
	812	00	00	01	
	810	00	18	71	
	809	00	17	33	
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 809 और 805 के बीच में)	00	02	71	
	805	00	17	16	
	803	00	07	37	
	802	00	06	53	
	801	00	14	08	
	795/ब	00	28	55	
	795/अ	00	09	50	
	796	00	00	26	
	794	00	14	76	
कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 794 और 1166 के बीच में)	00	01	80	
	1166	00	41	46	
	1167	00	02	34	
	1165	00	19	79	
	1172	00	00	82	
	1174	00	13	70	
	1173	00	11	18	
	1175	00	06	39	
	1176	00	19	31	
	1177	00	01	57	
	1154	00	19	55	
	1185	00	04	38	
	564	(सरकारी जमीन)	07	13	41
10 - पावडा	403	(सरकारी जमीन)	01	60	36
11- डबका	806	(सरकारी जमीन)	07	94	50
	नदी	(मही नदी)	01	46	03

[फा. सं. एल-14014/35/2004-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 15th December, 2004

S. O. 3210.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of natural gas through an interconnection between Jamnagar - Bhopal and Kakinada Hyderabad-Goa pipeline, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty -one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of section (3) of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri A.K.Sanghavi, Competent Authority, Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited Pipeline Project, Atlanta Tower, 3rd Floor, Opp Inqulab Society, Gulbai Tekra, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad - 380 006, Gujarat.

SCHEDULE

Taluka : Padra

District : Vadodara

State : Gujarat

Name of the Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Area to be acquired	
			Are ^{RCU}	Sq.Mtr.
1	2	3	4	5
1. DANOLI	329-B	00	10	77
	341	00	36	68
	342	00	32	52
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 341 & 340)	00	01	58
	340/B	00	12	81
	345	00	26	11
	346	00	25	03
	312	00	69	64
	311	00	27	83
	Nala (In between Survey No. 311 & 308)	00	10	60
	308	00	00	60
	307	00	22	60
	305	00	02	94
	Metalled Road (In between Survey No. 305 & 306)	00	03	87
	306	00	16	87
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 306 & 391)	00	05	71
	391	00	40	42
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 391 & 387)	00	02	82
	393	00	00	40
	387	00	12	62
	399/A	00	09	14
	386/B	00	24	21
	386/A	00	02	00
	Canal (In between Survey No. 386 & 385)	00	01	61
	385	00	27	10
	384A	00	00	50
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 384 & VB)	00	05	96
2. SAMPLA	794	00	21	86
	801	00	15	14
	802	00	23	67
	804	00	21	98
	791/A	00	11	19
	791/B	00	01	45
	789	00	54	09
	788	00	19	50
	783	00	06	85
	785	00	13	44
	Asphalted Road (In between Survey No. 785 & 738)	00	04	91
	738	00	34	03
	Nala (In between Survey No. 738 & 819)	00	10	00
	819	00	04	24
	820	00	20	09
	821	00	09	93
	879	00	19	42
	822	00	01	11
	878	00	09	55
	880	00	00	50

1	2	3	4	5
SAMPLA (Cont'd)	877	00	33	95
	881	00	24	00
	876	00	04	15
	874/B	00	39	55
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 874 & 849)	00	04	78
	849	00	16	16
	Canal (In between Survey No. 849 & 850)	00	01	31
	850	00	22	99
	859	00	09	66
	858	00	09	65
	855	00	25	99
	854	00	07	36
	853	00	03	43
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 853 & 554)	00	03	49
	553	00	00	40
	554	00	23	74
	550	00	21	58
	556	00	00	40
	545	00	12	52
	557	00	12	83
	544	00	17	81
	543	00	12	83
	Asphalted Road (In between Survey No. 543 & 288)	00	13	25
	288	00	16	50
	289	00	34	42
	348	00	00	40
	347	00	32	60
	345	00	00	40
	346	00	20	50
	349	00	00	40
	350	00	00	40
	344	00	01	59
	343	00	15	62
	341	00	27	59
	338	00	13	88
	339	00	16	38
	368	00	20	30
	336	00	34	89
	369	00	01	62
	371	00	14	08
	330	00	04	16
	372 (Govt. Land - Gauchar)	00	74	83
	374 (Govt. Land - Gauchar)	00	04	66
3. ABHOR	Stream (In between VB & Survey No. 208)	00	01	48
	208 (Govt. Land - Gauchar)	00	62	00
	226	00	02	40
	220	00	53	19
	221	00	00	47
	219	00	21	54
	218	00	15	81
	217	00	00	40
	210	00	24	49
	211	00	09	74
	Cart track (In between Surevey No. 211 & 57)	00	02	87
	57	00	08	02

1	2	3	4	5
ABHOR (Cont'd)	56	00	13	40
	58	00	09	94
	59	00	21	67
	69 (Govt. Land - Pond)	00	00	40
	60	00	24	66
	61/B	00	39	78
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 61/B & 61/A)	00	02	80
	61/A	00	49	93
	63	00	01	34
	27A/P1	00	22	32
	62	00	04	73
	26 P	00	01	98
	Govt. Land (In between Survey No. 26 & 25)	00	06	14
	Minor Canal (In between Survey No. 26 & 24)	00	31	56
	24/P1	00	03	54
	Railway Line (In between Survey No. 27 & 762)	00	05	96
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 27 & 762)	00	01	96
	23	00	00	40
	762	00	01	37
	761	00	04	43
	763	00	35	26
	764	00	13	32
	765	00	25	21
	706	00	30	44
	704	00	03	50
	703	00	13	50
	702	00	12	90
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 702 & 661)	00	03	60
	661	00	04	90
	662	00	08	60
	663	00	21	98
	665/A	00	13	87
	665/B	00	13	76
	666	00	14	78
	655	00	35	74
	653	00	27	37
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 653 & 554)	00	05	63
	554	00	16	44
	555	00	03	76
	557	00	22	33
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 557 & 560)	00	02	18
	558	00	31	32
	559	00	03	36
	560 /A	00	13	42
	605	00	16	66
	606	00	08	06
	607 (Govt. Land)	00	22	05
	609	00	28	90
	633	00	00	19
	610	00	08	61
	611	00	09	08
	613	00	04	81
	632	00	09	39
	614	00	11	17
	616	00	00	41

1	2	3	4	5
ABHOR (Cont'd)	615	00	21	03
	627	00	32	36
	628 (Govt. Land)	00	02	16
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 627 & VB)	00	03	57
4. KURAL	606	00	07	65
	807	00	02	69
	612	00	11	48
	611	00	01	13
	613	00	01	50
	610	00	17	76
	609	00	21	83
	820	00	14	16
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 620 & 9)	00	06	73
	11	00	03	85
	9	00	29	67
	6	00	02	71
	6	00	16	24
	23	00	12	59
	24	00	10	17
	25	00	18	67
	28	00	17	93
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 26 & 43)	00	04	91
	43	00	00	01
	42	00	14	74
	41	00	08	07
	37	00	00	71
	40	00	07	73
	39	00	02	43
	38	00	22	86
	Cart track (In between-Survey No. 38 & 62)	00	02	74
	62	00	10	63
	Asphalted Road (In between Survey No. 62 & 61)	00	07	49
	61	00	10	38
	58	00	12	01
	60	00	01	32
	59	00	16	36
	Nala (In between Survey No. 59 & VB)	00	09	34
5. MASAR	Nala (In between VB & Survey No. 48A)	00	02	86
	48	00	10	84
	Canal(SSNL) (In between Survey No. 48 & 49)	00	05	58
	49	00	21	61
	55	00	20	60
	54	00	16	65
	65	00	26	62
	64	00	06	37
	67	00	17	00
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 67 & 69)	00	03	74
	69	00	13	54
	70	00	10	89
	71	00	04	18
	72	00	00	03
	Asphalted Road (In between Survey No. 71 & VB)	00	10	20
8. GAMETHA	375	00	00	14
	376	00	10	45
	376/B	00	00	05

1	2	3	4	5
GAMETHA (Cont'd)	377	00	12	53
	378	00	09	72
	381	00	13	24
	380	00	06	76
	382	00	04	46
	416	00	03	44
	383	00	00	51
	395	00	14	03
	396	00	14	86
	411	00	20	23
	413	00	05	16
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 413 & 410)	00	06	32
	410	00	09	37
	408	00	11	33
	407	00	10	88
	400	00	00	42
	406	00	01	67
	401	00	13	46
	402	00	05	86
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 402 & 202)	00	13	16
	305 (Govt. Land - Pond)	00	05	85
	202	00	43	21
	204	00	57	07
	194	00	08	52
	191/A	00	00	72
	192	00	01	30
	185	00	17	37
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 185 & 63)	00	06	22
	63	00	04	96
	64	00	17	99
	66/B	00	08	09
	89	00	08	27
	88	00	26	40
	87	00	00	06
	94	00	10	29
	95	00	00	24
	96	00	02	62
	86	00	01	27
	84	00	00	33
	97	00	19	57
	98	00	06	81
	79	00	02	49
	110	00	05	07
	111	00	00	61
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 111 & 115)	00	26	77
	115	00	23	41
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 115 & 754)	00	05	12
	754	00	13	12
	753	00	10	31
	752	00	13	52
	751	00	13	37
	750/B	00	45	68
	740	00	00	04
	739	00	12	27
7. MAJATAN	Cart track (In between VB & Survey No. 597)	00	02	29

1	2	3	4	5
MAJATAN(Cont'd)	597	00	01	20
	598	00	14	91
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 598 & 596)	00	01	98
	596	00	00	61
	Canal (SSNL) (In between Survey No. 598 & 599)	00	03	91
	592	00	11	13
	599	00	03	78
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 592 & 602)	00	04	40
	602	00	17	02
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 602 & 563)	00	05	07
	563	00	23	62
	560	00	03	85
	561	00	17	61
	562	00	04	84
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 562 & 559)	00	01	23
	559	00	20	68
	545	00	00	03
	548	00	24	89
	546	00	00	08
	547	00	06	76
	543	00	32	63
	542	00	25	29
	Asphalted Road (In Survey No. 540)	00	06	48
	Cart track (In Survey No. 540)	00	03	14
	540 (Govt. Land - Pond)	00	11	65
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 540 & 619)	00	02	40
	619	00	05	72
	624	00	17	08
	625	00	23	60
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 625 & 634)	00	01	96
	634	00	18	91
	636 (Govt. Land)	00	06	47
	635	00	10	13
	631	00	33	14
	640	00	00	72
	641	00	11	45
	642	00	29	70
	Canal(SSNL) (In Survey No. 642)	00	04	46
	644	00	02	51
	645	00	01	15
	646	00	00	69
	648	00	00	60
	Canal (SSNL) (InSurvey No 648)	00	00	32
8. KARKHADI	241	00	04	39
	200	00	07	53
	Canal (In Survey No. 200)	00	06	90
	199	00	11	63
	198	00	13	28
	196	00	00	76
	197	00	17	17
	195	00	00	64
	205	00	21	37
	204	00	14	92
	228	00	00	17
	227	00	11	90

1	2	3	4	5
KARKHADI (Cont'd)	226	00	07	08
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 226 & 215)	00	07	77
	215	00	08	41
	225	00	12	35
	216	00	24	25
	Asphalted Road (In between Survey No. 216 & 170)	00	08	21
	170	00	39	50
	169	00	12	47
	164	00	27	62
	168	00	04	05
	165	00	21	58
9. CHOKARI	Cart track (In between VB & Survey No. 845)	00	13	68
	845	00	37	28
	684	00	01	10
	685	00	10	86
	Canal (In between Survey No. 848 & 829)	00	05	28
	829	00	09	56
	828	00	07	44
	827	00	02	66
	Asph. Road (In Survey No. 827)	00	01	57
	Nala (In Survey No. 827)	00	00	87
	826	00	05	69
	824	00	11	92
	823	00	08	93
	822	00	03	74
	821	00	00	30
	820	00	00	14
	819	00	04	25
	816	00	10	52
	812	00	00	01
	810	00	18	71
	809	00	17	33
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 809 & 805)	00	02	71
	805	00	17	18
	803	00	07	37
	802	00	06	53
	801	00	14	08
	795/B	00	28	55
	795/A	00	09	50
	796	00	00	26
	794	00	14	76
	Cart track (In between Survey No. 794 & 1166)	00	01	80
	1166	00	41	46
	1167	00	02	34
	1165	00	19	79
	1172	00	00	82
	1174	00	13	70
	1173	00	11	18
	1175	00	06	39
	1176	00	19	31
	1177	00	01	57
	1154	00	19	55
	1185	00	04	38
	564 (Govt. Land)	07	13	41
10. PAVDA	403 (Govt. Land)	01	60	36

1	2	3	4	5
11. DABKA	806 (Govt. Land) River (Mahi River)	07 01	94 46	50 03

[F. No. L-14014/35/2004-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3211.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिठच्चि, मदुराई और शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादन के परिवहन के लिये इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करना आवश्यक है ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस तारीख से, जिसको भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इसकीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रति लिखित रूप में आक्षेप श्री आर. वज्रवेलू, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, चेन्नई-तिठच्चि -मदुराई उत्पाद पाइपलाइन परियोजना और आसनूर से शंकरी तक ब्रांच पाइपलाइन परियोजना, 4/2, आरोक्कसामी स्ट्रीट, काफोर्ड कालोनि, तिठच्चिराप्पल्ली -620 012, (तमिलनाडु) को कर सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तालूका : तिरुच्चिराप्पल्ली		जिला : तिरुच्चिराप्पल्ली		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
गोंव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 76.सुरियूर	6 9 7	1अ	0	04	80
	6 9 7	1ब	0	45	46

[फा. सं. आर-25011/29/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S.O. 3211.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Trichy, Madurai and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. R.Vajravelu, Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Chennai-Trichy-Madurai Product Pipeline Project with a branch pipeline from Asanur to Sankari, 4/2, Arockiasamy Street, Crawford Colony, Tiruchirappalli-620 012, Tamilnadu.

SCHEDULE

SCHEDULE					
Taluk : TIRUCHIRAPALLI	District : TIRUCHIRAPALLI			State : TAMILNADU	
Name of the Village	Survey No.	Sub-Division No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.76.SURIYUR					
	697	1A	0	04	80
	697	1B	0	45	46

[No. R-25011/29/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3212.— केंद्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गयी भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का०आ० 1736, तारीख 23, जुलाई, 2004 द्वारा, तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिरुचिरापल्ली होकर मदुराई तक और आसनूर से शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी। और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 23.08.2004 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी। और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप धारा (1) के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने केंद्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार का उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाना चाहिए।

अतः अब, केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित किया जाता है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि के उपयोग का अधिकार इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केंद्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय सभी बिल्लिंगमों से मुक्त होकर इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

तालूका : संकरि		जिला : सेलम		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 21 मोरूर	634	2	0	32	85
	633	1	0	05	10
	632	-	0	38	37
	610	4अ	0	07	39
	610	8	0	03	27
	610	2	0	01	26
	610	9	0	04	32
	610	11अ2	0	00	40
	611	7	0	02	52
	610	11अ1	0	03	46
	610	7ब	0	00	40
	610	7अ1	0	06	48
	610	7अ2	0	00	40
	613	10	0	02	00
	613	11अ1	0	06	12
	613	9	0	00	43
	613	7	0	00	40
	613	11ब1	0	01	00
	613	6	0	05	76
	613	2	0	18	20
	615	1	0	13	56
	613	3	0	02	55
	617	1ब	0	06	48
	617	2अ	0	06	66
	617	1अ	0	00	40
	623	1अ	0	04	69
	623	1ब	0	13	75
	623	2अ	0	00	74

1	2	3	4	5	6
	622	4क	0	00	40
	622	4ड	0	03	82
	622	4इ	0	03	52
	622	5	0	06	17
	622	4ब	0	07	44
	479	2	0	10	34
	471	4	0	17	43
	471	3	0	05	14
	471	2अ	0	01	85
	471	2ब	0	07	32
	468	4	0	12	37
	468	3	0	25	84
	467	2	0	20	28
	467	1अ	0	05	44
	465	15	0	06	34
	464	4	0	12	36
	464	3	0	00	86
	464	2	0	10	12
	454	4	0	08	00
	464	2	0	02	12
	436	5	0	04	50
	436	1	0	21	98
	437	1इ	0	19	80
	431	-	0	42	53
	441	2क2	0	11	05
	441	2ब	0	03	27
	441	2अ	0	06	20
	441	4अ	0	09	42
	376	1ब2	0	00	40
	376	1ब1	0	00	70
	378	2क1	0	01	92
	378	2क3	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	378	2ब	0	11	64
	378	2अ	0	08	27
	378	3ब	0	10	80
	359	4	0	02	09
	359	3	0	00	40
	359	2	0	32	25
	359	1	0	07	28
	385	2	0	06	90
	385	1ब	0	00	65
	385	1अ	0	11	86
	384	12ब	0	00	52
नं. 22 कस्तूरिपट्ट	234	2	0	25	31
	236	2	0	28	14
	237	52	0	05	25
	237	51	0	00	40
	237	53	0	07	87
	237	45	0	11	08
	237	8	0	06	63
	237	36	0	00	43
	237	9	0	01	72
	237	10	0	02	47
	237	12	0	04	16
	237	31	0	02	01
	237	21	0	02	97
	237	30	0	06	47
	237	29	0	00	40
	237	28	0	00	61
	237	27	0	01	06
	237	26	0	01	87
	237	25	0	03	48
	237	23	0	00	40
	180	1	0	20	60

1	2	3	4	5	6
	179	2	0	14	48
	179	1	0	15	52
	178	2	0	26	60
	177	2ए	0	21	88
	177	2हेच	0	06	98

[फा. सं. आर-25011/14/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3212.—Whereas, by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 1736 dated the 23rd July 2004 issued under sub section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (herein after referred to as the said Act) the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Madurai via Tiruchirapalli and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, copies of the said notification were made available to the public from 23.08.2004;

And whereas, the Competent Authority in pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act has submitted his report to the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government, after considering the said report is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest from the date of publication of this declaration, in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Taluk : Sankari		District : Salem		State : Tamil Nadu		
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area			
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
NO. 21 MORUR	634	2	0	32	85	
	633	1	0	05	10	
	632	-	0	38	37	
	610	4A	0	07	39	
	610	8	0	03	27	
	610	2	0	01	26	
	610	9	0	04	32	
	610	11A2	0	00	40	
	611	7	0	02	52	
	610	11A1	0	03	46	
	610	7B	0	00	40	
	610	7A1	0	06	48	
	610	7A2	0	00	40	
	613	10	0	02	00	
	613	11A1	0	06	12	
	613	9	0	00	43	
	613	7	0	00	40	
	613	11B1	0	01	00	
	613	6	0	05	76	
	613	2	0	18	20	
	615	1	0	13	56	
	613	3	0	02	55	
	617	1B	0	06	48	
	617	2A	0	06	66	
	617	1A	0	00	40	
	623	1A	0	04	69	
	623	1B	0	13	75	
	623	2A	0	00	74	

1	2	3	4	5	6
	622	4C	0	00	40
	622	4D	0	03	82
	622	4E	0	03	52
	622	5	0	06	17
	622	4B	0	07	44
	479	2	0	10	34
	471	4	0	17	43
	471	3	0	05	14
	471	2A	0	01	85
	471	2B	0	07	32
	468	4	0	12	37
	468	3	0	25	84
	467	2	0	20	28
	467	1A	0	05	44
	465	15	0	06	34
	464	4	0	12	36
	464	3	0	00	86
	464	2	0	10	12
	454	4	0	08	00
	454	2	0	02	12
	436	5	0	01	80
	436	1	0	21	95
	437	1E	0	19	80
	431	-	0	42	53
	441	2C2	0	11	05
	441	2B	0	03	27
	441	2A	0	06	20
	441	4A	0	09	42
	376	1B2	0	00	40
	376	1B1	0	00	70
	378	2C1	0	01	92
	378	2C3	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	378	2B	0	11	64
	378	2A	0	08	27
	378	3B	0	10	80
	359	4	0	02	09
	359	3	0	00	40
	359	2	0	32	25
	359	1	0	07	28
	385	2	0	06	90
	385	1B	0	00	65
	385	1A	0	11	86
	384	12B	0	00	52
NO. 22 KASTHURIPATTI	234	2	0	25	31
	236	2	0	28	14
	237	52	0	05	25
	237	51	0	00	40
	237	53	0	07	87
	237	45	0	11	08
	237	8	0	06	63
	237	36	0	00	43
	237	9	0	01	72
	237	10	0	02	47
	237	12	0	04	16
	237	31	0	02	01
	237	21	0	02	97
	237	30	0	06	47
	237	29	0	00	40
	237	28	0	00	61
	237	27	0	01	06
	237	26	0	01	87
	237	25	0	03	48
	237	23	0	00	40
	180	1	0	20	60

1	2	3	4	5	6
	179	2	0	14	48
	179	1	0	15	52
	178	2	0	26	60
	177	2I	0	21	88
	177	2H	0	06	98

[No. R-25011/14/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली. 16 दिसम्बर. 2004

का. आ. 3213.— केंद्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गयी भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का०आ०1736, तारीख 23, जुलाई, 2004 द्वारा, तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिळचिरापल्ली होकर मदुराई तक और आसनूर से शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी।

और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 23.08.2004 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी।

और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप धारा (1) के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने केंद्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार का उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाना चाहिए।

अतः अब, केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित किया जाता है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का योग करते हुए यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि के उपयोग का अधिकार इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केंद्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय सभी बिल्लिंगमों से मुक्त होकर इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

तालूका : आत्तूर		जिला : सेलम		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
गौव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 98 पेरियेरी	30	3	0	23	10
	29	1	0	00	40
	38	1	0	16	53
	38	4	0	02	36
	38	2	0	08	03
	39	1	0	31	30
	44	4	0	22	04
	193	4अ	0	03	80
	193	1क	0	06	46
	54	3	0	20	67
	54	2अ	0	00	40
	56	2अ	0	16	92
	57	2ब	0	03	68
	57	2अ	0	21	80
	57	3अ	0	03	65
	422	3	0	13	68
	58	2	0	12	00
	115	1	0	16	80
	113	2ब	0	22	60
	114	1	0	00	40
	109	4ब	0	36	84
	107	2ब	0	16	52
	106	2ब	0	34	04
	103	8अ	0	12	47
	103	7	0	00	40
	103	5अ	0	14	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
	103	9अ	0	02	09
	103	5ब	0	02	56
	103	1अ	0	01	12
	103	1ब	0	05	22
	102	2	0	06	04
	102	1	0	00	40
	124	1ब	0	08	01
	124	1क	0	10	78
	124	1इ	0	00	40
	124	1ड	0	09	11
	124	1एफ	0	02	27
	131	8ब	0	06	45
	131	8अ	0	05	78
	130	7	0	00	40
	130	2ब	0	03	82
	131	19	0	02	97
	131	4ब	0	00	40
	130	2अ	0	11	12
	130	1	0	10	03
	134	6	0	06	57
	133	7	0	00	40
	134	5	0	19	15
	134	1	0	09	19
	108	7	0	09	19
	108	6	0	07	88
	108	4अ	0	07	36
	108	3	0	07	01
	108	2ब	0	02	96
	108	2अ	0	02	24
	108	1	0	07	21
	97	3ब	0	05	18
	97	3अ	0	06	37
	97	4	0	09	51
	97	5	0	08	92
	96	1अ	0	00	40

नं. 97 नत्तावकरै

1	2	3	4	5	6
	96	10	0	00	40
	96	11	0	00	40
	95	15अ2	0	03	71
	95	15अ3	0	06	54
	95	5अ4	0	05	33
नं. 94 त्यागनूर	32	6ब	0	07	69
	32	6क	0	00	71
	34	4	0	00	60
	34	3	0	12	12
	36	2	0	00	40
	37	10	0	02	29
	37	9	0	03	78
	37	8	0	03	83
	37	7	0	03	79
	37	6	0	03	65
	37	4	0	00	40
	37	5	0	02	60
	37	11	0	00	40
	37	12	0	03	72
	37	13	0	01	67
	37	14	0	00	40
	58	8ब	0	00	40
	58	6	0	06	88
	58	5ब	0	08	13
	58	5अ	0	06	23
	58	2	0	03	23
	29	2ब	0	11	10
	29	2अ	0	18	77
	7	7	0	09	53
	7	6	0	09	60
	7	5	0	13	41
	7	2ब	0	00	44
	13	1	0	04	70
	11	3अ2	0	14	70
	11	3अ1	0	11	22

1	2	3	4	5	6
	11	2अ	0	09	75
	11	1अ	0	16	90
	15	1	0	00	40
	10	1अ	0	00	93
	10	7ब2	0	11	55
	10	7ब1	0	01	01
	10	1क2	0	04	17
	10	1क1	0	02	88
	10	6ब	0	06	59
	10	2ब2	0	00	40
	10	2ब1	0	04	38
	10	5	0	00	75
	16	1अ	0	05	48
	59	1	0	02	48
नं. 95 मुम्मुडि	111	15	0	10	21
	111	13	0	07	27
	111	11	0	05	86
	111	9	0	01	35
	112	1	0	09	45
	110	3	0	30	15
	109	4	0	03	06
	106	1अ	0	09	50
	106	1ब	0	20	79
	107	8	0	10	08
	107	7	0	11	40
	117	5	0	19	61
	117	4ब	0	11	67
	117	4अ	0	09	33
	117	3	0	05	43
	117	7	0	08	75
	143	5	0	07	95
	73	2	0	14	27
	73	1	0	20	66
	67	4	0	24	86
	67	3	0	03	97

1	2	3	4	5	6
	67	1	0	22	71
	139	3	0	01	83
	135	1	0	02	15
	136	4	0	35	57
	146	3	0	11	23
	147	3	0	28	04
	148	5	0	11	39
	148	6	0	12	78
	149	4	0	17	93
	150	3	0	00	40
	150	2	0	04	97
नं. 86 देवियाकुरिच्चि	187	3ड	0	10	42
	187	3क	0	01	21
	187	3ब	0	10	60
	187	3अ	0	03	00
	187	2	0	04	11
	187	1	0	07	37
	190	4	0	06	48
	190	7	0	05	51
	190	2	0	10	06
	190	1ब	0	05	18
	190	13अ	0	02	16
	190	5	0	00	91
	191	13	0	11	97
	191	6	0	03	78
	191	4	0	04	18
	191	1	0	02	06
	191	3	0	00	40
	191	2	0	05	81
	181	8	0	14	55
	180	1	0	01	27
	179	4	0	01	91
	179	23अ	0	02	87
	179	2ब	0	04	78

1	2	3	4	5	6
	179	5	0	08	00
	178	6	0	16	03
	178	3	0	08	66
	174	1	0	01	64
	175	4	0	01	05
	175	5	0	06	98
	175	6	0	06	62
	175	7	0	12	30
	176	7	0	18	26
	160	8	0	09	72
	160	11	0	01	99
	160	10	0	01	92
	160	7	0	07	39
	160	6	0	06	20
	161	4	0	00	66
	161	7	0	05	99
	181	8	0	07	16
	161	2	0	04	60
	151	14	0	03	80
	151	13	0	07	96
	151	4	0	13	83
	150	3अ	0	15	63
	142	-	0	21	05
	143	1क	0	00	40
	143	1ब	0	00	40
	143	1अ	0	14	77
	141	2ब	0	10	82
	141	2अ	0	01	94
	144	1	0	02	15
	140	3अ	0	07	83
	138	4अ	0	08	30
	138	5	0	14	46
	137	1ब	0	19	77
	137	1अ	0	06	00

1	2	3	4	5	6
	136	5	0	12	24
	136	4	0	08	92
	136	3	0	06	95
	136	2	0	00	40
	135	1	0	10	80
	134	2	0	10	05
	134	1	0	16	30
	134	3	0	04	04
	133	3	0	18	36
	133	2	0	08	87
नं. 87 वडकुमरै	48	5	0	01	27
	48	4	0	02	08
	48	3	0	03	40
	48	2	0	03	21
	48	1	0	02	95
	47	12	0	03	34
	47	11	0	02	72
	47	10	0	06	19
	47	3	0	02	27
	47	2	0	04	67
	5	2	0	11	26
	5	3	0	11	65
	5	7	0	00	40
	5	4	0	22	21
	5	5	0	00	54
	9	4	0	10	10
	9	5	0	00	40
	9	6	0	04	29
	10	11	0	22	93
	11	10	0	11	07
	11	9	0	06	63
	12	23अ	0	02	20
	11	2ब	0	13	85
	11	23अ	0	04	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 76 सारवाय	232	4	0	13	35
	232	8	0	00	40
	232	6	0	02	86
	232	5	0	12	28
	231	4	0	04	50
	231	5	0	08	32
	231	3	0	07	27
	204	7	0	02	68
	204	18	0	04	85
	204	8	0	00	52
	204	17	0	01	16
	204	16	0	02	61
	204	15	0	04	06
	204	14	0	02	41
	204	10	0	05	00
	203	3	0	00	98
	205	15ब	0	08	63
	201	2क1	0	06	23
	201	1	0	14	54
	201	2ब	0	01	31
	198	7	0	01	61
	198	10	0	07	23
	198	11	0	00	67
	198	9	0	00	40
	200	1	0	03	79
	198	5	0	01	81
	200	2	0	07	65
	200	3	0	12	68
	284	11	0	06	03
	284	4	0	05	08
	284	10	0	03	68
	284	6	0	07	28
	284	5	0	05	98
	285	11	0	05	31

1	2	3	4	5	6
	285	10	0	04	02
	285	9	0	06	83
	285	2	0	03	09
	285	3	0	07	18
	332	5	0	14	14
	332	4	0	09	69
	332	3	0	13	92
	332	1	0	06	32
	336	10	0	03	24
	336	8	0	04	53
	336	7	0	02	12
	336	4	0	08	97
	336	6	0	03	69
	339	7ब	0	00	88
	339	8	0	08	81
	339	11	0	07	79
	339	10	0	00	40
	340	5	0	17	21
	340	6	0	02	15
	340	9	0	02	27
	343	2	0	00	40
	317	12	0	05	92
	317	13	0	00	40
	317	11	0	00	52
	317	9	0	00	40
	345	11	0	01	19
	345	10	0	04	50
	345	9	0	01	75
	345	8	0	01	16
	345	7	0	00	55
	345	6	0	06	44
	345	5	0	00	89
	345	3	0	04	67
नं. 75 सदासिवपुरम	175	6	0	03	33
	175	5	0	03	00

1	2	3	4	5	6
	175	4	0	02	06
	175	3	0	06	31
	177	9	0	03	78
	177	8	0	01	38
	177	2	0	00	40
	175	2	0	03	45
	174	10ब2	0	07	46
	174	9क	0	01	98
	174	9ब	0	02	82
	178	8	0	00	40
	178	9ब	0	00	57
	178	9अ	0	01	29
	178	15अ	0	00	50
	178	15ब	0	00	50
	179	12	0	03	73
	179	11	0	03	24
	179	3ब	0	00	40
	179	3अ	0	03	56
	179	4	0	04	07
	179	5	0	01	70
	179	8	0	00	09
	170	10	0	00	09
	169	10	0	00	09
	167	1ब	0	00	40
	167	1अ	0	15	12
	167	4	0	09	24
	162	11	0	10	67
	162	10	0	12	23
	162	6	0	05	03
	163	5	0	09	49
	163	3	0	01	74
	163	2	0	18	12
	120	8	0	21	93
	120	9	0	01	09

1	2	3	4	5	6
	119	5	0	03	38
	114	15	0	05	85
	114	12ड	0	00	40
	114	14	0	00	40
	114	12अ	0	04	52
	114	10ब	0	00	99
	114	12इ	0	02	34
	114	10अ	0	06	23
	114	6	0	04	14
	114	5	0	07	41
	124	14	0	06	16
	124	12	0	03	88
	124	11	0	08	92
	124	1	0	04	65
	63	2	0	12	93
	62	7	0	05	18
	62	5	0	03	22
	62	4	0	03	36
	62	1	0	11	44
	62	2	0	03	08
	60	3ब	0	00	40
	58	9एम	0	01	73
	60	3अ	0	01	60
	58	9एल	0	01	99
	58	9जे	0	02	30
	58	9ऐ	0	02	50
	58	9के	0	01	15
	58	9एफ	0	03	71
	58	9हेच	0	00	42
	58	9जि	0	00	41
	58	8	0	15	94
	58	6क	0	01	74
	58	6अ	0	01	36
	58	6ब	0	05	23

1	2	3	4	5	6
	58	4	0	03	11
	58	3	0	02	55
	56	7	0	04	96
	56	5	0	03	58
	56	2	0	09	60
	56	3	0	02	36
	51	2	0	12	97
	50	4	0	02	77
	36	8	0	00	56
	36	9ब	0	02	16
	36	9अ	0	00	40
	35	9अ3	0	06	50
	35	10	0	01	54
	34	8क	0	00	40
	34	8ब	0	00	40
	34	14	0	01	76
	33	9ब	0	05	27
	33	9अ	0	04	41
	24	14अ	0	05	10
	24	14ब	0	00	45
	20	32	0	01	08
	20	28	0	05	40
	20	27	0	07	20
	20	25	0	01	80
	20	26	0	02	42
	20	24	0	06	52
	20	19	0	05	06
	20	5	0	00	63
	20	4	0	06	66
	20	29	0	00	40
	20	3	0	02	52
	20	2	0	01	20
	20	1	0	15	48
नं. 72 वलेयमादेवि	76	13अ	0	04	27
	79	11	0	01	51
	79	5क2	0	13	85
	79	5क1	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	79	2	0	12	48
	79	3अ2	0	03	60
	66	4ड	0	00	40
	66	4क	0	00	71
	66	4ब	0	02	91
	66	4अ	0	03	20
	66	5ब	0	06	45
	66	6	0	00	40
	66	5अ	0	07	17
	65	1	0	18	49
	63	13क	0	02	28
	63	12	0	00	40
	63	13ब	0	02	03
	63	13अ	0	04	55
	63	8	0	04	31
	63	6	0	15	63
	63	5	0	00	40
	40	9	0	11	20
	40	11ब	0	07	17
	40	11क	0	04	10
	44	20	0	06	35
	44	15क	0	00	40
	44	15ब	0	01	00
	44	16क	0	02	50
	44	16ब	0	00	48
	44	16अ	0	02	70
	44	15अ	0	00	68
	44	12	0	05	74
	44	11	0	11	50
	44	10	0	03	72
	46	10	0	05	75
	46	9	0	06	97
	46	4	0	03	21
	45	1	0	01	58

1	2	3	4	5	6
	46	3	0	04	52
	46	2ब	0	09	58
	48	8एल	0	08	06
	48	8क्यू	0	01	72
	48	8पि	0	02	81
	48	8ओ	0	14	38
	48	9अ	0	00	40
	34	1ब	0	05	05
	34	1क	0	10	67
	34	1अ	0	01	93
	32	19ब	0	13	55
	34	4अ1	0	04	56
	33	20	0	02	45
	33	15ब	0	00	40
	33	15अ	0	03	01
	33	19	0	03	96
	33	18	0	00	40
	105	11अ	0	10	38
	105	3	0	01	94
	105	2	0	03	35
	105	1	0	08	58
	107	2	0	04	45
	109	2	0	18	08
	109	7	0	02	22
	109	8	0	01	41
	123	2	0	01	96
	124	4	0	26	56
	125	2	0	16	62
	126	1ब1	0	07	96
	125	1क	0	04	89
	125	1अ	0	00	40
	34	2	0	02	19
	125	1ब	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 71 तुलुक्कनूर	172	2	0	15	39
	172	1ब	0	23	16
	171	1ब	0	24	44
	149	2	0	70	03
	140	2	0	16	95
	140	1	0	28	18
	136	2ब	0	12	26
	129	4	0	13	33
	135	4	0	44	26
	135	3	0	09	13
	132	2ब	0	01	36
	132	3	0	15	42
	132	5	0	00	40
नं. 70 आत्तूर टाउन		वार्ड एफ ब्लॉक नं. 19			
	6	3	0	26	56
	6	4	0	00	40
	6	1	0	26	61
	3	2	0	16	64
	2	5	0	17	64
	2	2	0	08	50
		वार्ड एफ ब्लॉक नं. 17			
	2	5	0	20	98
	2	3	0	16	92
	1	3	0	18	91
	1	4	0	09	03
	3	2	0	08	83
		वार्ड एफ ब्लॉक नं. 16			
	13	6	0	00	40
	13	7	0	09	92
	14	1	0	00	40
	14	2	0	17	52
	9	2	0	09	89
	9	1	0	09	35

1	2	3	4	5	6
	2	2	0	28	82
	1	1	0	00	40
	1	3	0	19	13
	4	1	0	01	19
	4	2	0	01	37
	4	3	0	02	19
	वार्ड एफ ब्लॉक नं. 13				
	7	2अ	0	19	03
	6	2	0	18	89
	वार्ड एफ ब्लॉक नं. 12				
	14	3	0	00	59
	14	4	0	00	40
	14	5	0	02	72
	14	2	0	00	64
	7	13	0	01	78
	8	10	0	02	49
	8	1	0	01	15
	8	11	0	22	96
	8	5	0	03	84
	8	4	0	04	26
	8	3	0	00	76
	9	5	0	01	76
	9	2	0	17	45
	9	3	0	01	30
	वार्ड ई ब्लॉक नं. 14				
	11	9	0	02	00
	11	7	0	14	52
	11	1	0	05	87
	11	5	0	03	33
	8	4	0	01	08
	4	5	0	01	87
	4	1	0	19	97
	वार्ड ई ब्लॉक नं. 12				
	3	16	0	01	99
	3	13	0	10	64
	3	12	0	00	92

1	2	3	4	5	6
	3	11	0	00	94
	3	4	0	13	70
	3	2	0	00	40
	3	3	0	12	13
	4	9	0	15	46
	5	1	0	00	40
	4	8	0	00	55
	5	3	0	21	17
नं. 52 नरसिंगपुरम	340	4	0	01	00
	340	2	0	20	61
	340	1	0	05	54
	340	3	0	10	77
	341	2ड3	0	18	76
	341	2ड2	0	09	23
	341	2क	0	01	30
	341	2ब	0	08	57
	342	1जि	0	04	80
	342	1एफ	0	14	59
	342	1इ	0	00	90
	342	1ड	0	01	86
	342	1अ	0	14	45
	326	3	0	22	73
	326	5	0	03	91
	326	10	0	01	33
	326	4	0	00	40
	326	9	0	22	52
	326	6	0	01	72
	325	2	0	43	46
	325	3	0	01	40
	318	3	0	02	55
	318	2	0	05	95

1	2	3	4	5	6
	318	1	0	06	15
	317	1	0	17	05
	403	2	0	24	03
	403	1ड	0	37	19
	399	4ड	0	01	41
	403	1क	0	01	78
	403	1ब	0	02	40
	399	4जि	0	09	10
	399	4एफ	0	00	50
	399	5अ	0	02	44
	399	1इ	0	03	58
	399	1क	0	03	98
	316	4	0	02	50
	304	3अ	0	09	21
	304	1अ	0	15	61
	386	3जि	0	00	40
	379	1	0	30	00
	380	2	0	23	91
	380	1	0	11	14
	384	2	0	05	15
	308	2ब	0	03	74
	308	2अ	0	04	70
	308	6अ	0	03	71
	382	4	0	00	72
	381	1	0	14	09
	389	11	0	02	60
	389	10	0	02	77
	389	9	0	06	20
	389	6	0	06	25
	370	2	0	24	36
	370	1	0	06	62
	295	7	0	16	32
	295	10	0	06	65
	295	8	0	06	14

1	2	3	4	5	6
	377	5	0	01	87
	377	4	0	13	88
	377	1	0	14	68
	377	3	0	00	40
	299	4	0	06	32
	299	2	0	04	03
	299	3अ	0	00	52
	149	4अ	0	05	51
	149	4ब	0	06	96
	170	5क	0	07	40
	170	4	0	06	30
	170	2	0	03	80
	169	5	0	05	10
	169	4	0	06	78
	169	3	0	06	75
	168	4	0	20	21
	163	6	0	12	13
	162	12	0	11	50
	162	10	0	05	89
	159	8	0	07	69
	159	9ड	0	01	42
	159	9क	0	03	59
	159	9ब	0	00	83
	159	9अ	0	07	47
	160	9अ	0	00	40
	160	10	0	14	39
	160	1	0	03	90
	157	12	0	04	12
	157	6	0	03	45
	157	17	0	06	77
	157	16	0	04	16
	157	13	0	01	61
	157	4	0	03	20
	157	3क	0	06	64
	157	3अ	0	05	51
	156	1ब1	0	01	68

नं. 42 तांडवरायपुरम

1	2	3	4	5	6
	156	1अ	0	02	68
	155	4	0	18	77
	155	1	0	11	80
	155	2	0	00	40
नं. 44 ईच्छमपट्टि	170	4	0	14	89
	171	2	0	05	53
	170	3	0	08	98
	171	1	0	11	62
	168	3	0	16	95
	169	-	0	25	52
	155	3	0	22	28
	155	2अ	0	01	83
	154	3	0	08	04
	154	4	0	08	32
	154	1	0	13	08
	150	2ड	0	11	76
	150	3	0	02	37
	149	3ब2	0	14	10
	149	3ब1	0	02	55
	149	1हेच	0	12	20
नं. 45 सिलियमपट्टि	278	5	0	09	92
	278	2अ	0	07	45
	277	2ब	0	07	14
	277	3अ	0	05	10
	277	4ब	0	09	43
	277	4क	0	02	66
	276	2अ	0	14	42
	274	-	0	33	85
	273	5अ	0	01	18
	125	3	0	00	59
	138	5	0	00	72
	273	9	0	01	09
	138	4	0	22	42
	143	10	0	01	59

1	2	3	4	5	6
	143	9ब	0	00	40
	143	93अ	0	12	10
	143	23अ	0	05	50
	143	3	0	03	10
	143	2ब	0	04	41
	143	13अ	0	00	46
	145	1	0	01	82
	146	4	0	08	36
	146	5	0	00	40
	146	7	0	05	43
	166	13	0	04	75
	166	10	0	10	69
	166	5	0	06	10
	166	4	0	09	56
	169	8	0	06	26
	169	7	0	05	94
	169	6	0	05	08
	169	5	0	00	45
	169	1	0	17	46
	170	15	0	09	28
	170	8	0	05	48
	170	7	0	04	03
	170	4	0	06	74
	170	3	0	03	87
	173	18	0	03	92
	173	16	0	04	76
	173	14ब	0	00	42
	173	13	0	00	42
	173	143अ	0	02	92
	173	11	0	00	40
	173	123अ	0	04	26
	173	103अ	0	04	00
	178	11	0	08	38
	178	9	0	01	09

1	2	3	4	5	6
	178	8	0	07	03
	177	18	0	06	34
	177	17	0	04	31
	177	9	0	00	40
	177	10	0	10	80
	177	11	0	00	77
	184	7ब	0	05	38
	184	6	0	06	90
	184	7अ	0	09	83
	184	5	0	00	40
	184	8	0	15	55
	183	10	0	19	46
	188	1	0	17	77
	194	19	0	00	54
	194	16	0	00	40
	194	18	0	01	98
	194	13	0	10	23
	194	12अ	0	00	40
	194	12इ	0	01	70
	194	12उ	0	04	48
	194	12क	0	02	59
	194	12ब	0	02	86
	194	8	0	01	11
	194	6ब	0	11	26
	194	6अ	0	00	87
	197	8	0	15	96
	197	3ब	0	00	40
	197	12	0	00	40
	197	7	0	00	55
	197	10अ	0	17	47
	197	10ब	0	00	77
	203	7ब	0	06	20
	203	7अ	0	01	67
	203	6	0	05	57

1	2	3	4	5	6
	203	5इ	0	03	52
	203	5ड	0	01	80
	204	16ब	0	01	21
	204	16अ	0	11	01
	204	15	0	02	76
	204	14	0	02	11
	204	11	0	00	40
	204	12	0	03	19
	204	13	0	00	57
	205	3	0	01	32
	205	4	0	01	90
	205	5	0	04	22
	205	2	0	00	40
नं. 46 मल्लियकरै	57	3	0	04	66
	302	2	0	51	46
	58	-	0	00	40
	59	2	0	02	99
	302	1	0	05	51
	301	5	0	05	23
	301	4	0	10	03
	301	2	0	20	65
	64	5	0	10	73
	63	2	0	00	40
	63	1	0	01	64
	287	6	0	11	17
	64	2	0	07	01
	284	1	0	22	61
	281	2	0	27	92
	285	18	0	03	09
	283	1	0	06	15
	282	3	0	12	50
	282	1	0	00	86
	282	2	0	02	11
	98	2	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	241	10	0	08	79
	233	10	0	08	50
	233	7	0	15	30
	233	8	0	00	67
	233	5	0	08	89
	233	4	0	00	87
	232	2	0	00	40
	235	8	0	03	15
	229	3	0	25	27
	110	3	0	18	69
	198	8	0	11	43
	114	-	0	06	86
	199	1जे	0	09	78
	199	1ऐ	0	01	69
	199	5	0	00	43
	199	3ड	0	00	40
	199	1इ	0	01	20
	199	1एफ	0	02	65
	199	1ड	0	08	76
	200	2	0	03	67
	200	1	0	29	53
	119	4	0	08	51
	183	-	0	25	26
	184	-	0	29	62
	185	-	0	30	53
	124	3	0	06	13
	124	1	0	00	99
	122	4	0	20	77
	122	3	0	01	41
नं. 49 अरसनत्तम	320	5अ	0	16	00
	320	5ब	0	13	31
	320	8अ	0	09	60
	320	7	0	11	00
	320	4	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	256	7	0	02	61
	256	6	0	00	40
	256	1	0	03	05
	238	3ब	0	07	90
	238	3अ	0	08	98
	238	1क	0	09	60
	238	1अ	0	08	65
	234	1क	0	03	98
	234	1अ	0	09	63
	234	1ब	0	01	29
	233	3जि	0	09	56
	233	3एफ	0	07	41
	233	3इ	0	02	53
	233	3ब	0	04	58
	233	3अ	0	00	40
	233	2	0	15	37
	233	1ब	0	05	36
	233	1अ	0	04	57
	231	3क	0	16	97
	231	3ड	0	06	50
	231	3ब	0	12	43
	231	2अ	0	01	67
	62	4	0	22	09
	62	3ब	0	05	15
	62	1ब	0	09	70
	62	2ब	0	04	84
	62	2अ	0	04	61
	62	1अ	0	11	27
	61	8	0	08	40
	61	5	0	07	83
	60	11	0	03	80
	60	10	0	03	39
	60	9	0	03	88
	60	8	0	06	21

नं. 48 गोपालपुरम

1	2	3	4	5	6
	60	7	0	08	93
	60	6	0	09	40
	60	5	0	10	10
	60	3	0	00	87
	87	17ब	0	05	79
	87	17अ	0	01	82
	60	2	0	09	84
	87	16अ	0	04	57
	87	15	0	03	61
	87	14	0	03	88
	56	5	0	00	40
	87	13	0	03	30
	87	12	0	05	99
	87	11	0	05	95
	87	10	0	02	98
	87	9	0	03	70
	87	2	0	02	77
	87	1	0	02	55
	54	7	0	12	04
	93	15	0	10	28
	93	6	0	05	90
	93	7	0	03	02
	93	12	0	01	55
	93	11	0	05	40
	93	8	0	00	43
	93	10	0	02	00
	93	9	0	00	40
	93	2	0	15	93
	104	1	0	03	27
	105	1क	0	13	11
	105	1अ	0	34	81
	13	2	0	19	17
	14	2	0	23	56
	9	2ब	0	27	14
	9	1ब	0	10	20

1	2	3	4	5	6
तालूका : गंगावल्ली	जिला : सेलम		राज्य : तमिलनाडु		
नं. 25 ओटियत्तूर	26	9अ	0	00	93
	5	3एफ	0	01	93
	5	3इ	0	09	77
	5	3ड	0	07	42
	5	3ब	0	02	53
	5	3क	0	01	74
	5	3अ	0	00	40
	5	2	0	19	26
	5	5	0	07	41
	5	4	0	07	91
	4	3	0	03	07
	4	2अ	0	11	34
	4	2ब	0	02	08
	4	2क	0	11	87
	3	4ब	0	07	85
	3	4अ	0	02	33
	3	5	0	02	25
	3	3अ2	0	00	40
	3	1	0	15	26
	2	2इ	0	00	40

[फा. सं. आर-25011/14/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3213.—Whereas, by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 1736 dated the 23rd July 2004 issued under sub section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (herein after referred to as the said Act) the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Madurai via Tiruchirapalli and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, copies of the said notification were made available to the public from 23.08.2004;

And whereas, the Competent Authority in pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act has submitted his report to the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government, after considering the said report is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest from the date of publication of this declaration, in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Taluk : Attur		District : Salem		State : Tamil Nadu		
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area			
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
NO. 98 PERIYERI	30	3	0	23	10	
	29	1	0	00	40	
	38	1	0	16	53	
	38	4	0	02	36	
	38	2	0	08	03	
	39	1	0	31	30	
	44	4	0	22	04	
	193	4A	0	03	80	
	193	1C	0	06	46	
	54	3	0	20	67	
	54	2A	0	00	40	
	56	2A	0	16	92	
	57	2B	0	03	68	
	57	2A	0	21	80	
	57	3A	0	03	65	

1	2	3	4	5	6
	422	3	0	13	68
	58	2	0	12	00
	115	1	0	16	80
	113	2B	0	22	80
	114	1	0	00	40
	109	4B	0	36	84
	107	2B	0	16	52
	106	2B	0	34	04
	103	8A	0	12	47
	103	7	0	00	40
	103	5A	0	14	24
	103	8A	0	02	09
	103	5B	0	02	56
	103	1A	0	01	12
	103	1B	0	05	22
	102	2	0	06	04
	102	1	0	00	40
	124	1B	0	08	01
	124	1C	0	10	76
	124	1E	0	00	40
	124	1D	0	09	11
	124	1F	0	02	27
	131	8B	0	06	45
	131	8A	0	05	78
	130	7	0	00	40
	130	2B	0	03	82
	131	19	0	02	97
	131	4B	0	00	40
	130	2A	0	11	12
	130	1	0	10	03
	134	6	0	06	57
	133	7	0	00	40
	134	5	0	19	15
	134	1	0	09	86
NO. 97 NATHAKARAI	108	7	0	09	72
	108	6	0	07	52
	108	4A	0	07	38

1	2	3	4	5	6
	108	3	0	07	01
	108	2B	0	02	96
	108	2A	0	02	24
	108	1	0	07	21
	97	3B	0	05	18
	97	3A	0	06	37
	97	4	0	09	51
	97	5	0	08	92
	96	1A	0	00	40
	96	10	0	00	40
	96	11	0	00	40
	95	15A2	0	03	71
	95	15A3	0	06	54
	95	15A4	0	05	33
NO. 94 THIYAGANUR	32	6B	0	07	69
	32	6C	0	00	71
	34	4	0	00	60
	34	3	0	12	12
	36	2	0	00	40
	37	10	0	02	29
	37	9	0	03	78
	37	8	0	03	83
	37	7	0	03	79
	37	6	0	03	65
	37	4	0	00	40
	37	5	0	02	60
	37	11	0	00	40
	37	12	0	03	72
	37	13	0	01	67
	37	14	0	00	40
	58	8B	0	00	40
	58	6	0	06	88
	58	5B	0	08	13
	58	5A	0	06	23
	58	2	0	03	23
	29	2B	0	11	10
	29	2A	0	18	77
	7	7	0	09	53

1	2	3	4	5	6
	7	6	0	09	60
	7	5	0	13	41
	7	2B	0	00	44
	13	1	0	04	70
	11	3A2	0	14	70
	11	3A1	0	11	22
	11	2A	0	09	75
	11	1A	0	16	90
	15	1	0	00	40
	10	1A	0	00	93
	10	7B2	0	11	55
	10	7B1	0	01	01
	10	1C2	0	04	17
	10	1C1	0	02	88
	10	6B	0	06	59
	10	2B2	0	00	40
	10	2B1	0	04	38
	10	5	0	00	75
	16	1A	0	05	48
	59	1	0	02	48
NO.95 MUMMUDI	111	15	0	10	21
	111	13	0	07	27
	111	11	0	05	86
	111	9	0	01	35
	112	1	0	09	45
	110	3	0	30	15
	109	4	0	03	06
	108	1A	0	09	50
	108	1B	0	20	79
	107	8	0	10	08
	107	7	0	11	40
	117	5	0	19	61
	117	4B	0	11	67
	117	4A	0	09	33
	117	3	0	05	43
	117	7	0	08	75
	143	5	0	07	95

1	2	3	4	5	6
	73	2	0	14	27
	73	1	0	20	66
	67	4	0	24	86
	67	3	0	03	97
	67	1	0	22	71
	139	3	0	01	83
	135	1	0	02	15
	136	4	0	35	57
	146	3	0	11	23
	147	3	0	26	04
	148	5	0	11	39
	148	6	0	12	78
	149	4	0	17	93
	150	3	0	00	40
	150	2	0	04	97
NO. 86 DEVIYAKURICHI	187	3D	0	10	42
	187	3C	0	01	21
	187	3B	0	10	60
	187	3A	0	03	00
	187	2	0	04	11
	187	1	0	07	37
	190	4	0	06	48
	190	7	0	05	51
	190	2	0	10	06
	190	1B	0	05	18
	190	1A	0	02	16
	190	5	0	00	91
	191	13	0	11	97
	191	6	0	03	78
	191	4	0	04	18
	191	1	0	02	06
	191	3	0	00	40
	191	2	0	05	81
	181	8	0	14	55
	180	1	0	01	27
	179	4	0	01	91

1	2	3	4	5	6
	179	2A	0	02	87
	179	2B	0	04	78
	179	5	0	08	00
	178	6	0	16	03
	178	3	0	08	66
	174	1	0	01	64
	175	4	0	01	05
	175	5	0	06	98
	175	6	0	06	62
	175	7	0	12	30
	176	7	0	18	26
	160	8	0	09	72
	160	11	0	01	99
	160	10	0	01	92
	160	7	0	07	39
	160	6	0	06	20
	161	4	0	00	66
	161	7	0	05	99
	161	8	0	07	16
	161	2	0	04	60
	151	14	0	03	80
	151	13	0	07	96
	151	4	0	13	83
	150	3A	0	15	63
	142	-	0	21	05
	143	1C	0	00	40
	143	1B	0	00	40
	143	1A	0	14	77
	141	2B	0	10	82
	141	2A	0	01	94
	144	1	0	02	15
	140	3A	0	07	83
	138	4A	0	08	30
	138	5	0	14	46
	137	1B	0	19	77
	137	1A	0	06	00

1	2	3	4	5	6
	136	5	0	12	24
	136	4	0	08	92
	136	3	0	08	95
	136	2	0	00	40
	135	1	0	10	80
	134	2	0	10	05
	134	1	0	16	30
	134	3	0	04	04
	133	3	0	18	36
	133	2	0	06	87
NO. 87. VADAKUMARAI	48	5	0	01	27
	48	4	0	02	06
	48	3	0	03	40
	48	2	0	03	21
	48	1	0	02	95
	47	12	0	03	34
	47	11	0	02	72
	47	10	0	00	19
	47	3	0	02	27
	47	2	0	04	67
	5	2	0	11	26
	5	3	0	11	05
	5	7	0	00	00
	5	4	0	22	21
	5	5	0	00	54
	9	4	0	10	10
	9	5	0	00	40
	9	6	0	04	29
	10	11	0	22	99
	11	10	0	11	07
	11	9	0	06	63
	12	2A	0	02	20
	11	2B	0	13	85
	11	2A	0	04	64

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO. 76 SARVAI	232	4	0	13	35
	232	8	0	00	40
	232	6	0	02	86
	232	5	0	12	28
	231	4	0	04	50
	231	5	0	08	32
	231	3	0	07	27
	204	7	0	02	68
	204	18	0	04	85
	204	8	0	00	52
	204	17	0	01	16
	204	16	0	02	61
	204	15	0	04	06
	204	14	0	02	41
	204	10	0	05	00
	203	3	0	00	98
	205	15B	0	08	63
	201	2C1	0	06	23
	201	1	0	14	54
	201	2B	0	01	31
	198	7	0	01	61
	198	10	0	07	23
	198	11	0	00	67
	198	9	0	00	40
	200	1	0	03	79
	198	5	0	01	81
	200	2	0	07	65
	200	3	0	12	68
	284	11	0	06	03
	284	4	0	05	08
	284	10	0	03	68
	284	6	0	07	28
	284	5	0	05	98
	285	11	0	05	31

1	2	3	4	5	6
	285	10	0	04	02
	285	9	0	06	83
	285	2	0	03	09
	285	3	0	07	18
	332	5	0	14	14
	332	4	0	09	69
	332	3	0	13	92
	332	1	0	06	32
	336	10	0	03	24
	336	8	0	04	53
	336	7	0	02	12
	336	4	0	08	97
	336	6	0	03	69
	339	7B	0	00	88
	339	8	0	08	81
	339	11	0	07	79
	339	10	0	00	40
	340	5	0	17	21
	340	6	0	02	15
	340	9	0	02	27
	343	2	0	00	40
	317	12	0	05	92
	317	13	0	00	40
	317	11	0	00	52
	317	9	0	00	40
	345	11	0	01	19
	345	10	0	04	50
	345	9	0	01	75
	345	8	0	01	16
	345	7	0	00	55
	345	6	0	06	44
	345	5	0	00	89
	345	3	0	04	67
NO.75. SADASIVAPURAM	175	6	0	03	33
	175	5	0	03	00

1	2	3	4	5	6
	175	4	0	02	06
	175	3	0	06	31
	177	9	0	03	78
	177	8	0	01	38
	177	2	0	00	40
	175	2	0	03	45
	174	10B2	0	07	46
	174	9C	0	01	98
	174	9B	0	02	82
	178	8	0	00	40
	178	9B	0	00	57
	178	9A	0	01	29
	178	15A	0	00	50
	178	15B	0	00	50
	179	12	0	03	73
	179	11	0	03	24
	179	3B	0	00	40
	179	3A	0	03	56
	179	4	0	04	07
	179	5	0	01	70
	179	8	0	08	60
	170	10	0	00	65
	169	10	0	16	32
	167	1B	0	00	40
	167	1D	0	15	12
	167	4	0	09	24
	162	11	0	10	67
	162	10	0	12	23
	162	8	0	05	03
	163	5	0	09	49
	163	3	0	01	74
	163	2	0	18	12
	120	8	0	21	93
	120	9	0	01	09

1	2	3	4	5	6
	119	5	0	03	38
	114	15	0	05	85
	114	12D	0	00	40
	114	14	0	00	40
	114	12A	0	04	52
	114	10B	0	00	99
	114	12E	0	02	34
	114	10A	0	06	23
	114	6	0	04	14
	114	5	0	07	41
	124	14	0	06	16
	124	12	0	03	88
	124	11	0	08	92
	124	1	0	04	65
	63	2	0	12	93
	62	7	0	05	18
	62	5	0	03	22
	62	4	0	03	36
	62	1	0	11	44
	62	2	0	03	08
	60	3B	0	00	40
	58	9M	0	01	73
	60	3A	0	01	60
	58	9L	0	01	99
	58	9J	0	02	30
	58	9I	0	02	50
	58	9K	0	01	15
	58	9F	0	03	71
	58	9H	0	00	42
	58	9G	0	00	41
	58	8	0	15	94
	58	6C	0	01	74
	58	6A	0	01	36
	58	6B	0	05	23

1	2	3	4	5	6
	58	4	0	03	11
	58	3	0	02	55
	56	7	0	04	96
	56	5	0	03	58
	56	2	0	09	60
	56	3	0	02	36
	51	2	0	12	97
	50	4	0	02	77
	36	8	0	00	56
	36	9B	0	02	16
	36	9A	0	00	40
	35	9A3	0	06	50
	35	10	0	01	54
	34	8C	0	00	40
	34	8B	0	00	40
	34	14	0	01	76
	33	9B	0	05	27
	33	9A	0	04	41
	24	14A	0	05	10
	24	14B	0	00	45
	20	32	0	01	08
	20	28	0	05	40
	20	27	0	07	20
	20	25	0	01	80
	20	26	0	02	42
	20	24	0	06	52
	20	19	0	05	06
	20	5	0	00	63
	20	4	0	06	66
	20	29	0	00	40
	20	3	0	02	52
	20	2	0	01	20
	20	1	0	15	48
NO.72 VALAIYAMADEVI	76	1A	0	04	27
	79	11	0	01	51
	79	5C2	0	13	85
	79	5C1	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	79	2	0	12	48
	79	3A2	0	03	60
	66	4D	0	00	40
	66	4C	0	00	71
	66	4B	0	02	91
	66	4A	0	03	20
	66	5B	0	06	45
	66	6	0	00	40
	66	5A	0	07	17
	65	1	0	18	49
	63	13C	0	02	28
	63	12	0	00	40
	63	13B	0	02	03
	63	13A	0	04	55
	63	8	0	04	31
	63	6	0	15	63
	63	5	0	00	40
	40	9	0	11	20
	40	11B	0	07	17
	40	11C	0	04	10
	44	20	0	06	35
	44	15C	0	00	40
	44	15B	0	01	00
	44	16C	0	02	50
	44	16B	0	00	48
	44	16A	0	02	70
	44	15A	0	00	68
	44	12	0	05	74
	44	11	0	11	50
	44	10	0	03	72
	46	10	0	05	75
	46	9	0	06	97
	46	4	0	03	21
	45	1	0	01	58

1	2	3	4	5	6
	46	3	0	04	52
	46	2B	0	09	58
	48	8L	0	08	06
	48	8Q	0	01	72
	48	8P	0	02	81
	48	8O	0	14	38
	48	9A	0	00	40
	34	1B	0	05	05
	34	1C	0	10	67
	34	1A	0	01	93
	32	19B	0	13	55
	34	4A1	0	04	56
	33	20	0	02	45
	33	15B	0	00	40
	33	15A	0	03	01
	33	19	0	03	96
	33	18	0	00	40
	105	11A	0	10	38
	105	3	0	01	94
	105	2	0	03	35
	105	1	0	08	58
	107	2	0	04	45
	109	2	0	18	08
	109	7	0	02	22
	109	8	0	01	41
	123	2	0	01	96
	124	4	0	26	56
	125	2	0	16	62
	126	1B1	0	07	96
	125	1C	0	04	89
	125	1A	0	00	40
	34	2	0	02	19
	125	1B	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO. 71 THULUKKANUR	172	2	0	15	39
	172	1B	0	23	16
	171	1B	0	24	44
	149	2	0	70	03
	140	2	0	16	95
	140	1	0	28	18
	136	2B	0	12	26
	129	4	0	13	33
	135	4	0	44	26
	135	3	0	09	13
	132	2B	0	01	36
	132	3	0	15	42
	132	5	0	00	40
	Ward F Block No. 19				
NO. 70 ATTUR TOWN	6	3	0	26	56
	6	4	0	00	40
	6	1	0	26	61
	3	2	0	16	64
	2	5	0	17	64
	2	2	0	08	50
	Ward F Block No. 17				
	2	5	0	20	98
	2	3	0	16	92
	1	3	0	18	91
	1	4	0	09	03
	3	2	0	08	83
	Ward F Block No. 16				
	13	6	0	00	40
	13	7	0	09	92
	14	1	0	00	40
	14	2	0	17	52
	9	2	0	09	89
	9	1	0	09	35

1	2	3	4	5	6
	2	2	0	28	82
	1	1	0	00	40
	1	3	0	19	13
	4	1	0	01	19
	4	2	0	01	37
	4	3	0	02	19
	Ward F Block No. 13				
	7	2A	0	19	03
	6	2	0	18	89
	Ward F Block No. 12				
	14	3	0	00	59
	14	4	0	00	40
	14	5	0	02	72
	14	2	0	00	64
	7	13	0	01	73
	8	10	0	02	49
	8	1	0	01	15
	8	11	0	22	96
	8	5	0	03	84
	8	4	0	04	26
	8	3	0	00	76
	9	5	0	01	76
	9	2	0	17	45
	9	3	0	01	30
	Ward E Block No. 14				
	11	9	0	02	00
	11	7	0	14	52
	11	1	0	05	87
	11	5	0	03	33
	8	4	0	01	08
	4	5	0	01	87
	4	1	0	19	97
	Ward E Block No. 12				
	3	16	0	01	99
	3	13	0	10	64
	3	12	0	00	92

1	2	3	4	5	6
	3	11	0	00	94
	3	4	0	13	70
	3	2	0	00	40
	3	3	0	12	13
	4	9	0	15	46
	5	1	0	00	40
	4	8	0	00	55
	5	3	0	21	17
NO.52 NARASINGAPURAM	340	4	0	01	00
	340	2	0	20	61
	340	1	0	05	54
	340	3	0	10	77
	341	2D3	0	18	76
	341	2D2	0	09	23
	341	2C	0	01	30
	341	2B	0	08	57
	342	1G	0	04	80
	342	1F	0	14	59
	342	1E	0	00	90
	342	1D	0	01	86
	342	1A	0	14	45
	326	3	0	22	73
	326	5	0	03	91
	326	10	0	01	33
	326	4	0	00	40
	326	9	0	22	52
	326	6	0	01	72
	325	2	0	43	46
	325	3	0	01	40
	318	3	0	02	55
	318	2	0	05	95

1	2	3	4	5	6
	318	1	0	06	15
	317	1	0	17	05
	403	2	0	24	03
	403	1D	0	37	19
	399	4D	0	01	41
	403	1C	0	01	78
	403	1B	0	02	40
	399	4G	0	09	10
	399	4F	0	00	50
	399	5A	0	02	44
	399	1E	0	03	58
	399	1C	0	03	98
	316	4	0	02	50
	304	3A	0	09	21
	304	1A	0	15	61
	386	3G	0	00	40
	379	1	0	30	00
	380	2	0	23	91
	380	1	0	11	14
	384	2	0	05	15
	306	2B	0	03	74
	306	2A	0	04	70
	306	6A	0	03	21
	392	4	0	00	72
	391	1	0	14	09
	369	11	0	02	60
	369	10	0	02	77
	369	9	0	06	20
	369	6	0	06	25
	370	2	0	24	36
	370	1	0	06	62
	295	7	0	16	32
	295	10	0	06	65
	295	8	0	06	14

1	2	3	4	5	6
	377	5	0	01	87
	377	4	0	13	88
	377	1	0	14	68
	377	3	0	00	40
	299	4	0	06	32
	299	2	0	04	03
	299	3A	0	00	52
NO.42 THANDAVARAYAPURAM	149	4A	0	05	51
	149	4B	0	06	96
	170	5C	0	07	40
	170	4	0	06	30
	170	2	0	03	80
	169	5	0	05	10
	169	4	0	06	78
	169	3	0	06	75
	168	4	0	20	21
	183	6	0	12	13
	162	12	0	11	50
	162	10	0	05	89
	159	8	0	07	69
	159	9D	0	01	42
	159	9C	0	03	59
	159	9B	0	00	83
	159	9A	0	07	47
	160	9A	0	00	40
	160	10	0	14	39
	160	1	0	03	90
	157	12	0	04	12
	157	6	0	03	45
	157	17	0	06	77
	157	16	0	04	16
	157	13	0	01	61
	157	4	0	03	20
	157	3C	0	06	64
	157	3A	0	05	51
	156	1B1	0	01	68

1	2	3	4	5	6
	156	1A	0	02	58
	155	4	0	18	77
	155	1	0	11	80
	155	2	0	00	40
NO. 44 EACHAMPATTI	170	4	0	14	80
	171	2	0	05	53
	170	3	0	08	98
	171	1	0	11	62
	168	3	0	16	95
	169	-	0	25	52
	155	3	0	22	28
	155	2A	0	01	83
	154	3	0	08	04
	154	4	0	08	32
	154	1	0	13	03
	150	2D	0	11	76
	150	3	0	02	37
	149	3B2	0	14	10
	149	3B1	0	02	55
	149	1H	0	12	20
NO 45 SEELIYAMPATTI	278	5	0	09	92
	278	2A	0	07	45
	277	2B	0	07	14
	277	3A	0	05	10
	277	4B	0	09	43
	277	4C	0	02	65
	276	2A	0	14	42
	274	-	0	33	85
	273	5A	0	01	18
	125	3	0	00	50
	138	5	0	00	72
	273	9	0	01	09
	138	4	0	22	42
	143	10	0	01	59

1	2	3	4	5	6
	143	9B	0	00	40
	143	9A	0	12	10
	143	2A	0	05	50
	143	3	0	03	10
	143	2B	0	04	41
	143	1A	0	00	46
	145	1	0	01	82
	146	4	0	08	36
	146	5	0	00	40
	146	7	0	05	43
	166	13	0	04	75
	166	10	0	10	69
	166	5	0	06	10
	166	4	0	09	56
	169	8	0	06	26
	169	7	0	05	94
	169	6	0	05	08
	169	5	0	00	45
	169	1	0	17	46
	170	15	0	09	28
	170	8	0	05	48
	170	7	0	04	03
	170	4	0	06	74
	170	3	0	03	87
	173	18	0	03	92
	173	16	0	04	76
	173	14B	0	00	42
	173	13	0	00	42
	173	14A	0	02	92
	173	11	0	00	40
	173	12A	0	04	26
	173	10A	0	04	00
	178	11	0	08	38
	178	9	0	01	09

1	2	3	4	5	6
	178	8	0	07	03
	177	18	0	06	34
	177	17	0	04	31
	177	9	0	00	40
	177	10	0	10	80
	177	11	0	00	77
	184	7B	0	05	38
	184	6	0	06	90
	184	7A	0	09	83
	184	5	0	00	40
	184	8	0	15	55
	183	10	0	19	46
	188	1	0	17	77
	194	19	0	00	54
	194	16	0	00	40
	194	18	0	01	98
	194	13	0	10	23
	194	12A	0	00	40
	194	12E	0	01	70
	194	12D	0	04	48
	194	12C	0	02	59
	194	12B	0	02	86
	194	8	0	01	11
	194	6B	0	11	26
	194	6A	0	00	87
	197	8	0	15	96
	197	3B	0	00	40
	197	12	0	00	40
	197	7	0	00	55
	197	10A	0	17	47
	197	10B	0	00	77
	203	7B	0	06	20
	203	7A	0	01	67
	203	6	0	05	57

1	2	3	4	5	6
	203	5E	0	03	52
	203	5D	0	01	80
	204	16B	0	01	21
	204	16A	0	11	01
	204	15	0	02	76
	204	14	0	02	11
	204	11	0	00	40
	204	12	0	03	19
	204	13	0	00	57
	205	3	0	01	32
	205	4	0	01	90
	205	5	0	04	22
	205	2	0	00	40
NO.46 MALLIYAKARAI	57	3	0	04	66
	302	2	0	51	46
	58	-	0	00	40
	59	2	0	02	99
	302	1	0	05	51
	301	5	0	05	23
	301	4	0	10	03
	301	2	0	20	65
	64	5	0	10	73
	63	2	0	00	40
	63	1	0	01	64
	287	6	0	11	17
	64	2	0	07	01
	284	1	0	22	61
	281	2	0	27	92
	285	18	0	03	09
	283	1	0	06	15
	282	3	0	12	50
	282	1	0	00	86
	282	2	0	02	11
	98	2	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	241	10	0	08	79
	233	10	0	08	50
	233	7	0	15	30
	233	8	0	00	67
	233	5	0	08	89
	233	4	0	00	87
	232	2	0	00	40
	235	8	0	03	15
	229	3	0	25	27
	110	3	0	18	69
	198	8	0	11	43
	114	-	0	06	86
	199	1J	0	09	78
	199	1I	0	01	69
	199	5	0	00	43
	199	3D	0	00	40
	199	1E	0	01	20
	199	1F	0	02	65
	199	1D	0	08	76
	200	2	0	03	67
	200	1	0	29	53
	119	4	0	08	51
	183	-	0	25	26
	184	-	0	29	62
	185	-	0	30	53
	124	3	0	06	13
	124	1	0	00	99
	122	4	0	20	77
	122	3	0	01	41
NO.49 ARASANATHAM	320	5A	0	16	00
	320	5B	0	13	31
	320	8A	0	09	60
	320	7	0	11	00
	320	4	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	256	7	0	02	61
	256	6	0	00	40
	256	1	0	03	05
	238	3B	0	07	90
	238	3A	0	08	98
	238	1C	0	09	60
	238	1A	0	08	65
	234	1C	0	03	98
	234	1A	0	09	63
	234	1B	0	01	29
	233	3G	0	09	56
	233	3F	0	07	41
	233	3E	0	02	53
	233	3B	0	04	58
	233	3A	0	00	40
	233	2	0	15	37
	233	1B	0	05	36
	233	1A	0	04	57
	231	3C	0	16	97
	231	3D	0	06	50
	231	3B	0	12	43
	231	2A	0	01	87
NO.48 GOPALAPURAM	62	4	0	22	09
	62	3B	0	05	15
	62	1B	0	09	70
	62	2B	0	04	84
	62	2A	0	04	61
	62	1A	0	11	27
	61	8	0	08	40
	61	5	0	07	83
	60	11	0	03	80
	60	10	0	03	39
	60	9	0	03	88
	60	8	0	06	21

1	2	3	4	5	6
	60	7	0	08	93
	60	6	0	09	40
	60	5	0	10	10
	60	3	0	00	87
	87	17B	0	05	79
	87	17A	0	01	82
	60	2	0	09	84
	87	16A	0	04	57
	87	15	0	03	61
	87	14	0	03	88
	56	5	0	00	40
	87	13	0	03	30
	87	12	0	05	99
	87	11	0	05	95
	87	10	0	02	98
	87	9	0	03	70
	87	2	0	02	77
	87	1	0	02	55
	54	7	0	12	04
	93	15	0	10	28
	93	6	0	05	90
	93	7	0	03	82
	93	12	0	01	55
	93	11	0	05	46
	93	8	0	00	43
	93	10	0	02	00
	93	9	0	00	40
	93	2	0	15	93
	104	1	0	03	27
	105	1C	0	13	11
	105	1A	0	34	81
	13	2	0	19	17
	14	2	0	23	56
	9	2B	0	27	14
	9	1B	0	10	20

1	2	3	4	5	6
Taluk : Gangavalli	District : Salem		State : Tamil Nadu		
NO. 25 ODHIYATHUR	26	9A	0	00	93
	5	3F	0	01	93
	5	3E	0	09	77
	5	3D	0	07	42
	5	3B	0	02	53
	5	3C	0	01	74
	5	3A	0	00	40
	5	2	0	19	26
	5	5	0	07	41
	5	4	0	07	91
	4	3	0	03	07
	4	2A	0	11	34
	4	2B	0	02	08
	4	2C	0	11	87
	3	4B	0	07	85
	3	4A	0	02	33
	3	5	0	02	25
	3	3A2	0	00	40
	3	1	0	15	26
	2	2E	0	00	40

[No. R-25011/14/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

र का. आ. 3214.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिरुच्चि, मदुराई और शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादन के परिवहन के लिये इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करना आवश्यक है ;

तालूका : आत्तूर		जिला : सेलम		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 98 पेरियेरी	44	4	0	02	80
	193	4अ	0	15	64
	193	1क	0	19	82
	54	3	0	09	21
	194	3अ1	0	01	80
	192	1अ	0	01	08
नं. 70 आत्तूर टाउन		वार्ड ई ब्लॉक नं. 12			
	3	3	0	01	73

1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 42 ताडवरायपुरम	159	7	0	01	87
	159	6	0	00	40
	169	2	0	04	65
	168	5	0	16	08
	163	5	0	12	52
	163	4	0	06	05
	163	3	0	09	65
	162	7	0	10	85
	154	2	0	04	25

[फा. सं. आर-25011/14/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3214.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Trichy, Madurai and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. R.Vajravelu, Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Chennai-Trichy-Madurai Product Pipeline Project with a branch pipeline from Asanur to Sankari, 4/2, Arockiasamy Street, Crawford Colony, Tiruchirappalli-620 012, Tamilnadu.

SCHEDULE

Taluk : Attur		District : Salem		State : Tamil Nadu	
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
NO. 98 PERIYERI	44	4	0	02	80
	193	4A	0	15	64
	193	1C	0	19	82
	54	3	0	09	21
	194	3A1	0	01	80
	192	1A	0	01	08
NO.70 ATTUR TOWN	Ward E Block No. 12				
	3	3	0	01	73
NO.42 THANDAVARAYAPURAM	159	7	0	01	87
	159	6	0	00	40
	169	2	0	04	65
	168	5	0	16	08
	163	5	0	12	52
	163	4	0	06	05
	163	3	0	09	65
	162	7	0	10	85
	154	2	0	04	25

[No. R-25011/14/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3215.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिरुचि, मदुराई और शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादन के परिवहन के लिये इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करना आवश्यक है ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 58) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस तारीख से, जिसको भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रति लिखित रूप में आक्षेप श्री आर. वजयेलू, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, चेन्नई-तिरुचि -मदुराई उत्पाद पाइपलाइन परियोजना और आसन्नूर से शंकरी तक ब्रांच पाइपलाइन परियोजना, 4/2, आरोग्यसामी स्ट्रीट, काफोर्ड कालोनि, तिरुचिरापल्ली -620 012, (तमिलनाडु) को कर सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तालुका : पोन्नौर	जिला : तिरुवल्लूर		राज्य : तमिलनाडु		
गोंव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं 104 सोतुपेरुंबेडु	84	—	0	05	39
	79	—	0	09	14
	78	2	0	05	02
	78	3	0	04	34
	78	1	0	03	50

[फा. सं. आर-25011/18/2004-ओ.आर.-I]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3215.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Trichy, Madurai and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. R.Vajravelu, Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Chennai-Trichy-Madurai Product Pipeline Project with a branch pipeline from Asanur to Sankari, 4/2, Arockiasamy Street, Crawford Colony, Tiruchirappalli-620 012, Tamilnadu.

SCHEDULE

Taluk : Ponneri	District : Tiruvallur		State : Tamil Nadu		
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.		Area	
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.104 SOTHUPERUMBEDU	84	-	0	05	39
	79	-	0	09	14
	78	2	0	05	92
	78	3	0	04	34
	78	1	0	03	50

[No. R-25011/18/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3216.—केंद्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गयी भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या क्र०आ०1740, तारीख 23, जुलाई, 2004 द्वारा, तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिठुच्चिराप्पल्ली होकर मदुराई तक और आसनूर से शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी।

और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 23.08.2004 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी।

और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप धारा (i) के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने केंद्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार का उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाना चाहिए।

अतः अब, केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित किया जाता है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का योग करते हुए यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि के उपयोग का अधिकार इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केंद्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय सभी बिल्लिंगों से मुक्त होकर इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

तालूका : अम्बल्लूर		जिला : तिरुवल्लूर		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
सट्टनकाडू (थिरुवोटियूर टाऊन)					
		वार्ड डि ब्लाक 1 टि एस 4	0	70	65
		वार्ड डि ब्लाक 1 टि एस 3	0	04	24
नं. 41 मनालि	258	5	0	10	45
	258	4अ	0	13	65
	258	3अ1	0	02	56
	258	3अ2	0	03	20
	258	3अ3	0	03	18
	258	3ब	0	04	83
	253	1ब	0	20	90
	253	1अ	0	05	21
	127	2ब3	0	00	40
	116	9	0	08	24
	116	8	0	06	08
	116	4	0	04	64
	116	3	0	05	07
	115	5	0	06	45
	115	4	0	04	34
	115	1	0	10	38
	113	7	0	07	80
	113	6	0	07	86
	84	4	0	07	58
	84	3	0	00	40
	85	6	0	05	19
	85	4	0	03	62
	85	3	0	00	62
	89	12	0	02	10
	89	10	0	04	06
	89	8	0	01	72
89	11	0	07	81	

1	2	3	4	5	6
	89	6	0	12	42
	89	4	0	11	08
	99	12	0	06	24
	99	11	0	04	78
	99	13	0	00	48
	99	9	0	02	29
	99	10	0	03	30
	100	-	0	16	65
	97	4	0	00	40
	58	1ब	0	02	40
	58	1अ	0	10	33
	61	3	0	07	03
	61	2	0	17	42
	61	1	0	10	53
	62	3	0	06	32
	62	4	0	10	65
	62	1	0	08	38
	65	1ब	0	07	49
	65	1अ	0	13	42
	65	3अ	0	02	73
	64	4	0	09	31
	64	2	0	09	11
	8	1ब	0	10	28
	8	1अ	0	08	40
	9	3ब	0	14	33
	9	3अ	0	13	08
	10	5	0	13	66
	10	1ब	0	15	30
	10	1अ	0	00	89
	62	5	0	16	42
	62	4	0	00	40
	62	3	0	01	87
	62	2	0	05	32
	61	1	0	09	87
	55	7	0	06	42
	57	1	0	00	40
	46	2	0	08	08
	46	6	0	14	45

नं. 28 अरियलूर

1	2	3	4	5	6
	45	3	0	13	69
	45	2	0	10	65
	39	-	0	21	38
	43	1	0	13	86
	41	5	0	00	75
	41	3	0	09	13
	41	1	0	10	51

तालूका : पोन्नेरि	जिला : तिरुवल्लूर		राज्य : तमिलनाडु		
नं 150 विचूर	396	5	0	15	42
	396	3	0	07	88
	396	2	0	02	73
	395	4	0	05	62
	395	3	0	07	12
	395	2अ	0	03	95
	394	6ब	0	01	75
	394	4	0	12	26
	394	3	0	09	69
	360	3	0	01	57
	360	2क	0	04	57
	360	2ब	0	03	22
	360	2अ	0	00	76
	360	1	0	03	65
	361	8	0	01	57
	361	9	0	10	72
	361	5	0	01	38
	361	4	0	04	30
	361	1	0	04	37
	363	2अ	0	00	77
	362	3	0	00	40
	362	2ब	0	12	49
	362	2अ	0	07	82
	358	1	0	00	78
	352	7	0	00	55
	352	5	0	06	47
	352	8	0	06	99
	352	1क	0	07	61

1	2	3	4	5	6
नं 121 पेरुंगवूर	352	1ब	0	00	40
	372	2	0	13	34
	373	1	0	12	81
	380	-	0	03	72
	375	2	0	04	00
	375	1	0	08	12
	378	-	0	06	44
	377	3क	0	04	71
	377	3ब	0	11	62
	377	3अ	0	02	62
	400	2	0	06	21
	400	1अ	0	00	57
	397	2	0	03	61
	397	1	0	10	67
	398	-	0	10	90
	395	1	0	07	34
	414	2	0	15	79
	412	1	0	00	91
	414	1	0	15	38
	429	2	0	08	15
	429	1	0	07	23
	428	-	0	07	35
	431	2अ	0	08	69
	431	1ब	0	01	14
	442	2	0	06	57
	442	1	0	10	81
	458	2	0	09	29
	458	1	0	06	55
	459	2ब	0	03	93
	459	2अ	0	03	98
	459	1	0	08	95
	456	-	0	00	65
	460	-	0	01	72
	461	-	0	04	82
	453	2	0	09	71
	452	2	0	17	30
	481	2	0	08	57
	481	1	0	07	05

1	2	3	4	5	6
	479	2	0	16	72
	479	1	0	00	40
	478	3	0	08	39
	478	1	0	06	38
	478	2	0	07	05
	478	4	0	06	46
	544	2	0	09	04
	543	-	0	09	12
	544	1	0	05	05
	545	2	0	13	03
	547	2	0	01	11
	547	1ब	0	10	59
	547	1अ1ब	0	10	66
	547	1अ1अ	0	00	55
	547	1अ2	0	00	40
	557	-	0	11	95
	556	1	0	09	22
	562	2	0	14	59
	562	1अ	0	01	03
	565	-	0	06	59
	564	-	0	18	49
	572	1	0	06	71
	572	2	0	09	13
	573	2	0	00	71
	573	1	0	13	07
नं 123 कुम्भनूर	81	-	0	11	53
	80	3	0	24	09
	80	4	0	11	36
	80	2अ	0	01	57
	80	1	0	12	24
	79	2ब	0	03	00
	79	2अ	0	04	60
	79	1क	0	01	73
	79	1ब	0	06	63
	79	1अ	0	03	35
	74	1अ	0	03	99
	75	1	0	22	38
	68	2ब	0	05	13

1	2	3	4	5	6
	68	2अ	0	04	23
	68	1अ	0	13	34
	76	2अ	0	01	32
	3	3	0	02	45
	67	-	0	10	05
	3	1क	0	11	92
	3	4	0	05	12
	3	1ब2ब	0	03	03
	4	1ड	0	16	02
	3	1ब2अ	0	00	40
	4	1क	0	08	91
	4	2अ1	0	07	13
	4	1ब	0	12	64
	4	1अ	0	05	78
	5	4	0	03	15
	5	3	0	12	31
	6	5	0	03	02
	5	2	0	28	92
	24	1	0	26	94
	29	1	0	05	04
	22	1	0	23	74
	19	2इ	0	12	52
	19	2ड	0	11	04
	19	2क	0	10	34
	19	2ब	0	06	62
	19	2अ	0	00	40
	5	-	0	15	56
	9	3	0	09	88
	9	2ब	0	05	87
	9	2अ	0	01	30
	9	1	0	09	31
	8	3	0	04	82
	285	1	0	04	62
	287	4	0	11	63
	287	3	0	04	83
	287	2क	0	06	76
	287	2ब	0	00	64
	287	1	0	17	63

नं 124 अंगाडु

1	2	3	4	5	6
	288	1	0	10	34
नं 125 ओरक्काडु	58	2ब	0	09	39
	58	2अ	0	11	00
	58	1	0	22	46
	57	3	0	13	56
	50	3अ	0	01	68
	50	2अ2	0	07	33
	50	1अ2	0	08	80
	50	1अ1	0	01	26
	49	2ड1	0	02	42
	49	2क	0	09	00
	49	2ब	0	01	57
	48	2ब	0	12	28
	47	4	0	10	70
	47	3ब	0	07	86
	47	3अ	0	13	65
	46	3	0	06	08
	46	1ब	0	05	01
	46	1अ	0	07	30
नं 104 सोतुपेरुंबेडु	234	5	0	01	30
	232	1ब	0	07	76
	232	1अ	0	08	76
	231	2ब	0	09	18
	231	1ब	0	08	36
	230	3ब3	0	07	70
	230	3ब2	0	00	72
	230	2ब2	0	00	40
	230	2ब1	0	01	53
	230	3ब1	0	05	21
	230	3अ	0	17	34
	162	-	0	06	97
	161	-	0	12	16
	109	3ब	0	07	11
	109	1	0	06	51
	108	1अ	0	07	01
	108	1ब	0	05	24
	108	2ब	0	03	39

1	2	3	4	5	6
	108	2अ	0	01	38
	108	2क	0	04	41
	107	2इ	0	04	54
	107	2ड	0	01	76
	107	2ब	0	02	39
	107	1	0	09	38
	85	1	0	08	10
	85	2	0	11	00
	84	-	0	05	05
	79	-	0	01	12
	78	2	0	01	08
	78	3	0	03	04
	80	5	0	00	40
	80	1	0	14	00
	80	2अ	0	00	70
	27	3	0	04	58
	27	2	0	11	15
	27	1	0	04	84
	28	4ब	0	03	35
	28	4अ	0	01	84
	345	-	0	01	04
	344	-	0	00	81
	339	11	0	08	35
	339	12	0	07	09
	339	9	0	10	24
	339	10	0	04	54
	339	8क	0	00	40
	467	7	0	06	12
	467	5ब	0	03	67
	467	5अ	0	09	94
	471	2ब	0	13	45
	471	2अ	0	05	02
	465	1अ2अ	0	04	10
	465	1अ2ब	0	00	42

न 116 चोलवरम

1	2	3	4	5	6
	472	4ब	0	10	00
	478	5	0	06	44
	478	4ब	0	18	15
	478	2अ	0	01	97
	478	2ब	0	04	64
	487	-	0	01	55
	485	6अ	0	03	53
	485	1अ4	0	05	14
	485	4	0	06	66
	485	2	0	10	39
	485	1अ5	0	02	74
	485	1अ2	0	04	45
	485	1अ3	0	02	85
	485	1ब	0	00	40
	534	5अ	0	12	22
	534	5ब	0	01	91
	517	1	0	07	40
	499	4क	0	01	07
	517	3ब	0	06	84
	517	3अ	0	02	99
	521	1अ	0	00	90
	515 ब	3क1	0	00	40
	515 ब	2क	0	02	33
	515 ब	1ब3	0	01	90
	515 अ	2	0	07	92
	515 अ	6	0	03	24

[फा. सं. आर-25011/18/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3216.—Whereas, by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 1740 dated the 23rd July 2004 issued under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (herein after referred to as the said Act) the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Madurai via Tiruchirapalli and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, copies of the said notification were made available to the public from 23-08-2004;

And whereas, the Competent Authority in pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act has submitted his report to the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government, after considering the said report is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest from the date of publication of this declaration, in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Taluk : Ambattur	District : Tiruvallur		State : Tamil Nadu		
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
SATTANKADU (THIRUVOTTIYUR TOWN)	Ward D				
	Block 1 TS	-	0	70	65
	4				
	Ward D				
	Block 1 TS	-	0	04	24
	3				
NO.41 MANALI	258	5	0	10	45
	258	4A	0	13	65
	258	3A1	0	02	56
	258	3A2	0	03	20
	258	3A3	0	03	18
	258	3B	0	04	83
	253	1B	0	20	90
	253	1A	0	05	21
	127	2B3	0	00	40
	116	9	0	08	24
	116	8	0	06	08
	116	4	0	04	64
	116	3	0	05	07
	115	5	0	06	45
	115	4	0	04	34
	115	1	0	10	38
	113	7	0	07	80
	113	6	0	07	86
	84	4	0	07	58
	84	3	0	00	40
	85	6	0	05	19
	85	4	0	03	62
	85	3	0	00	62
	89	12	0	02	10
	89	10	0	04	06
	89	8	0	01	72
	89	11	0	07	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
	89	6	0	12	42
	89	4	0	11	08
	99	12	0	06	24
	99	11	0	04	78
	99	13	0	00	48
	99	9	0	02	29
	99	10	0	03	30
	100	-	0	16	65
	97	4	0	00	40
	58	1B	0	02	40
	58	1A	0	10	33
	61	3	0	07	03
	61	2	0	17	42
	61	1	0	10	53
	62	3	0	06	32
	62	4	0	10	65
	62	1	0	08	38
	65	1B	0	07	49
	65	1A	0	13	42
	65	3A	0	02	73
	64	4	0	09	31
	64	2	0	09	11
	8	1B	0	10	28
	8	1A	0	08	40
	9	3B	0	14	33
	9	3A	0	13	08
	10	5	0	13	66
	10	1B	0	15	30
	10	1A	0	00	89
NO.28 ARIYALUR	62	5	0	16	42
	62	4	0	00	40
	62	3	0	01	87
	62	2	0	05	32
	61	1	0	09	87
	55	7	0	06	42
	57	1	0	00	40
	46	2	0	08	08
	46	6	0	14	45

1	2	3	4	5	6
	45	3	0	13	69
	45	2	0	10	65
	39	-	0	21	38
	43	1	0	13	86
	41	5	0	00	75
	41	3	0	09	13
	41	1	0	10	51

Taluk : Ponneri	District : Tiruvallur		State : Tamil Nadu		
NO.150 VICHUR	396	5	0	15	42
	396	3	0	07	88
	396	2	0	02	73
	395	4	0	05	62
	395	3	0	07	12
	395	2A	0	03	95
	394	6B	0	01	75
	394	4	0	12	26
	394	3	0	09	69
	360	3	0	01	57
	360	2C	0	04	57
	360	2B	0	03	22
	360	2A	0	00	76
	360	1	0	03	65
	361	8	0	01	57
	361	9	0	10	72
	361	5	0	01	38
	361	4	0	04	30
	361	1	0	04	37
	363	2A	0	00	77
	362	3	0	00	40
	362	2B	0	12	49
	362	2A	0	07	82
	358	1	0	00	78
	352	7	0	00	55
	352	5	0	06	47
	352	8	0	06	99
	352	1C	0	07	61

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.121 PERUNGAVOOR	352	1B	0	00	40
	372	2	0	13	34
	373	1	0	12	81
	380	-	0	03	72
	375	2	0	04	00
	375	1	0	08	12
	378	-	0	06	44
	377	3C	0	04	71
	377	3B	0	11	62
	377	3A	0	02	62
	400	2	0	06	21
	400	1A	0	00	57
	397	2	0	03	61
	397	1	0	10	67
	398	-	0	10	90
	395	1	0	07	34
	414	2	0	15	79
	412	1	0	00	91
	414	1	0	15	38
	429	2	0	08	15
	429	1	0	07	23
	428	-	0	07	35
	431	2A	0	08	69
	431	1B	0	01	14
	442	2	0	06	57
	442	1	0	10	81
	458	2	0	09	29
	458	1	0	06	55
	459	2B	0	03	93
	459	2A	0	03	98
	459	1	0	08	95
	456	-	0	00	65
	460	-	0	01	72
	461	-	0	04	82
	453	2	0	09	71
	452	2	0	17	30
	481	2	0	08	57
	481	1	0	07	05

1	2	3	4	5	6
	479	2	0	16	72
	479	1	0	00	40
	478	3	0	08	39
	478	1	0	06	38
	478	2	0	07	05
	478	4	0	06	46
	544	2	0	09	04
	543	-	0	09	12
	544	1	0	05	05
	545	2	0	13	03
	547	2	0	01	11
	547	1B	0	10	59
	547	1A1B	0	10	66
	547	1A1A	0	00	55
	547	1A2	0	00	40
	557	-	0	11	95
	556	1	0	09	22
	562	2	0	14	59
	562	1A	0	01	03
	565	-	0	06	59
	564	-	0	18	49
	572	1	0	06	71
	572	2	0	09	13
	573	2	0	00	71
	573	1	0	13	07
	81	-	0	11	53
	80	3	0	24	09
	80	4	0	11	36
	80	2A	0	01	57
	80	1	0	12	24
	79	2B	0	03	00
	79	2A	0	04	60
	79	1C	0	01	73
	79	1B	0	06	63
	79	1A	0	03	35
	74	1A	0	03	99
	75	1	0	22	38
	68	2B	0	05	13

NO.123 KUMMANUR

1	2	3	4	5	6
	68	2A	0	04	23
	68	1A	0	13	34
	76	2A	0	01	32
	3	3	0	02	49
	67	-	0	10	05
	3	1C	0	11	92
	3	4	0	05	12
	3	1B2B	0	03	03
	4	1D	0	16	02
	3	1B2A	0	00	40
	4	1C	0	08	91
	4	2A1	0	07	13
	4	1B	0	12	64
	4	1A	0	05	78
	5	4	0	03	15
	5	3	0	12	31
	6	5	0	03	02
	5	2	0	28	92
	24	1	0	26	94
	29	1	0	05	04
	22	1	0	23	74
	19	2E	0	12	52
	19	2D	0	11	04
	19	2C	0	10	34
	19	2B	0	06	62
	19	2A	0	00	40
	5	-	0	15	56
	9	3	0	09	88
	9	2B	0	05	87
	9	2A	0	01	30
	9	1	0	09	31
	8	3	0	04	82
	285	1	0	04	62
	287	4	0	11	63
	287	3	0	04	83
	287	2C	0	06	76
	287	2B	0	00	64
	287	1	0	17	63

NO.124 ANGADU

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.125 ORAKKADU	288	1	0	10	34
	58	2B	0	09	39
	58	2A	0	11	00
	58	1	0	22	46
	57	3	0	13	56
	50	3A	0	01	68
	50	2A2	0	07	33
	50	1A2	0	08	80
	50	1A1	0	01	26
	49	2D1	0	02	42
	49	2C	0	09	00
	49	2B	0	01	57
	48	2B	0	12	28
	47	4	0	10	70
	47	3B	0	07	86
	47	3A	0	13	65
	46	3	0	06	08
	46	1B	0	05	01
	46	1A	0	07	30
NO.104 SOTHUPERUMBEDU	234	5	0	01	30
	232	1B	0	07	76
	232	1A	0	08	76
	231	2B	0	09	18
	231	1B	0	08	36
	230	3B3	0	07	70
	230	3B2	0	00	72
	230	2B2	0	00	40
	230	2B1	0	01	53
	230	3B1	0	05	21
	230	3A	0	17	34
	162	-	0	06	97
	161	-	0	12	16
	109	3B	0	07	11
	109	1	0	06	51
	108	1A	0	07	01
	108	1B	0	05	24
	108	2B	0	03	39

1	2	3	4	5	6
	108	2A	0	01	38
	108	2C	0	04	41
	107	2E	0	04	54
	107	2D	0	01	76
	107	2B	0	02	39
	107	1	0	09	88
	85	1	0	08	10
	85	2	0	11	00
	84	-	0	05	05
	79	-	0	01	12
	78	2	0	01	08
	78	3	0	03	04
	80	5	0	00	40
	80	1	0	14	00
	80	2A	0	00	70
NO.116 SHOLAVARAM	27	3	0	04	58
	27	2	0	11	15
	27	1	0	04	84
	28	4B	0	03	35
	28	4A	0	01	84
	345	-	0	01	04
	344	-	0	00	81
	339	11	0	08	85
	339	12	0	07	09
	339	9	0	10	24
	339	10	0	04	54
	339	8C	0	00	40
	467	7	0	06	12
	467	5B	0	03	67
	467	5A	0	09	94
	471	2B	0	13	45
	471	2A	0	05	02
	465	1A2A	0	04	10
	465	1A2B	0	00	42

1	2	3	4	5	6
	472	4B	0	10	00
	478	5	0	06	44
	478	4B	0	18	15
	478	2A	0	01	97
	478	2B	0	04	64
	487	-	0	01	55
	485	6A	0	03	53
	485	1A4	0	05	14
	485	4	0	06	66
	485	2	0	10	39
	485	1A5	0	02	74
	485	1A2	0	04	45
	485	1A3	0	02	85
	485	1B	0	00	40
	534	5A	0	12	22
	534	5B	0	01	91
	517	1	0	07	40
	499	4C	0	01	07
	517	3B	0	06	84
	517	3A	0	02	99
	521	1A	0	00	90
	515 B	3C1	0	00	40
	515 B	2C	0	02	33
	515 B	1B3	0	01	90
	515 A	2	0	07	92
	515 A	6	0	03	24

[No. R-25011/18/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3217.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि राजस्थान राज्य में ब्यावर से चित्तौड़गढ़ तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए इण्डियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा “सिद्धपुर-सांगानेर पाइपलाइन से चित्तौड़गढ़ तक ब्रान्च लाईन” के कार्यान्वयन हेतु एक शाखा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उक्त भूमि में, जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है और जिसमें पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए।

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन्स (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है।

कोई भी व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में श्री ओ. पी. गुप्ता, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, (राजस्थान), इण्डियन ऑयल कॉरपोरेशन लिमिटेड (पाइपलाइन्स प्रभाग), 33, मुक्तानन्द नगर, गोपालपुरा बाईपास, जयपुर - 302018 (राजस्थान) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
रामपुरा	1484	0	00	20	
	1482	0	11	00	
	1490	0	06	70	
	1489	0	07	40	
	1491	0	00	20	
	1498	0	02	40	
	1497	0	02	20	
	1493	0	09	00	
	1496	0	02	30	
	1495	0	10	00	
	1461	0	02	40	
	1462	0	14	40	
	1464	0	02	90	
	1457	0	00	50	
	1412	0	02	80	
	1465	0	09	70	
	1413	0	02	70	
	1414	0	03	80	
	1415	0	02	70	
	1416	0	10	30	
	1429	0	01	60	
	1433	0	01	00	
	1432	0	13	50	
	1437	0	02	30	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1428	0	00	20	
	1436	0	08	10	
	1711मिन	0	07	70	
	1711/3412	0	02	10	
	1710	0	00	20	
	1704	0	12	60	
	1703	0	07	10	
	1702	0	07	50	
	2353	0	05	20	
	2351	0	01	40	
	2350	0	04	30	
	2349	0	10	00	
	2342	0	15	80	
	2331	0	15	20	
	2328	0	10	50	
	2400	0	00	20	
	2390	0	00	40	
	2403	0	00	30	
	2404	0	04	50	
	2327	0	03	90	
	2323	0	00	40	
	2322	0	04	30	
	2321	0	05	80	
	2318	0	08	30	
	2317	0	05	30	
	2302	0	08	60	
	2301	0	07	30	
	2299	0	10	80	
	2298	0	05	60	
	2293	0	09	90	
	2292	0	05	60	
	2294	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	2264	0	11	90	
	2267	0	03	80	
	2268	0	04	20	
	2269	0	30	00	
	2270	0	07	20	
	2271	0	11	80	
	2254	0	05	40	
	2253	0	13	20	
	2273	0	02	70	
	2218	0	04	90	
	2207	0	03	30	
	2208	0	06	80	
	2209	0	00	20	
	2220	0	12	80	
	2205	0	00	90	
	2206	0	07	30	
	2176	0	08	30	
	2167	0	05	70	
	2145	0	12	60	
	2146/3394	0	12	40	
	2140	0	00	20	
	2147	0	09	80	
	2148	0	16	20	
	2149	0	02	00	
	2150	0	05	90	
अखेगढ़	890	0	00	50	
	893	0	13	10	
	895	0	00	20	
	894	0	02	30	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	907	0	06	00	
	892	0	00	60	
	908	0	05	70	
	906	0	00	20	
	909	0	02	40	
	919	0	02	70	
	920	0	06	30	
	922	0	00	20	
	937	0	02	30	
	921	0	09	00	
	938	0	00	20	
	933	0	07	70	
	932	0	00	70	
	936	0	00	20	
	930	0	09	20	
	1026	0	01	50	
	1036	0	06	70	
	1035	0	01	20	
	1037	0	04	20	
	1038	0	00	20	
	1057	0	00	20	
	1049	0	08	30	
	1056	0	00	70	
	1050	0	00	60	
	1048	0	11	20	
	1047	0	11	90	
	1046	0	00	70	
	1069	0	11	80	
	1084	0	11	40	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1085	0	05	20	
	1086	0	04	20	
	1080	0	00	20	
	1079	0	00	40	
	1087	0	04	40	
	1088	0	06	20	
	1091	0	02	70	
	1090	0	00	50	
	1089	0	07	40	
मोटरास	28	0	06	50	
	24	0	00	20	
	25	0	07	00	
	23	0	08	60	
	21	0	13	70	
	35	0	03	70	
	40	0	12	90	
	60	0	11	80	
	62	0	00	30	
	61	0	03	60	
	1231	0	00	20	
	1229	0	09	90	
	1230	0	07	20	
	1291	0	14	90	
	1276	0	00	40	
	1290	0	08	60	
	1289	0	07	50	
	1288	0	13	30	
	1319	0	02	20	
	1286	0	02	40	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1320	0	09	50	
	1337	0	06	70	
	1335	0	02	30	
	1339	0	00	90	
	1338	0	00	20	
	1418	0	00	80	
	1346	0	10	80	
	1347	0	06	70	
	1348	0	10	70	
	1363	0	00	20	
	1396	0	01	10	
	1362	0	18	70	
	1395	0	08	00	
	1394	0	04	80	
	1393	0	05	10	
	1385	0	02	20	
	1386	0	07	90	
	1387	0	00	20	
	1388	0	08	10	
	1617	0	07	10	
	1616	0	10	60	
	1618	0	03	90	
	1631	0	06	00	
	1653	0	00	80	
	3136/1653	0	10	00	
	1652	0	07	20	
	3175/1654	0	00	20	
	2235	0	00	20	
	2234	0	11	50	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	2232	0	07	20	
	2180	0	00	30	
	2182	0	09	20	
	2183	0	09	50	
	2185	0	01	30	
	2190	0	00	80	
	2186	0	07	90	
	2187	0	07	20	
	2172	0	05	20	
	2171	0	11	60	
	2169	0	01	40	
	2158	0	01	90	
	2159	0	06	60	
	3251/2159	0	02	30	
	2167	0	00	20	
	2161	0	08	30	
	2166	0	04	50	
	2163	0	00	30	
	2164	0	04	20	
	2165	0	07	00	
	2138	0	03	90	
	2139	0	06	10	
	2140	0	03	90	
	2141	0	01	40	
संग्रामगढ़	58	0	04	70	
	59	0	11	60	
	62	0	03	70	
	63	0	06	30	
	64	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	64/2037	0	06	00	
	68	0	00	20	
	68/1	0	03	40	
	68/2040	0	04	40	
	67/2039	0	04	50	
	67	0	08	50	
	70	0	02	40	
	187/2011	0	06	20	
	187/2011/2109	0	06	90	
	191	0	27	00	
	192	0	12	10	
	196	0	02	30	
	172	0	28	10	
	174	0	02	90	
	173	0	41	10	
	262	0	19	60	
	270	0	04	20	
	271	0	18	10	
	323	0	02	60	
	324	0	20	50	
	322	0	04	40	
	325	0	13	00	
	327	0	00	20	
	329	0	16	80	
	330	0	09	80	
	331	0	10	70	
	339	0	02	10	
	338	0	19	20	
	357	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा संख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	332	0	00	20	
	337	0	11	80	
	335	0	11	60	
	445	0	10	50	
	440	0	14	10	
	438	0	14	30	
	435	0	40	00	
	433	0	10	30	
	675	0	08	00	
	678	0	00	60	
	678/1987	0	14	90	
	677	0	56	70	
भैरुखेड़ा	19	0	06	30	
	73	0	07	30	
	74	0	00	30	
	92	0	11	70	
	93	0	00	20	
	91	0	06	00	
	90	0	00	30	
	94	0	08	00	
	88	0	02	50	
	104	0	07	40	
	106	0	05	60	
	100	0	06	10	
	99	0	00	20	
	111	0	02	40	
	112	0	00	60	
	114	0	13	90	
	116	0	05	70	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	141	0	08	90	
	143	0	00	80	
	142	0	10	10	
	185	0	00	80	
	186	0	11	80	
	184मिन	0	15	20	
	184/270	0	01	90	
	182	0	00	20	
	189	0	13	50	
	191	0	04	70	
	190	0	06	10	
	205	0	10	90	
	209	0	00	30	
	200	0	01	80	
	211	0	09	30	
	210	0	00	20	
गजसिंहपुरा	62	0	09	40	
	61	0	03	80	
	63	0	04	20	
	64	0	06	20	
	80	0	01	50	
	81	0	03	40	
	79	0	01	40	
	78	0	07	70	
	83	0	10	70	
	77	0	07	40	
शम्भूगढ़	231	0	00	30	
	235	0	02	40	
	236	0	05	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	237	0	09	70	
	250	0	02	40	
	239	0	09	30	
	240	0	09	50	
	242	0	04	10	
	243	0	02	10	
	203	0	00	20	
	204	0	10	60	
	412	0	02	00	
	413	0	13	10	
	409	0	00	20	
	408	0	03	60	
	414	0	12	60	
	417	0	01	00	
	419	0	09	40	
	423	0	01	10	
	425	0	08	70	
	424	0	04	00	
	453	0	12	10	
	449	0	01	90	
	450	0	00	20	
	451	0	09	20	
	529	0	15	90	
	530	0	11	70	
	531	0	01	10	
	532	0	01	10	
	571	0	00	70	
	569	0	11	70	
	556	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	557	0	10	60	
	558	0	07	10	
	553	0	06	90	
	552	0	11	40	
	551	0	01	80	
	548	0	01	20	
	550	0	00	40	
	549	0	03	20	
	762	0	05	30	
	761	0	07	90	
	760	0	01	40	
	696	0	07	00	
	697	0	06	50	
	698	0	11	60	
	699	0	02	40	
	703	0	00	30	
	701	0	06	90	
	702	0	08	00	
	708	0	04	00	
	1101	0	00	30	
	1100	0	02	60	
	1099	0	04	30	
	1098	0	08	70	
	1096	0	06	80	
	1095	0	01	10	
	1108	0	00	20	
	1113	0	04	50	
	1112	0	02	80	
	1093	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1111	0	01	10	
	1110	0	00	20	
	1114	0	00	90	
	1115	0	02	20	
	1116	0	03	80	
	1119	0	09	60	
	1118	0	00	60	
	1120	0	01	30	
	1121	0	04	50	
	1122	0	06	70	
	1123	0	01	00	
	1079	0	02	20	
	1078	0	00	20	
	1070	0	10	20	
	1069	0	06	20	
	1068	0	07	00	
	1067	0	03	50	
	1066	0	03	40	
	1203	0	00	20	
	1201	0	02	40	
	1202	0	02	70	
	1200	0	18	50	
	1199	0	06	70	
	1180	0	00	50	
	1197	0	00	20	
	1181	0	10	00	
	1182	0	08	10	
	1183	0	11	00	
	1187	0	13	40	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1186/2935	0	01	10	
	1186	0	05	10	
	2600	0	06	90	
	2599	0	19	10	
	2530	0	14	50	
	2529	0	08	40	
	2528	0	13	80	
	2516	0	02	00	
	2484/2943	0	20	90	
	2515	0	00	20	
	2484/2942	0	10	00	
बरसनी	224	0	06	50	
	1061	0	18	20	
	1062	0	31	90	
	1079	0	16	20	
	1078	0	02	00	
	1088	0	04	20	
	1087	0	00	20	
	1089	0	05	80	
	4747	0	11	60	
	4748	0	02	60	
	4756	0	02	90	
	4817	0	05	10	
	4816	0	15	20	
	4815	0	12	10	
	4820	0	11	40	
	4821	0	09	60	
	4833	0	15	30	
	4835	0	02	60	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा संख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	4836	0	00	20	
	4834	0	05	90	
	4832	0	03	10	
	4842	0	04	50	
	4841	0	02	60	
	4843	0	16	70	
	4862	0	01	40	
	4861	0	06	50	
	4964	0	01	70	
	4863	0	00	20	
	4963	0	04	00	
	4962	0	01	50	
	4961	0	05	20	
	4960	0	06	00	
	4959	0	13	00	
	4882	0	01	10	
	4955	0	08	40	
	4898	0	02	80	
	4899	0	07	60	
	4900	0	07	80	
	4903	0	00	30	
	4902	0	07	60	
	4930	0	05	40	
	4929	0	03	20	
	4928	0	02	80	
	4913	0	05	40	
	4924	0	03	60	
	4918	0	04	40	
	4917	0	04	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	4923	0	00	20	
	4919	0	00	20	
	4920	0	05	60	
	4921	0	05	30	
	5212	0	06	00	
	5213	0	01	70	
कोलीखेड़ा	291	0	10	50	
	294	0	16	70	
	296	0	10	80	
आमेसर	3230	0	02	30	
	3231	0	06	20	
	3232	0	06	70	
	3233	0	11	60	
	3234	0	06	30	
	3243	0	16	80	
	3247	0	08	70	
	3249	0	12	40	
	3251	0	06	50	
	3253	0	10	80	
	3252	0	04	80	
	3190	0	10	80	
	3187	0	02	90	
	3186	0	01	50	
	3184	0	00	20	
	3185	0	13	60	
	3182	0	02	40	
	3183	0	03	10	
	3209	0	03	30	
	3202	0	01	80	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	3201	0	00	70	
	3200	0	09	80	
	370	0	13	10	
	371	0	07	20	
	404/4947	0	11	90	
	404/4934	0	00	50	
	818/4933	0	05	60	
	879	0	05	00	
	880	0	07	40	
	882	0	00	90	
	896	0	06	50	
	899	0	00	50	
	895	0	08	00	
	894	0	09	70	
	905	0	10	50	
	930	0	03	70	
	929	0	03	20	
	927	0	05	60	
	928	0	01	80	
	925	0	00	70	
	924	0	04	90	
	922	0	09	10	
	921	0	03	20	
	1595	0	19	10	
	1596	0	05	10	
	1588	0	04	80	
	1607	0	07	40	
	1608	0	05	30	
	1606	0	01	10	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1609	0	10	40	
	1634	0	10	50	
	1633	0	01	80	
	1632	0	10	20	
	1630	0	00	20	
	1631	0	02	10	
	1638	0	02	20	
	1639	0	07	30	
	1626	0	00	20	
	1640	0	06	20	
	1641	0	11	30	
	1642	0	09	90	
	1643	0	08	10	
	1988	0	05	40	
	1987	0	00	30	
	1986	0	03	60	
	1959	0	03	90	
	1950/4890	0	03	00	
	1950	0	05	80	
	1949	0	04	50	
	1951	0	02	90	
	1945	0	04	60	
	1944	0	07	20	
	1943	0	09	30	
	1941	0	02	00	
	1942	0	00	70	
माताजी का खेड़ा	995	0	16	40	
जबरकिया	247	0	12	60	
	248	0	00	30	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	256	0	10	80	
	296	0	07	60	
	295	0	04	90	
	292	0	02	00	
	294	0	06	20	
	293	0	10	40	
	413	0	11	40	
	416	0	00	60	
	414	0	10	70	
	594	0	14	10	
	591	0	00	50	
	590	0	09	00	
	588	0	01	90	
	589	0	00	30	
	585	0	10	90	
	583	0	03	60	
	775	0	08	30	
	772	0	12	80	
	764	0	02	50	
	765	0	01	00	
	766	0	10	80	
	763	0	00	80	
	767	0	00	20	
	761	0	03	60	
	762	0	02	40	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एकर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	759	0	00	20	
	760	0	05	60	
	758	0	05	40	
	757	0	05	10	
	756	0	02	00	
	755	0	00	20	
	836	0	06	80	
	837	0	10	10	
	841	0	11	10	
	842	0	01	00	
	743	0	01	80	
	1021	0	14	40	
	1026	0	05	30	
	1024	0	11	40	
	1023	0	10	00	
	1022	0	14	80	
	1264	0	04	00	
	1265	0	06	80	
	1270	0	00	50	
	1266	0	01	50	
	1267	0	02	20	
	1268	0	06	30	
	1240	0	05	70	
	1239	0	02	80	
	1238	0	01	30	
	1236	0	01	30	
	1241	0	02	70	
	1235	0	02	20	
	1234	0	01	70	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1242	0	01	30	
	1243	0	06	00	
	1233	0	01	10	
	1227	0	01	20	
	1228	0	02	10	
	1229	0	08	80	
	1226	0	02	90	
	1369	0	00	40	
	1471	0	04	10	
	1472	0	09	80	
	1468	0	14	00	
	1467	0	01	00	
	1514	0	00	20	
	1515	0	07	80	
	1516	0	11	40	
	1517	0	09	30	
	1519	0	15	20	
	1520	0	08	10	
	1519/1531	0	00	20	
	1520/1532	0	23	70	
	1521	0	00	20	
	1526	0	08	50	
	1525	0	00	20	
	1527	0	78	60	
	1511	0	01	00	
पालड़ी	765	0	04	20	
	764	0	08	70	
	767	0	00	50	
	766	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	763	0	07	60	
	769	0	05	90	
	770	0	01	10	
	782	0	05	70	
	781	0	00	30	
	790	0	08	20	
	791	0	01	80	
	794	0	08	70	
	793	0	00	20	
	792	0	00	20	
	795	0	07	30	
	817	0	02	70	
	816	0	08	10	
	815	0	08	30	
	814	0	04	60	
	813	0	04	50	
	812	0	04	40	
	811	0	03	60	
	810	0	10	90	
	808	0	03	60	
	807	0	10	50	
	806	0	13	80	
सरेरी	130	0	10	40	
	128	0	07	10	
	127	0	22	40	
	146	0	12	40	
	145	0	06	70	
	147	0	29	80	
	148	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	149	0	26	20	
	150	0	26	00	
	257	0	00	30	
	5317/257	0	03	20	
	171	0	16	60	
	254	0	06	90	
	253	0	16	30	
	174	0	14	70	
	175	0	04	80	
	250	0	32	40	
	249	0	05	90	
	248	0	03	70	
	237	0	07	20	
	241	0	00	70	
	239	0	11	40	
	234	0	01	20	
	5307/233	0	07	30	
	229	0	14	40	
	228	0	48	80	
	1687	0	15	80	
	1693	0	00	20	
	1694	0	09	90	
	1697	0	02	20	
	1695	0	06	40	
	1700	0	07	60	
	1701	0	17	10	
	1714	0	14	40	
	1711	0	00	20	
	1715	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1716	0	02	00	
	1713	0	03	00	
	1712	0	00	40	
	1717	0	09	90	
	1718	0	07	60	
	1668	0	00	20	
	1667	0	08	20	
	1666	0	05	30	
	1665	0	11	30	
	1662	0	00	30	
	1663	0	07	10	
	1664	0	00	30	
	1661	0	08	70	
	1660	0	02	10	
	1659	0	05	90	
	1656	0	01	10	
	1654	0	08	00	
	1655	0	04	90	
	1649	0	19	00	
	1648	0	01	10	
	1627	0	01	70	
	1628	0	01	60	
	1629	0	11	30	
	1630	0	00	20	
	1631	0	10	90	
	1626	0	01	80	
	1449	0	00	20	
	1448	0	15	00	
	1447	0	01	30	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एकर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1446	0	10	60	
	1452	0	00	50	
	1445	0	15	60	
	1436	0	11	00	
	1435	0	03	10	
	1416	0	11	10	
	1417	0	01	10	
	1419	0	00	90	
	1403	0	07	80	
	1402	0	02	50	
	1317	0	06	80	
	1318	0	10	80	
	1307	0	01	30	
	1319	0	02	80	
	1306	0	00	30	
	1305	0	17	80	
	1280	0	06	40	
	1281	0	01	40	
	1277	0	07	70	
	1276	0	00	80	
	1278	0	00	20	
	4261	0	09	00	
	5250/4259	0	00	70	
	4260	0	04	30	
	4265	0	05	90	
	4251	0	02	90	
	4250	0	02	30	
	4252	0	01	40	
	4249	0	14	10	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	4248	0	01	20	
	4345	0	08	00	
	4346	0	01	10	
	4344	0	00	20	
	4351	0	07	20	
	4350	0	02	20	
	4352	0	00	20	
	4353	0	07	60	
	4355	0	01	60	
	4360	0	00	80	
	4354	0	08	90	
	4359	0	05	70	
	4391	0	00	30	
	4382	0	16	50	
	4390	0	00	20	
	4389	0	00	40	
	4436	0	00	20	
	4434	0	12	80	
	4417	0	01	20	
	4419	0	04	20	
	4420	0	00	90	
	4421	0	01	60	
	4432	0	02	30	
	4422	0	04	80	
	4431	0	04	70	
	4429	0	04	50	
	4430	0	01	10	
	4428	0	00	30	
	4490	0	00	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
	2	3	4	5	
	4488	0	00	60	
	4487	0	00	70	
	4489	0	17	00	
	4666	0	06	00	
	4667	0	02	90	
	4686	0	01	90	
	4664	0	08	00	
	4688	0	04	60	
	4687	0	02	00	
	4689	0	03	80	
	4690	0	02	10	
	4691	0	02	80	
	4718	0	00	80	
	4719	0	00	20	
	4717	0	06	70	
	4715	0	03	20	
	4716	0	04	50	
	4790	0	05	00	
	4788	0	00	20	
	4794	0	05	20	
	4795	0	02	90	
	4796	0	03	50	
	4840	0	08	50	
	4839	0	05	00	
	4846	0	05	60	
करीमगढ़	1528	0	11	20	
	1527	0	00	20	
	1600	0	07	50	
	1602	0	04	20	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1606	0	02	50	
	1605	0	11	10	
	1609	0	04	80	
	1610	0	02	10	
	1617	0	10	40	
	1618	0	08	60	
	1619	0	00	20	
	1644	0	03	80	
	1645	0	08	30	
	1657	0	08	60	
	1669	0	08	70	
	1658	0	01	40	
	1667	0	10	30	
	1668	0	00	20	
	1681	0	07	00	
	1682	0	02	00	
	1705	0	06	50	
	1707	0	00	50	
	1706	0	06	75	
	1700	0	10	75	
	1717	0	00	20	
	1699	0	04	40	
	1698	0	04	30	
	1697	0	09	50	
	1696	0	00	30	
	1740	0	28	30	
	1756	0	07	70	
	1755	0	07	50	
	1767	0	04	50	

तहसील : आसीन्द		जिला : भीलवाड़ा		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1766	0	01	00	
	1768	0	08	70	
	1774	0	06	20	
	1775	0	01	70	
	1773	0	08	60	
	1780	0	00	60	
	1781	0	14	60	
	1794	0	04	90	
	1792	0	00	20	
	1789	0	03	60	
	1793	0	26	60	

[फा. सं. आर-25011/31/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

s. o. 3217.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government, that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products in Rajasthan State from Beawar to Chittaurgarh a "Branch Pipeline to Chittaurgarh from Sidhpur-Sanganer Pipeline", should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

And, whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid, and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification issued under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land, to Shri O. P. Gupta, Competent Authority (Rajasthan), Indian Oil Corporation Limited (Pipelines division), 33, Muktanand Nagar, Gopalpura Bye-pass, Jaipur - 302018, (Rajasthan).

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
Rampura	1484	0	00	20	
	1482	0	11	00	
	1490	0	06	70	
	1489	0	07	40	
	1491	0	00	20	
	1498	0	02	40	
	1497	0	02	20	
	1493	0	09	00	
	1496	0	02	30	
	1495	0	10	00	
	1461	0	02	40	
	1462	0	14	40	
	1464	0	02	90	
	1457	0	00	50	
	1412	0	02	80	
	1465	0	09	70	
	1413	0	02	70	
	1414	0	03	80	
	1415	0	02	70	
	1416	0	10	30	
	1429	0	01	60	
	1433	0	01	00	
	1432	0	13	50	
	1437	0	02	30	
	1428	0	00	20	
	1436	0	08	10	
	1711Min	0	07	70	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1711/3412	0	02	10	
	1710	0	00	20	
	1704	0	12	60	
	1703	0	07	10	
	1702	0	07	50	
	2353	0	05	20	
	2351	0	01	40	
	2350	0	04	30	
	2349	0	10	00	
	2342	0	15	80	
	2331	0	15	20	
	2328	0	10	50	
	2400	0	00	20	
	2390	0	00	40	
	2403	0	00	30	
	2404	0	04	50	
	2327	0	03	90	
	2323	0	00	40	
	2322	0	04	30	
	2321	0	05	80	
	2318	0	08	30	
	2317	0	05	30	
	2302	0	08	60	
	2301	0	07	30	
	2299	0	10	80	
	2298	0	05	60	
	2293	0	09	90	
	2292	0	05	60	
	2294	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	2264	0	11	90	
	2267	0	03	80	
	2268	0	04	20	
	2269	0	30	00	
	2270	0	07	20	
	2271	0	11	80	
	2254	0	05	40	
	2253	0	13	20	
	2273	0	02	70	
	2218	0	04	90	
	2207	0	03	30	
	2208	0	06	80	
	2209	0	00	20	
	2220	0	12	80	
	2205	0	00	90	
	2206	0	07	30	
	2176	0	08	30	
	2167	0	05	70	
	2145	0	12	60	
	2146/3394	0	12	40	
	2140	0	00	20	
	2147	0	09	80	
	2148	0	16	20	
	2149	0	02	00	
	2150	0	05	90	
Akhegarh	890	0	00	50	
	893	0	13	10	
	895	0	00	20	
	894	0	02	30	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	907	0	06	00	
	892	0	00	60	
	908	0	05	70	
	906	0	00	20	
	909	0	02	40	
	919	0	02	70	
	920	0	06	30	
	922	0	00	20	
	937	0	02	30	
	921	0	09	00	
	938	0	00	20	
	933	0	07	70	
	932	0	00	70	
	936	0	00	20	
	930	0	09	20	
	1026	0	01	50	
	1036	0	06	70	
	1035	0	01	20	
	1037	0	04	20	
	1038	0	00	20	
	1057	0	00	20	
	1049	0	08	30	
	1056	0	00	70	
	1050	0	00	60	
	1048	0	11	20	
	1047	0	11	90	
	1046	0	00	70	
	1069	0	11	80	
	1084	0	11	40	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1085	0	05	20	
	1086	0	04	20	
	1080	0	00	20	
	1079	0	00	40	
	1087	0	04	40	
	1088	0	06	20	
	1091	0	02	70	
	1090	0	00	50	
	1089	0	07	40	
Motras	28	0	06	50	
	24	0	00	20	
	25	0	07	00	
	23	0	08	60	
	21	0	13	70	
	35	0	03	70	
	40	0	12	90	
	60	0	11	80	
	62	0	00	30	
	61	0	03	60	
	1231	0	00	20	
	1229	0	09	90	
	1230	0	07	20	
	1291	0	14	90	
	1276	0	00	40	
	1290	0	08	60	
	1289	0	07	50	
	1288	0	13	30	
	1319	0	02	20	
	1286	0	02	40	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1320	0	09	50	
	1337	0	06	70	
	1335	0	02	30	
	1339	0	00	90	
	1338	0	00	20	
	1418	0	00	80	
	1346	0	10	80	
	1347	0	06	70	
	1348	0	10	70	
	1363	0	00	20	
	1396	0	01	10	
	1362	0	18	70	
	1395	0	08	00	
	1394	0	04	80	
	1393	0	05	10	
	1385	0	02	20	
	1386	0	07	90	
	1387	0	00	20	
	1388	0	08	10	
	1617	0	07	10	
	1616	0	10	60	
	1618	0	03	90	
	1631	0	06	00	
	1653	0	00	80	
	3136/1653	0	10	00	
	1652	0	07	20	
	3175/1654	0	00	20	
	2235	0	00	20	
	2234	0	11	50	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	2232	0	07	20	
	2180	0	00	30	
	2182	0	09	20	
	2183	0	09	50	
	2185	0	01	30	
	2190	0	00	80	
	2186	0	07	90	
	2187	0	07	20	
	2172	0	05	20	
	2171	0	11	60	
	2169	0	01	40	
	2158	0	01	90	
	2159	0	06	60	
	3251/2159	0	02	30	
	2167	0	00	20	
	2161	0	08	30	
	2166	0	04	50	
	2163	0	00	30	
	2164	0	04	10	
	2165	0	07	00	
	2138	0	03	90	
	2139	0	06	10	
	2140	0	03	90	
	2141	0	01	40	
Sangramgarh	58	0	04	70	
	59	0	11	60	
	62	0	03	70	
	63	0	06	30	
	64	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	64/2037	0	06	00	
	68	0	00	20	
	68/1	0	03	40	
	68/2040	0	04	40	
	67/2039	0	04	50	
	67	0	08	50	
	70	0	02	40	
	187/2011	0	06	20	
	187/2011/2109	0	06	90	
	191	0	27	00	
	192	0	12	10	
	196	0	02	30	
	172	0	28	10	
	174	0	02	90	
	173	0	41	10	
	262	0	19	60	
	270	0	04	20	
	271	0	18	10	
	323	0	02	60	
	324	0	20	50	
	322	0	04	40	
	325	0	13	00	
	327	0	00	20	
	329	0	16	80	
	330	0	09	80	
	331	0	10	70	
	339	0	02	10	
	338	0	19	20	
	357	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	332	0	00	20	
	337	0	11	80	
	335	0	11	60	
	445	0	10	50	
	440	0	14	10	
	438	0	14	30	
	435	0	40	00	
	433	0	10	30	
	675	0	08	00	
	678	0	00	60	
	678/1987	0	14	90	
	677	0	56	70	
Bhairukhera	19	0	06	30	
	73	0	07	30	
	74	0	00	30	
	92	0	11	70	
	93	0	00	20	
	91	0	06	00	
	90	0	00	30	
	94	0	08	00	
	88	0	02	50	
	104	0	07	40	
	106	0	05	60	
	100	0	06	10	
	99	0	00	20	
	111	0	02	40	
	112	0	00	60	
	114	0	13	90	
	116	0	05	70	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	141	0	08	90	
	143	0	00	80	
	142	0	10	10	
	185	0	00	80	
	186	0	11	80	
	184Min	0	15	20	
	184/270	0	01	90	
	182	0	00	20	
	189	0	13	50	
	191	0	04	70	
	190	0	06	10	
	205	0	10	90	
	209	0	00	30	
	200	0	01	80	
	211	0	09	30	
	210	0	00	20	
Gajsinghpura	62	0	09	40	
	61	0	03	80	
	63	0	04	20	
	64	0	06	20	
	80	0	01	50	
	81	0	03	40	
	79	0	01	40	
	78	0	07	70	
	83	0	10	70	
	77	0	07	40	
Shambhugarh	231	0	00	30	
	235	0	02	40	
	236	0	05	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	237	0	09	70	
	250	0	02	40	
	239	0	09	30	
	240	0	09	50	
	242	0	04	10	
	243	0	02	10	
	203	0	00	20	
	204	0	10	60	
	412	0	02	00	
	413	0	13	10	
	409	0	00	20	
	408	0	03	60	
	414	0	12	60	
	417	0	01	00	
	419	0	09	40	
	423	0	01	10	
	425	0	08	70	
	424	0	04	00	
	453	0	12	10	
	449	0	01	90	
	450	0	00	20	
	451	0	09	20	
	529	0	15	90	
	530	0	11	70	
	531	0	01	10	
	532	0	01	10	
	571	0	00	70	
	569	0	11	70	
	556	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	557	0	10	60	
	558	0	07	10	
	553	0	06	90	
	552	0	11	40	
	551	0	01	80	
	548	0	01	20	
	550	0	00	40	
	549	0	03	20	
	762	0	05	30	
	761	0	07	90	
	760	0	01	40	
	696	0	07	00	
	697	0	06	50	
	698	0	11	60	
	699	0	02	40	
	703	0	00	30	
	701	0	06	90	
	702	0	05	80	
	708	0	04	00	
	1101	0	00	30	
	1100	0	02	60	
	1099	0	04	30	
	1098	0	08	70	
	1096	0	06	80	
	1095	0	01	10	
	1108	0	00	20	
	1113	0	04	50	
	1112	0	02	80	
	1093	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1111	0	01	10	
	1110	0	00	20	
	1114	0	00	90	
	1115	0	02	20	
	1116	0	03	80	
	1119	0	09	60	
	1118	0	00	60	
	1120	0	01	30	
	1121	0	04	50	
	1122	0	06	70	
	1123	0	01	00	
	1079	0	02	20	
	1078	0	00	20	
	1070	0	10	20	
	1069	0	06	20	
	1068	0	07	00	
	1067	0	03	50	
	1066	0	03	40	
	1203	0	00	20	
	1201	0	02	40	
	1202	0	02	70	
	1200	0	18	50	
	1199	0	06	70	
	1180	0	00	50	
	1197	0	00	20	
	1181	0	10	00	
	1182	0	08	10	
	1183	0	11	00	
	1187	0	13	40	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
Barsani	1186/2935	0	01	10	
	1186	0	05	10	
	2600	0	06	90	
	2599	0	19	10	
	2530	0	14	50	
	2529	0	08	40	
	2528	0	13	80	
	2516	0	02	00	
	2484/2943	0	20	90	
	2515	0	00	20	
	2484/2942	0	10	00	
	224	0	06	50	
	1061	0	18	20	
	1062	0	31	90	
	1079	0	16	20	
	1078	0	02	00	
	1088	0	04	20	
	1087	0	00	20	
	1089	0	05	80	
	4747	0	11	60	
	4748	0	02	60	
	4756	0	02	90	
	4817	0	05	10	
	4816	0	15	20	
	4815	0	12	10	
	4820	0	11	40	
	4821	0	09	60	
	4833	0	15	30	
	4835	0	02	60	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	4836	0	00	20	
	4834	0	05	90	
	4832	0	03	10	
	4842	0	04	50	
	4841	0	02	60	
	4843	0	16	70	
	4862	0	01	40	
	4861	0	06	50	
	4964	0	01	70	
	4863	0	00	20	
	4963	0	04	00	
	4962	0	01	50	
	4961	0	05	20	
	4960	0	06	00	
	4959	0	13	00	
	4882	0	01	10	
	4955	0	08	40	
	4898	0	02	80	
	4899	0	07	60	
	4900	0	07	60	
	4903	0	00	30	
	4902	0	07	60	
	4930	0	05	40	
	4929	0	03	20	
	4928	0	02	80	
	4913	0	05	40	
	4924	0	03	60	
	4918	0	04	40	
	4917	0	04	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	4923	0	00	20	
	4919	0	00	20	
	4920	0	05	60	
	4921	0	05	30	
	5212	0	06	00	
	5213	0	01	70	
Kolikhera	291	0	10	50	
	294	0	16	70	
	296	0	10	80	
Amesar	3230	0	02	30	
	3231	0	06	20	
	3232	0	06	70	
	3233	0	11	60	
	3234	0	06	30	
	3243	0	16	80	
	3247	0	08	70	
	3249	0	12	40	
	3251	0	06	50	
	3253	0	10	80	
	3252	0	04	80	
	3190	0	10	80	
	3187	0	02	90	
	3186	0	01	50	
	3184	0	00	20	
	3185	0	13	60	
	3182	0	02	40	
	3183	0	03	10	
	3209	0	03	30	
	3202	0	01	80	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	3201	0	00	70	
	3200	0	09	80	
	370	0	13	10	
	371	0	07	20	
	404/4947	0	11	90	
	404/4934	0	00	50	
	818/4933	0	05	60	
	879	0	05	00	
	880	0	07	40	
	882	0	00	90	
	896	0	06	50	
	899	0	00	50	
	895	0	08	00	
	894	0	09	70	
	905	0	10	50	
	930	0	03	70	
	929	0	03	20	
	927	0	05	60	
	928	0	01	80	
	925	0	00	70	
	924	0	04	90	
	922	0	09	10	
	921	0	03	20	
	1595	0	19	10	
	1596	0	05	10	
	1588	0	04	80	
	1607	0	07	40	
	1608	0	05	30	
	1606	0	01	10	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1609	0	10	40	
	1634	0	10	50	
	1633	0	01	80	
	1632	0	10	20	
	1630	0	00	20	
	1631	0	02	10	
	1638	0	02	20	
	1639	0	07	30	
	1626	0	00	20	
	1640	0	06	20	
	1641	0	11	30	
	1642	0	09	90	
	1643	0	08	10	
	1988	0	05	40	
	1987	0	00	30	
	1986	0	03	60	
	1959	0	03	90	
	1950/4890	0	03	00	
	1950	0	05	80	
	1949	0	04	50	
	1951	0	02	90	
	1945	0	04	60	
	1944	0	07	20	
	1943	0	09	30	
	1941	0	02	00	
	1942	0	00	70	
Mataji Ka Khera	995	0	16	40	
Jabrakiya	247	0	12	60	
	248	0	00	30	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	256	0	10	80	
	296	0	07	60	
	295	0	04	90	
	292	0	02	00	
	294	0	06	20	
	293	0	10	40	
	413	0	11	40	
	416	0	00	60	
	414	0	10	70	
	594	0	14	10	
	591	0	00	50	
	590	0	09	00	
	588	0	01	90	
	589	0	00	30	
	585	0	10	90	
	583	0	03	60	
	775	0	08	30	
	772	0	12	80	
	764	0	02	50	
	765	0	01	00	
	766	0	10	80	
	763	0	00	80	
	767	0	00	20	
	761	0	03	60	
	762	0	02	40	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	759	0	00	20	
	760	0	05	60	
	758	0	05	40	
	757	0	05	10	
	756	0	02	00	
	755	0	00	20	
	836	0	06	80	
	837	0	10	10	
	841	0	11	10	
	842	0	01	00	
	743	0	01	80	
	1021	0	14	40	
	1026	0	05	30	
	1024	0	11	40	
	1023	0	10	00	
	1022	0	14	80	
	1264	0	04	00	
	1265	0	06	90	
	1270	0	00	50	
	1266	0	01	80	
	1267	0	02	20	
	1268	0	06	30	
	1240	0	05	70	
	1239	0	02	80	
	1238	0	01	30	
	1236	0	01	30	
	1241	0	02	70	
	1235	0	02	20	
	1234	0	01	70	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1242	0	01	30	
	1243	0	06	00	
	1233	0	01	10	
	1227	0	01	20	
	1228	0	02	10	
	1229	0	08	80	
	1226	0	02	90	
	1369	0	00	40	
	1471	0	04	10	
	1472	0	09	80	
	1468	0	14	00	
	1467	0	01	00	
	1514	0	00	20	
	1515	0	07	80	
	1516	0	11	40	
	1517	0	09	30	
	1519	0	15	20	
	1520	0	08	10	
	1519/1531	0	00	20	
	1520/1532	0	23	70	
	1521	0	00	20	
	1526	0	08	50	
	1525	0	00	20	
	1527	0	78	60	
	1511	0	01	00	
Palri	765	0	04	20	
	764	0	08	70	
	767	0	00	50	
	766	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	763	0	07	60	
	769	0	05	90	
	770	0	01	10	
	782	0	05	70	
	781	0	00	30	
	790	0	08	20	
	791	0	01	80	
	794	0	08	70	
	793	0	00	20	
	792	0	00	20	
	795	0	07	30	
	817	0	02	70	
	816	0	08	10	
	815	0	08	30	
	814	0	04	60	
	813	0	04	50	
	812	0	04	40	
	811	0	03	60	
	810	0	10	90	
	808	0	03	60	
	807	0	10	50	
	806	0	13	80	
Sareri	130	0	10	40	
	128	0	07	10	
	127	0	22	40	
	146	0	12	40	
	145	0	06	70	
	147	0	29	80	
	148	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	149	0	26	20	
	150	0	26	00	
	257	0	00	30	
	5317/257	0	03	20	
	171	0	16	60	
	254	0	06	90	
	253	0	16	30	
	174	0	14	70	
	175	0	04	80	
	250	0	32	40	
	249	0	05	90	
	248	0	03	70	
	237	0	07	20	
	241	0	00	70	
	239	0	11	40	
	234	0	01	20	
	5307/233	0	07	30	
	229	0	14	40	
	228	0	48	80	
	1687	0	15	80	
	1693	0	00	20	
	1694	0	09	90	
	1697	0	02	20	
	1695	0	06	40	
	1700	0	07	60	
	1701	0	17	10	
	1714	0	14	40	
	1711	0	00	20	
	1715	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1716	0	02	00	
	1713	0	03	00	
	1712	0	00	40	
	1717	0	09	90	
	1718	0	07	60	
	1668	0	00	20	
	1667	0	08	20	
	1666	0	05	30	
	1665	0	11	30	
	1662	0	00	30	
	1663	0	07	10	
	1664	0	00	30	
	1661	0	08	70	
	1660	0	02	10	
	1659	0	05	90	
	1656	0	01	10	
	1654	0	08	00	
	1655	0	04	90	
	1649	0	19	00	
	1648	0	01	10	
	1627	0	01	70	
	1628	0	01	60	
	1629	0	11	30	
	1630	0	00	20	
	1631	0	10	90	
	1626	0	01	80	
	1449	0	00	20	
	1448	0	15	00	
	1447	0	01	30	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1446	0	10	60	
	1452	0	00	50	
	1445	0	15	60	
	1436	0	11	00	
	1435	0	03	10	
	1416	0	11	10	
	1417	0	01	10	
	1419	0	00	90	
	1403	0	07	80	
	1402	0	02	50	
	1317	0	06	80	
	1318	0	10	80	
	1307	0	01	30	
	1319	0	02	80	
	1306	0	00	30	
	1305	0	17	80	
	1280	0	06	40	
	1281	0	01	40	
	1277	0	07	70	
	1276	0	00	80	
	1278	0	00	20	
	4261	0	09	00	
	5250/4259	0	00	70	
	4260	0	04	30	
	4265	0	05	90	
	4251	0	02	90	
	4250	0	02	30	
	4252	0	01	40	
	4249	0	14	10	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	4248	0	01	20	
	4345	0	08	00	
	4346	0	01	10	
	4344	0	00	20	
	4351	0	07	20	
	4350	0	02	20	
	4352	0	00	20	
	4353	0	07	60	
	4355	0	01	60	
	4360	0	00	80	
	4354	0	08	90	
	4359	0	05	70	
	4391	0	00	30	
	4382	0	16	50	
	4390	0	00	20	
	4389	0	00	40	
	4436	0	00	20	
	4434	0	12	80	
	4417	0	01	20	
	4419	0	04	20	
	4420	0	00	90	
	4421	0	01	60	
	4432	0	02	30	
	4422	0	04	80	
	4431	0	04	70	
	4429	0	04	50	
	4430	0	01	10	
	4428	0	00	30	
	4490	0	00	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	4488	0	00	60	
	4487	0	00	70	
	4489	0	17	00	
	4666	0	06	00	
	4667	0	02	90	
	4686	0	01	90	
	4664	0	08	00	
	4688	0	04	60	
	4687	0	02	00	
	4689	0	03	80	
	4690	0	02	10	
	4691	0	02	80	
	4718	0	00	80	
	4719	0	00	20	
	4717	0	06	70	
	4715	0	03	20	
	4716	0	04	50	
	4790	0	05	00	
	4788	0	00	20	
	4794	0	05	20	
	4795	0	02	90	
	4796	0	03	50	
	4840	0	08	50	
	4839	0	05	00	
	4846	0	05	60	
Kornas	1528	0	11	20	
	1527	0	00	20	
	1600	0	07	50	
	1602	0	04	20	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1606	0	02	50	
	1605	0	11	10	
	1609	0	04	80	
	1610	0	02	10	
	1617	0	10	40	
	1618	0	08	60	
	1619	0	00	20	
	1644	0	03	80	
	1645	0	08	30	
	1657	0	08	60	
	1669	0	08	70	
	1658	0	01	40	
	1667	0	10	30	
	1668	0	00	20	
	1681	0	07	00	
	1682	0	02	00	
	1705	0	06	90	
	1707	0	00	20	
	1706	0	06	70	
	1700	0	10	70	
	1717	0	00	20	
	1699	0	04	40	
	1698	0	04	30	
	1697	0	09	50	
	1696	0	00	30	
	1740	0	28	30	
	1756	0	07	70	
	1755	0	07	50	
	1767	0	04	50	

Tehsil : ASIND		District : BHILWARA		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	1766	0	01	00	
	1768	0	08	70	
	1774	0	06	20	
	1775	0	01	70	
	1773	0	08	60	
	1780	0	00	60	
	1781	0	14	60	
	1794	0	04	90	
	1792	0	00	20	
	1789	0	03	60	
	1793	0	26	60	

[No. R-25011/31/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

क्र.आ. 3218.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि राजस्थान राज्य में ब्यावर से चित्तौड़गढ़ तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए इण्डियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा "सिद्धपुर-सांगानेर पाइपलाइन से चित्तौड़गढ़ तक ब्रान्च लाईन" के कार्यान्वयन हेतु एक शाखा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उक्त भूमि में, जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है और जिसमें पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए।

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन्स (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है।

कोई भी व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसके भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में श्री ओ. पी. गुप्ता, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, (राजस्थान), इण्डियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड (पाइपलाइन्स प्रभाग), 33, मुक्तानन्द नगर, गोपालपुरा बाईपास, जयपुर - 302018 (राजस्थान) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तहसील : मसूदा	जिला: अजमेर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5.
अब्बेरी देवरी	13	0	00	20
	11	0	07	00
	1099/1	0	07	40
	1098	0	00	60
	1097	0	09	80
	1143	0	00	90
	1096	0	04	80
	1137	0	02	20
	1142	0	04	00
	1145	0	06	10
	1146	0	05	20
	1149	0	10	30
	1159	0	02	20
	1157	0	02	40
	1158	0	04	70
	1166/1	0	07	20
	1165	0	07	30
	1160	0	00	20
	1169	0	00	20
	1168	0	11	00
श्यामगढ़	5351	0	00	30
	5398/1	0	32	10
खीमपुरा	49/1	0	05	60
	49/2	0	02	90
	49/3	0	05	20
	75	0	00	20
	73	0	06	50
	74	0	05	20

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	81	0	00	20	
	82	0	05	10	
	83	0	04	50	
	90/1	0	08	30	
	89/1	0	00	20	
	89/2	0	00	20	
	90/2	0	00	20	
	95	0	05	60	
	96	0	04	10	
	97	0	04	40	
	98	0	11	60	
	114	0	02	90	
	113	0	04	00	
	112	0	10	50	
	110	0	00	20	
	505	0	04	10	
	506	0	04	30	
	507	0	00	60	
	504	0	00	20	
	514	0	03	10	
	515	0	03	40	
	516	0	05	80	
	520	0	00	20	
	521	0	00	20	
	517	0	03	70	
	497	0	01	50	
	518	0	00	80	
	496	0	04	10	
	539/2	0	00	80	
	539/1	0	02	70	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	540/1	0	04	90	
	541	0	04	20	
	553	0	04	10	
	554/1	0	06	50	
	554/2	0	00	70	
कुमपुरा	335/1	0	02	00	
	334	0	02	80	
	333/1	0	04	20	
चौदासिया	398/1	0	00	20	
	400	0	02	10	
	403	0	01	00	
	401	0	00	80	
	402	0	01	80	
	433/765	0	17	10	
	433/7	0	02	60	
	412/2	0	00	20	
	415	0	00	30	
	433/6	0	02	90	
	417	0	02	50	
	418	0	06	50	
	433/5	0	01	50	
	421	0	09	30	
	433/4	0	00	20	
	432	0	08	70	
	431	0	09	40	
	435/4	0	04	50	
	430	0	05	20	
	428/1	0	06	80	
	428/2	0	01	80	
	436/1	0	04	60	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा संख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	437/2	0	06	50	
	438/1	0	07	10	
	437/3	0	01	60	
	439	0	08	40	
	438/2	0	00	20	
	440	0	03	40	
	321	0	04	60	
	324	0	02	60	
	325	0	00	20	
	316	0	03	50	
	317	0	04	90	
	320	0	04	10	
	313	0	02	90	
	312	0	03	10	
	576	0	01	30	
	577	0	01	80	
	578	0	08	20	
	582	0	01	90	
	583	0	07	10	
	584	0	02	20	
	639	0	04	10	
	656	0	15	30	
	655	0	00	90	
	657	0	02	50	
	659	0	06	50	
	660	0	06	40	
	691/1	0	12	40	
	693	0	06	70	
	692	0	00	20	
	700/1	0	06	60	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	699	0	11	40	
	711/1	0	03	10	
	703	0	00	20	
	709	0	05	00	
	710	0	07	50	
	706	0	01	10	
	726	0	01	50	
	725	0	11	00	
	727	0	01	60	
	728/1	0	10	20	
	728/2	0	00	80	
लुलवा	5266	0	04	00	
	5267	0	12	30	
कांकरनाडी	2	0	10	20	
	3	0	08	40	
	4	0	12	00	
	20	0	00	70	
	21	0	00	20	
	18	0	00	20	
	17	0	00	60	
	16	0	14	60	
	80	0	03	70	
	111	0	03	90	
प्रतापपुरा-I	51	0	22	30	
देवीपुरा	409	0	00	20	
	414	0	04	30	
	413	0	05	40	
	412	0	00	50	
	415	0	04	20	
	416	0	06	60	

तहसील : मसूदा	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5
	418	0	09	70
	467	0	00	70
	419	0	00	20
	417	0	00	20
	466	0	06	00
	465	0	05	00
	457	0	02	80
	458	0	02	90
	459	0	00	30
	456	0	00	40
	472	0	03	40
	496	0	04	30
	495	0	04	30
	493	0	01	00
	498	0	04	60
	499	0	04	50
	492	0	07	40
	490	0	00	20
	510	0	00	70
	509	0	05	60
	478	0	03	90
	533	0	01	80
उत्तमी	453	0	00	30
	455	0	13	00
	456मिन	0	01	50
	463	0	11	30
	487	0	10	20
	483	0	03	00
	518	0	11	50
	520	0	02	60

तहसील : मसूदा	जिला: अजमेर	राज्य : राजस्थान		
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5
	521	0	04	40
	522	0	04	10
	523	0	03	30
	524	0	05	10
	544	0	07	30
	543	0	05	40
	542	0	07	50
	751	0	05	00
	770	0	00	70
	765	0	00	20
	771	0	03	00
	772	0	02	20
	773	0	05	70
	774मिन	0	05	60
	777	0	11	10
	858	0	00	50
	859	0	03	10
	860	0	00	50
	861	0	00	20
	857	0	01	10
	856	0	02	10
	884	0	06	50
	877	0	02	80
	882	0	00	60
	880	0	07	80
	889	0	05	50
	881	0	00	20
	890	0	09	00
	897	0	02	50
	896	0	01	90

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	898	0	01	80	
	900	0	01	60	
	895	0	00	20	
	899	0	02	30	
	901	0	02	90	
	840	0	00	30	
	839	0	10	10	
	838	0	03	40	
दौलतपुरा	23	0	00	20	
	22	0	05	70	
	17	0	01	00	
	21	0	04	70	
	16	0	02	90	
	28	0	01	80	
	27	0	02	30	
	27/1911	0	02	80	
	15	0	00	20	
	31	0	02	20	
	30	0	02	40	
	137	0	05	70	
	135	0	02	20	
	140	0	01	90	
	142	0	01	10	
	141	0	08	70	
	130	0	01	20	
	110	0	02	00	
	131	0	15	10	
	115	0	07	50	
	116	0	00	90	
	114	0	02	50	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	113	0	02	00	
	112	0	02	20	
	117	0	04	90	
	259	0	18	60	
	191	0	06	10	
	196	0	07	40	
	197	0	06	50	
	199	0	06	30	
	202	0	03	20	
	203	0	02	90	
	205	0	06	80	
	208	0	00	20	
	215	0	04	50	
	213	0	01	50	
	214	0	09	30	
	212	0	03	60	
रेनपुरा	212	0	04	20	
	213	0	03	30	
	214	0	03	10	
	215	0	02	50	
	216	0	02	20	
	217	0	04	00	
	219	0	03	20	
	220	0	02	30	
	223	0	03	80	
	234	0	06	80	
	239	0	10	00	
	238	0	01	00	
	224	0	00	20	
	272	0	02	30	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
कानपुरा	271	0	03	70	
	240	0	02	00	
	270	0	03	50	
	269	0	06	30	
	277	0	00	30	
	274	0	11	40	
	275	0	20	90	
	247	0	07	60	
	238	0	02	00	
	251	0	00	20	
	240	0	06	40	
	241	0	04	10	
	239	0	05	00	
	236	0	06	70	
	230	0	00	60	
	232	0	09	00	
	228	0	12	20	
	229	0	00	60	
	227	0	01	00	
	226	0	02	10	
	225	0	07	50	
	191	0	09	70	
	192	0	00	20	
	193	0	00	20	
	194	0	12	10	
	185	0	04	40	
	195	0	00	20	
	180	0	00	20	
	181	0	14	30	
	162	0	07	20	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	163	0	00	40	
	179	0	00	20	
	161	0	03	40	
	159	0	06	70	
	566	0	04	40	
	570	0	09	10	
	571	0	04	50	
	565	0	00	60	
	582	0	03	50	
	580	0	00	20	
	583	0	09	10	
	579	0	02	30	
	585	0	00	40	
	584	0	06	30	
	587	0	00	80	
	588	0	20	20	
जिवाना	2169	0	17	80	
	2172	0	00	20	
	2168	0	00	90	
	2174	0	03	40	
	2173	0	01	00	
	2175	0	22	80	
	2167	0	00	20	
	2177	0	01	70	
	2183	0	05	90	
	2181	0	07	70	
	2180	0	03	20	
	2179	0	00	50	
	2238	0	00	20	
	2256	0	00	20	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	2258	0	04	30	
	2383	0	02	20	
	2384	0	03	40	
	2619	0	04	30	
	2680/2619	0	12	30	
	2620	0	11	60	
	2622	0	10	60	
	2637	0	00	30	
	2638	0	00	30	
देवास	248	0	09	40	
	282	0	09	70	
	281	0	00	20	
	280	0	07	50	
	275	0	09	00	
	273	0	03	70	
	272	0	03	50	
	267	0	07	50	
	266	0	07	70	
	330	0	06	50	
	332	0	12	10	
	336	0	08	20	
	394	0	14	00	
	424	0	01	50	
	425	0	11	50	
	423	0	00	90	
	426	0	01	10	
	427	0	02	20	
	429	0	03	80	
	726	0	03	00	
	727	0	15	70	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	असरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	729	0	10	70	
	728	0	00	20	
	724	0	01	80	
	722	0	01	70	
	721	0	06	50	
	720	0	08	60	
	679	0	01	70	
	678	0	08	70	
	680	0	18	40	
	681	0	05	30	
	682	0	00	20	
	759	0	07	20	
	620	0	07	50	
	343	0	11	50	
	619	0	01	50	
	786	0	07	10	
	787	0	16	90	
अमरपुरा	351	0	01	20	
	348	0	05	90	
	356	0	05	50	
	354	0	09	50	
	355	0	08	40	
	480	0	05	90	
	479	0	02	30	
	482	0	01	10	
	484	0	04	40	
	475	0	08	60	
	476	0	07	80	
	469	0	04	50	
	500	0	06	20	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	501	0	08	50	
	503	0	10	00	
	468	0	00	40	
	489	0	00	20	
	504	0	07	40	
	570	0	09	80	
	575	0	08	30	
	577	0	00	40	
	576	0	17	20	
	560	0	07	50	
	582	0	00	20	
	585	0	02	20	
	584	0	19	10	
	587	0	00	50	
	586	0	14	90	
	604	0	06	50	
	603	0	00	20	
	618	0	00	20	
	616	0	03	60	
	619	0	10	70	
	623	0	00	20	
	615	0	04	70	
	614	0	05	00	
	613	0	09	50	
	611	0	08	80	
	628	0	10	60	
	641	0	18	40	
	648	0	43	70	
	650	0	13	10	
	663	0	19	60	

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला: अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गांव का नाम	खसरा सख्या	क्षेत्रफल			
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	
	657	0	11	70	
	656	0	01	00	
अकरोल	16	0	14	40	
	17	0	5	30	
	18	0	04	10	
	22	0	03	50	
	31	0	00	30	
	23मिन	0	04	60	
	29	0	01	40	
	28	0	01	40	
	27	0	03	10	
	41	0	00	20	
	81	0	01	10	
	82	0	07	20	
	83	0	04	40	
	84	0	01	20	
	85	0	00	20	

[फा. सं. आर-25011/30/2004-ओ.आर.-I]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3218.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government, that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products in Rajasthan State from Beawar to Chittaurgarh a "Branch Pipeline to Chittaurgarh from Sidhpur-Sanganer Pipeline", should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

And, whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid, and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification.

Now, therefore, In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification issued under sub-section(1) of Section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette

of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land, to Shri O. P. Gupta, Competent Authority (Rajasthan), Indian Oil Corporation Limited (Pipelines division), 33, Muktanand Nagar, Gopalpura Bye-pass, Jaipur – 302018, (Rajasthan).

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq. mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
ANDHERI DEVRI	13	0	00	20	
	11	0	07	00	
	1099/1	0	07	40	
	1098	0	00	60	
	1097	0	09	80	
	1143	0	00	90	
	1096	0	04	80	
	1137	0	02	20	
	1142	0	04	00	
	1145	0	06	10	
	1146	0	05	20	
	1149	0	10	30	
	1159	0	02	20	
	1157	0	02	40	
	1158	0	04	70	
	1166/1	0	07	20	
	1165	0	07	30	
	1160	0	00	20	
	1169	0	00	20	
	1168	0	11	00	
SHYAMGARH	5351	0	00	30	
	5398/1	0	32	10	
KHIMPURA	49/1	0	05	60	
	49/2	0	02	90	
	49/3	0	05	20	
	75	0	00	20	
	73	0	06	50	
	74	0	05	20	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	81	0	00	20	
	82	0	05	10	
	83	0	04	50	
	90/1	0	08	30	
	89/1	0	00	20	
	89/2	0	00	20	
	90/2	0	00	20	
	95	0	05	60	
	96	0	04	10	
	97	0	04	40	
	98	0	11	60	
	114	0	02	90	
	113	0	04	00	
	112	0	10	50	
	110	0	00	20	
	505	0	04	10	
	506	0	04	30	
	507	0	00	60	
	504	0	00	20	
	514	0	03	10	
	515	0	03	40	
	516	0	05	80	
	520	0	00	20	
	521	0	00	20	
	517	0	03	70	
	497	0	01	50	
	518	0	00	80	
	496	0	04	10	
	539/2	0	00	80	
	539/1	0	02	70	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Acre	Sq.mtr	
1	2	3	4	5	
	540/1	0 0	04:00	90 90	
	541	0 0	04:04	20 00	
	553	0 0	04:04	10	
	554/1	0 0	08:06	50 00	
	554/2	0 0	00:00	70	
KUMPURA	335/35/1	0 0	02:02	00:00	
	334/34	0 0	02:02	80:80	
	333/3	0 0	04:04	20:20	
CHAUDASIYA	398/1	0 0	00:00	20:20	
	400	0 0	02:02	10 10	
	403	0	01 00	00	
	401	0	00 00	80	
	402	0	01 00	80	
	433/765	0	17 17	10	
	433/7	0	02 00	60	
	412/2	0	00 00	20	
	415	0	00 00	30	
	433/6	0	02 00	90	
	417	0	02 00	50	
	418	0	06 00	50	
	433/53/1	0	01 00	50	
	421	0	09 00	30	
	433/42/4	0	00 00	20	
	432/32	0	08 00	70	
	431	0	09 00	40	
	435/4	0	04 00	50	
	430	0	05 00	20	
	428/1	0	06 00	80	
	428/2	0	01 00	80	
	436/1	0	04 00	60	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	437/2	0	06	50	
	438/1	0	07	10	
	437/3	0	01	60	
	439	0	08	40	
	438/2	0	00	20	
	440	0	03	40	
	321	0	04	60	
	324	0	02	60	
	325	0	00	20	
	316	0	03	50	
	317	0	04	90	
	320	0	04	10	
	313	0	02	90	
	312	0	03	10	
	576	0	01	30	
	577	0	01	80	
	578	0	08	20	
	582	0	01	90	
	583	0	07	10	
	584	0	02	20	
	639	0	04	10	
	656	0	15	30	
	655	0	00	90	
	657	0	02	50	
	659	0	06	50	
	660	0	06	40	
	691/1	0	12	40	
	693	0	06	70	
	692	0	00	20	
	700/1	0	06	60	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	699	0	11	40	
	711/1	0	03	10	
	703	0	00	20	
	709	0	05	00	
	710	0	07	50	
	706	0	01	10	
	726	0	01	50	
	725	0	11	00	
	727	0	01	60	
	728/1	0	10	20	
	728/2	0	00	80	
LULWA	5266	0	04	00	
	5267	0	12	30	
KANKADNARI	2	0	10	20	
	3	0	08	40	
	4	0	12	00	
	20	0	00	70	
	21	0	00	20	
	18	0	00	20	
	17	0	00	60	
	16	0	14	60	
	80	0	03	70	
	111	0	03	90	
PRATAPPURA - I	51	0	22	30	
DEVIPURA	409	0	00	20	
	414	0	04	30	
	413	0	05	40	
	412	0	00	50	
	415	0	04	20	
	416	0	06	60	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq. mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	418	0	09	70	
	467	0	00	70	
	419	0	00	20	
	417	0	00	20	
	466	0	06	00	
	465	0	05	00	
	457	0	02	80	
	458	0	02	90	
	459	0	00	30	
	456	0	00	40	
	472	0	03	40	
	496	0	04	30	
	495	0	04	30	
	493	0	01	00	
	498	0	04	60	
	499	0	04	50	
	492	0	07	40	
	490	0	00	20	
	510	0	00	70	
	509	0	05	60	
	478	0	03	90	
	533	0	01	80	
UTTAMI	453	0	00	30	
	455	0	13	00	
	456Min	0	01	50	
	463	0	11	30	
	487	0	10	20	
	483	0	03	00	
	518	0	11	50	
	520	0	02	60	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	521	0	04	40	
	522	0	04	10	
	523	0	03	30	
	524	0	05	10	
	544	0	07	30	
	543	0	05	40	
	542	0	07	50	
	751	0	05	00	
	770	0	00	70	
	765	0	00	20	
	771	0	03	00	
	772	0	02	20	
	773	0	05	70	
	774Min	0	05	60	
	777	0	11	10	
	858	0	00	50	
	859	0	03	10	
	860	0	00	50	
	861	0	00	20	
	857	0	01	10	
	856	0	02	10	
	884	0	06	50	
	877	0	02	80	
	882	0	00	60	
	880	0	07	80	
	889	0	05	50	
	881	0	00	20	
	890	0	09	00	
	897	0	02	50	
	896	0	01	90	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	898	0	01	80	
	900	0	01	60	
	895	0	00	20	
	899	0	02	30	
	901	0	02	90	
	840	0	00	30	
	839	0	10	10	
	838	0	03	40	
DAULATPURA	23	0	00	20	
	22	0	05	70	
	17	0	01	00	
	21	0	04	70	
	16	0	02	90	
	28	0	01	80	
	27	0	02	30	
	27/1911	0	02	80	
	15	0	00	20	
	31	0	02	20	
	30	0	02	40	
	137	0	05	70	
	135	0	02	20	
	140	0	01	90	
	142	0	01	10	
	141	0	08	70	
	130	0	01	20	
	110	0	02	00	
	131	0	15	10	
	115	0	07	50	
	116	0	00	90	
	114	0	02	50	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	113	0	02	00	
	112	0	02	20	
	117	0	04	90	
	259	0	18	60	
	191	0	06	10	
	196	0	07	40	
	197	0	06	50	
	199	0	06	30	
	202	0	03	20	
	203	0	02	90	
	205	0	06	80	
	208	0	00	20	
	215	0	04	50	
	213	0	01	50	
	214	0	09	30	
	212	0	03	60	
RENPURA	212	0	04	20	
	213	0	03	30	
	214	0	03	10	
	215	0	02	50	
	216	0	02	20	
	217	0	04	00	
	219	0	03	20	
	220	0	02	30	
	223	0	03	80	
	234	0	06	80	
	239	0	10	00	
	238	0	01	00	
	224	0	00	20	
	272	0	02	30	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
KANPURA	271	0	03	70	
	240	0	02	00	
	270	0	03	50	
	269	0	06	30	
	277	0	00	30	
	274	0	11	40	
	275	0	20	90	
	247	0	07	60	
	238	0	02	00	
	251	0	00	20	
	240	0	06	40	
	241	0	04	10	
	239	0	05	00	
	236	0	06	70	
	230	0	00	60	
	232	0	09	00	
	228	0	12	20	
	229	0	00	60	
	227	0	01	00	
	226	0	02	10	
	225	0	07	50	
	191	0	09	70	
	192	0	00	20	
	193	0	00	20	
	194	0	12	10	
	185	0	04	40	
	195	0	00	20	
	180	0	00	20	
	181	0	14	30	
	162	0	07	20	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	163	0	00	40	
	179	0	00	20	
	161	0	03	40	
	159	0	06	70	
	566	0	04	40	
	570	0	09	10	
	571	0	04	50	
	565	0	00	60	
	582	0	03	50	
	580	0	00	20	
	583	0	09	10	
	579	0	02	30	
	585	0	00	40	
	584	0	06	30	
	587	0	00	80	
	588	0	20	20	
JIWANA	2169	0	17	80	
	2172	0	00	20	
	2168	0	00	90	
	2174	0	03	40	
	2173	0	01	00	
	2175	0	22	80	
	2167	0	00	20	
	2177	0	01	70	
	2183	0	05	90	
	2181	0	07	70	
	2180	0	03	20	
	2179	0	00	50	
	2238	0	00	20	
	2256	0	00	20	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
DEVAS	2258	0	04	30	
	2383	0	02	20	
	2384	0	03	40	
	2619	0	04	30	
	2680/2619	0	12	30	
	2620	0	11	60	
	2622	0	10	60	
	2637	0	00	30	
	2638	0	00	30	
	248	0	09	40	
	282	0	09	70	
	281	0	00	20	
	280	0	07	50	
	275	0	09	00	
	273	0	03	70	
	272	0	03	50	
	267	0	07	50	
	266	0	07	70	
	330	0	06	50	
	332	0	12	10	
	336	0	08	20	
	394	0	14	00	
	424	0	01	50	
	425	0	11	50	
	423	0	00	90	
	426	0	01	10	
	427	0	02	20	
	429	0	03	80	
	726	0	03	00	
	727	0	15	70	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	729	0	10	70	
	728	0	00	20	
	724	0	01	80	
	722	0	01	70	
	721	0	06	50	
	720	0	08	60	
	879	0	01	70	
	678	0	08	70	
	680	0	18	40	
	881	0	05	30	
	682	0	00	20	
	759	0	07	20	
	620	0	07	50	
	343	0	11	50	
	619	0	01	50	
	786	0	07	10	
	787	0	18	90	
AMARPURA	351	0	01	20	
	348	0	05	90	
	356	0	05	50	
	354	0	09	50	
	355	0	08	40	
	480	0	05	90	
	479	0	02	30	
	482	0	01	10	
	484	0	04	40	
	475	0	08	60	
	478	0	07	80	
	469	0	04	50	
	500	0	06	20	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	501	0	08	50	
	503	0	10	00	
	468	0	00	40	
	489	0	00	20	
	504	0	07	40	
	570	0	09	80	
	575	0	08	30	
	577	0	00	40	
	576	0	17	20	
	580	0	07	50	
	582	0	00	20	
	585	0	02	20	
	584	0	19	10	
	587	0	00	50	
	586	0	14	90	
	604	0	06	50	
	603	0	00	20	
	618	0	00	20	
	616	0	03	60	
	619	0	10	70	
	623	0	00	20	
	615	0	04	70	
	614	0	05	00	
	613	0	09	50	
	611	0	08	80	
	628	0	10	60	
	641	0	18	40	
	648	0	43	70	
	650	0	13	10	
	663	0	19	60	

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
AKROL	657	0	11	70	
	656	0	01	00	
	16	0	14	40	
	17	0	5	30	
	18	0	04	10	
	22	0	03	50	
	31	0	00	30	
	23Min	0	04	60	
	29	0	01	40	
	28	0	01	40	
	27	0	03	10	
	41	0	00	20	
	81	0	01	10	
	82	0	07	20	
	83	0	04	40	
	84	0	01	20	
	85	0	00	20	

[No. R-25011/30/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3219.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिरुचि, मदुराई और शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादन के परिवहन के लिये इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ; और ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करना आवश्यक है ; अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस तारीख से, जिसको भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रति लिखित रूप में आक्षेप श्री आर. वल्लवेलू, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, चेन्नई-तिरुचि -मदुराई उत्पाद पाइपलाइन परियोजना और आसन्नूर से शंकरी तक ब्रांच पाइपलाइन परियोजना, 4/2, आरोग्यसामी स्ट्रीट, काफोर्ड कालोनि, तिरुचिरापल्ली -620 012, (तमिलनाडु) को कर सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तालूका : रासिपुरम		जिला : नामक्कल		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 48 अरियगवुन्डनपटिट	222	2अ2	0	01	36
	296	4ब	0	13	71
	298	—	0	01	57
नं. 29 पिल्लानल्लूर	98	4	0	05	90
	53	10	0	08	94
	54	2अ	0	05	00
तालूका : तिरुचेंगोडु		जिला : नामक्कल		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 68 वट्टूर	33	1अ	0	00	40
नं. 50 करुमापुरम	232	4	0	02	24
	229	2	0	1	40
	228	1ब2	0	4	68
	228	1ब1	0	7	11
	227	3ब	0	3	30
	227	3अ	0	8	62
नं. 49 राजपाल्यम	183	3	0	01	02
	183	2ब	0	01	96

[फा. सं. आर-25011/15/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th December, 2004

S. O. 3219.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Trichy, Madurai and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. R.Vajravelu, Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Chennai-Trichy-Madurai Product Pipeline Project with a branch pipeline from Asanur to Sankari, 4/2, Arockiasamy Street, Crawford Colony, Tiruchirappalli-620 012, Tamilnadu.

SCHEDULE

Taluk : Rasipuram		District : Namakkal		State : Tamil Nadu	
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
NO. 48 ARIYAGOUNDANPATTI	222	2A2	0	01	36
	296	4B	0	13	71
	298	-	0	01	57
NO.29 PILLANALLUR	98	4	0	05	90
	53	10	0	08	94
	54	2A	0	05	00

Taluk : Tiruchengode		District : Namakkal		State : Tamil Nadu	
1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.68 VATTUR	33	1A	0	00	40
	232	4	0	02	24
NO.50 KARUMAPURAM	229	2	0	01	40
	228	1B2	0	04	68
	228	1B1	0	07	11
	227	3B	0	03	30
	227	3A	0	08	62
NO.49 RAJAPALAYAM	183	3	0	01	02
	183	2B	0	01	96

[No. R-25011/15/2004-O.R.-I]
 RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3220.—केंद्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसने इससे पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गयी भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का०आ०1737, तारीख 23, जुलाई, 2004 द्वारा, तमिलनाडु राज्य में चेन्नई से तिरुच्चिरापल्ली होकर मदुराई तक और आसनूर से शंकरी तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी।

और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 23.08.2004 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी।

और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप धारा (1) के अनुसरण में सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने केंद्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार का उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाना चाहिए।

अतः अब, केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित किया जाता है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का योग करते हुए यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि के उपयोग का अधिकार इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केंद्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय सभी बिल्लिंगमों से मुक्त होकर इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

तालूका : रासिपुरम		जिला : नामक्कल		राज्य : तमिलनाडु	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	हिस्सा नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 61 तिम्मिनायक्कनपटिट	226	1अ	0	13	09
	226	1ब	0	13	64
	227	1	0	01	00
	227	2	0	20	67
	224	3ब	0	14	87
	224	2	0	24	26
	203	2क1	0	09	76
	203	2इ	0	07	65
	203	2क2	0	00	58
	202	2	0	20	33
	201	4	0	11	55
	201	5	0	12	82
	201	2	0	02	43
	180	1	0	02	03
	180	2	0	27	83
	180	3अ	0	00	40
	169	4	0	19	77
	169	2	0	13	80
	169	3	0	03	13
	158	15	0	06	82
	158	11	0	02	40
	158	13	0	07	22
	158	14	0	02	97
	158	12	0	04	79
	160	3	0	20	99
	160	2ड	0	09	71
	160	2क	0	08	02
	161	2क	0	02	34
	161	2ब	0	20	16
	161	2अ2	0	10	80
	148	3	0	08	62
	148	1अ	0	07	93
	149	2	0	11	52

1	2	3	4	5	6
	149	4	0	09	05
	149	1ब	0	22	22
	149	1अ	0	01	79
	144	2	0	17	64
	144	1	0	13	06
	138	5	0	11	15
	138	3	0	03	87
	139	3	0	04	15
	139	2	0	07	75
	139	1क	0	05	96
नं. 60 ईश्वरमूर्तिपालेयम	161	2एफ	0	00	95
	161	2जि	0	00	45
	161	2इ	0	18	01
	161	2ब	0	00	49
	161	2क	0	19	54
	161	2ड	0	01	14
	161	1	0	10	22
	150	2ब3	0	28	58
	150	2ब4	0	02	03
	150	3ड	0	05	60
	150	3क	0	01	58
	150	3ब	0	00	67
	150	3अ	0	00	60
	143	5	0	00	40
	146	2ब	0	34	33
	146	2इ	0	00	92
	146	2ड	0	00	54
	146	2क	0	01	18
	146	2एफ	0	07	31
	146	2जि	0	00	40
	146	2अ	0	04	39
	145	7	0	14	35
	146	1	0	01	03
	182	3	0	20	22
	182	1	0	09	86
	183	5	0	10	25
	183	6	0	07	05
	183	7अ	0	10	92

1	2	3	4	5	6
	186	5	0	08	52
	186	2	0	08	34
	186	1ब	0	10	48
	187	2	0	03	49
	187	1ड	0	10	74
	188	4ब	0	05	09
	188	4इ	0	03	91
	188	4ड	0	11	28
	188	4क	0	06	77
	188	5क	0	06	84
	196	9	0	01	70
	112	2हेच	0	08	88
	196	9	0	00	40
	196	1अ	0	14	78
	196	3	0	06	65
	196	2ब	0	15	60
	197	3ब	0	03	70
	197	3अ	0	01	10
	196	2अ	0	00	40
	197	2अ	0	03	63
	197	2ब	0	00	47
	197	2क	0	00	40
	197	1जि	0	02	01
	197	1अ	0	01	19
	197	1एफ	0	01	22
	197	1इ	0	08	47
	197	1ड	0	17	12
	198	1	0	00	40
	199	5	0	16	51
	205	1अ	0	18	01
	205	1ब	0	00	40
	206	3	0	13	45
	206	4अ	0	07	17
	209	1इ	0	00	40
	209	1एफ	0	08	24
	209	4ब	0	06	15
	209	4क	0	02	15
	209	3ब	0	03	25

1	2	3	4	5	6
	209	3क	0	04	84
	210	7	0	00	40
	210	6अ	0	08	07
	209	2	0	02	28
	60	3ब	0	06	84
	58	4	0	14	88
	58	3	0	00	40
	58	5	0	13	43
	58	2ड	0	03	23
	58	1अ	0	00	58
	58	6क	0	03	43
	58	2अ	0	00	40
	58	6ब	0	03	61
	58	6अ	0	02	86
	58	7	0	05	30
	58	1क	0	00	40
	57	3इ	0	01	75
	57	3एफ	0	00	74
	57	3ड	0	08	83
	57	3क	0	01	88
	57	4अ	0	00	86
	56	4ब	0	12	51
	56	4क	0	06	39
	56	4ड	0	01	89
	55	3	0	02	52
	55	2ब	0	05	48
	55	2अ	0	00	40
	55	2क	0	00	91
	56	3	0	10	40
	56	2	0	13	56
	233	1	0	04	19
	35	1एफ	0	12	25
	35	1जि	0	10	97
	35	2ब	0	18	20
	237	3	0	00	40
	237	2	0	03	86
	237	1ड	0	02	24
	237	1इ	0	10	81
	237	5ब	0	04	82
	237	5अ	0	00	98
	239	9ब	0	01	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	239	9अ	0	04	53
	238	1ब	0	10	34
	238	1अ	0	10	77
	240	5	0	05	20
	240	4	0	05	87
	240	3अ	0	05	53
	240	2	0	07	64
	253	1एफ	0	07	21
	253	1इ	0	07	14
	255	4ड	0	00	92
	255	4क	0	08	37
	255	4अ	0	10	29
	256	3	0	08	79
	256	4	0	01	21
	256	2एफ	0	11	50
	256	2ड	0	08	45
	258	4अ	0	00	40
	258	3अ	0	03	00
	258	2ब	0	00	40
	258	4ब	0	00	40
	258	3ब	0	01	96
	258	2क	0	01	78
	258	2अ	0	02	29
	258	1	0	03	60
	71	2	0	11	87
	71	1	0	08	90
	71	3	0	00	40
	72	11	0	05	90
	72	8	0	00	40
	72	10	0	07	30
	72	9	0	05	48
	71	4	0	15	56
	71	5	0	04	33
	73	4ब	0	10	34
	73	4अ	0	00	40
	78	2अ	0	00	71
	78	1	0	11	27

नं. 59 मंगलापुरम

1	2	3	4	5	6
	77	2	0	01	47
	77	1	0	00	40
	77	3	0	05	79
	77	4	0	06	07
	77	6अ	0	10	85
	77	7	0	01	62
	77	10	0	13	88
	84	7	0	01	96
	84	6	0	06	33
	84	8	0	08	90
	84	9	0	10	20
	85	2	0	09	47
	85	1	0	07	96
	85	3	0	00	40
	88	3अ	0	10	94
	88	2ब	0	20	28
	87	4ब2	0	00	40
	88	1	0	06	45
	237	2ब	0	08	67
	237	4	0	00	40
	237	3क	0	00	45
	237	3ब	0	09	51
	237	3अ	0	01	70
	238	2	0	12	19
	238	1अ	0	00	40
	238	1ब	0	11	23
	235	3क	0	00	40
	235	9	0	06	28
	235	4अ	0	02	51
	235	4ब	0	08	63
	235	7क	0	00	50
	235	7अ	0	06	05
	235	6	0	16	16
	235	5	0	03	77
	226	1	0	10	01
	230	4अ	0	05	58
	230	4ब	0	07	75
	230	4क	0	04	04

1	2	3	4	5	6
	230	4ड	0	02	33
	229	1अ	0	00	40
	230	3	0	05	15
	229	3	0	01	29
	230	2ड	0	00	85
	229	2	0	03	13
	229	1ब	0	03	71
	230	8	0	11	89
	230	5ब1	0	06	71
	230	5अ	0	01	07
	163	1अ	0	25	11
	163	1ब	0	00	64
	164	1ब	0	05	68
	165	10ब	0	07	94
	161	4ड	0	00	42
	161	4क	0	00	40
	165	7क	0	02	73
	165	10क	0	03	77
	165	7ब	0	08	49
	165	10अ	0	01	70
	165	10इ	0	02	75
	165	7अ	0	08	20
	165	10एफ	0	01	23
	165	3अ	0	01	99
	165	3एफ	0	02	70
	165	3इ	0	04	28
	166	1	0	21	97
	165	5ब	0	00	85
	166	4अ	0	10	12
	157	7	0	01	09
	157	5अ	0	13	89
	157	5ड	0	08	20
	156	2	0	09	63
	157	5क	0	00	63
	156	10ब	0	03	12
	156	3	0	01	49
	156	4	0	03	40
	156	5	0	03	14
	156	6	0	00	94

1	2	3	4	5	6
	155	13क	0	10	00
	155	13ब	0	02	47
	155	11	0	10	69
	155	9	0	01	51
	153	1अ	0	11	02
	153	1ब	0	09	23
	153	7क	0	01	61
	176	3	0	00	60
	176	4	0	06	69
	176	5	0	07	97
	176	2	0	00	40
	176	6ड	0	10	21
	176	6क	0	12	65
	177	6ड	0	06	51
	178	4क	0	02	83
	178	4ब	0	08	34
	178	4जि	0	03	62
	178	1	0	04	43
	178	2	0	05	88
नं. 58 नवलपट्टि	149	3	0	01	95
	149	2इ	0	06	35
	153	1क	0	06	13
	149	2क	0	13	11
	153	1ब	0	15	98
	153	3	0	02	14
नं. 57 आयलपट्टि	81	1अ	0	06	26
	81	2अ1	0	18	77
	81	2ब	0	00	86
	80	2ब2	0	27	70
	74	2	0	06	85
	74	3	0	35	22
	73	4	0	04	80
	73	5अ	0	15	26
	71	1ब	0	00	40
	71	1क	0	22	70
	72	1	0	01	00
	71	2	0	08	55

1	2	3	4	5	6
	108	1ब	0	00	40
	108	1अ	0	18	36
	108	2	0	08	52
	112	3	0	03	36
	112	7ब	0	12	96
	112	7अ	0	06	48
	112	6	0	11	16
	115	4	0	10	26
	119	1ब2	0	17	10
	119	2ब	0	10	61
	119	3अ	0	09	53
	119	3क	0	00	40
	119	3ब	0	08	37
	119	5ब	0	13	05
	123	3क	0	08	61
	123	3इ	0	06	39
	123	3उ	0	01	40
	125	2अ	0	01	08
	125	2ब	0	20	51
	135	1	0	16	91
	126	2	0	00	40
	135	3	0	13	01
	135	4	0	00	40
	135	5	0	01	31
	135	8	0	17	18
	135	7	0	00	40
	132	1	0	22	23
	132	2	0	08	82
	133	1क	0	00	40
	133	2	0	22	80
	177	1अ1	0	28	07
	177	1अ2	0	10	17
	177	1अ3	0	16	99
	177	1ब	0	01	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
	179	1ब	0	15	28
	179	1ड	0	14	98
	179	2	0	29	88
	203	6	0	00	40
नं. 56 कर्कुडलपटिट	20	2	0	16	93
	20	1	0	22	07
	20	3	0	02	53
	20	4	0	08	85
	20	5	0	01	36
	21	2अ	0	24	36
	21	1ब	0	13	90
	21	3	0	05	06
	21	2ब	0	00	40
	29	2	0	24	15
	29	3अ	0	22	24
	32	2ए	0	08	34
	32	2जे	0	09	33
	32	2हेच2	0	09	41
	32	2एन	0	07	75
	41	3अ1	0	00	84
	40	2अ	0	01	90
	40	2ब	0	20	68
	40	3ब	0	09	41
	33	5	0	03	14
	33	2	0	04	13
	40	5अ	0	15	43
	40	5ब	0	00	40
	38	7	0	04	45
	134	2अ	0	01	55
	134	2ब1	0	13	37
	134	3	0	00	40
	134	2ब3	0	31	87
	136	3ब3	0	17	90
	146	4	0	00	93
	138	3	0	22	79
	138	4	0	10	45
	956	3ब	0	21	32

1	2	3	4	5	6
	957	4	0	09	00
	950	3ब	0	13	83
	950	2अ	0	10	62
	948	4क	0	12	77
	948	4अ	0	08	79
	948	1	0	14	04
	947	8क	0	00	40
	947	6	0	10	18
	947	4	0	08	24
	946	4	0	19	51
	946	3	0	05	40
नं. 45 मुलेपल्लिपट्टिट	139	17	0	36	12
	139	12	0	17	28
	139	15	0	02	63
	139	11	0	00	40
	139	10	0	18	96
	158	4	0	01	82
	158	3	0	11	70
	158	13अ	0	13	13
	157	7	0	03	74
	157	8ब	0	04	54
	157	4	0	13	81
	157	8अ	0	04	36
	157	3	0	12	78
	157	1	0	01	98
	143	2अ	0	13	10
	143	13अ	0	11	80
	143	1ब	0	16	54
	142	3अ	0	11	42
	142	3ब	0	10	78
	142	2	0	15	14
	144	2	0	04	40
	142	4	0	15	13
	142	1	0	00	40
	140	11	0	02	61
	240	2अ	0	15	56
	239	3अ	0	11	57
	223	3	0	10	65

1	2	3	4	5	6
	223	8	0	00	40
	223	4ब	0	01	66
	223	6	0	09	34
	226	7अ	0	09	41
	226	5अ	0	07	58
	226	5ब	0	01	29
	226	3	0	12	62
	226	1	0	24	71
	228	3	0	20	59
	228	2	0	01	93
	228	6	0	00	58
	228	5	0	14	16
	82	2अ	0	22	87
	82	3	0	04	39
	80	4	0	10	62
	80	6	0	26	51
	79	3	0	00	55
	99	1ब	0	01	21
	98	1अ2	0	11	43
	81	1	0	08	14
	98	2अ	0	01	14
	98	1ब	0	30	23
	118	1ड	0	45	03
	118	3अ2	0	02	64
	118	3अ1	0	00	40
	118	3ब	0	06	15
	114	1ब1	0	07	49
	114	1ब2	0	05	56
	124	2	0	18	20
	123	—	0	22	97
	242	1ब	0	07	07
	242	3ब	0	20	02
	249	2	0	15	85
	247	—	0	22	63
	246	2अ6	0	00	40
	246	2अ5	0	15	40
	246	1ब	0	03	73
	246	2अ4	0	03	92

नं. 47 नामगिरिपेट्टै

1	2	3	4	5	6
	246	1अ	0	07	68
	245	1ब	0	03	72
	245	1अ2	0	03	72
	245	2ब	0	00	40
	245	2अ	0	07	13
	245	3अ	0	05	54
	257	6अ	0	00	40
	257	5अ	0	09	25
	257	3	0	00	95
	257	4ब	0	06	44
	257	5ब	0	05	41
	257	5क	0	01	32
	258	3	0	06	91
	258	1ड	0	03	17
	258	1इ	0	11	03
	258	2ब	0	09	18
	259	4अ	0	00	40
	259	3अ	0	01	28
	259	4ब2	0	00	40
	259	3ड	0	12	90
	259	3एफ	0	02	21
	259	4ब1	0	10	79
	261	1अ	0	10	02
	261	2अ	0	17	17
	261	2ब	0	06	81
	262	2अ	0	00	54
	262	2ब	0	10	76
	263	2अ	0	21	82
	263	2ब	0	12	56
	264	3इ	0	01	71
	264	3क	0	20	00
	264	2अ7	0	01	07
	272	1ब	0	18	17
	272	2अ	0	00	40
	272	1अ	0	04	13
	265	—	0	32	12
	266	2अ1	0	01	77
	193	2ब	0	01	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	193	2अ	0	04	37
	193	3अ	0	18	93
	193	3क	0	13	89
	189	5	0	16	01
	191	4	0	00	40
	191	3	0	08	96
	191	2	0	18	76
	191	1अ	0	00	43
	191	1ब	0	00	81
नं. 48 अरियगवुन्दनपट्टि	244	2	0	02	28
	244	1	0	18	39
	235	1अ	0	16	47
	235	2अ	0	09	10
	234	2अ	0	17	03
	234	2ब	0	00	97
	236	—	0	34	37
	227	2	0	17	62
	226	2क2	0	21	16
	226	2क1	0	04	34
	222	2ब1	0	01	03
	222	2ब2	0	16	78
	222	2अ2	0	11	64
	295	1अ	0	03	00
	296	4ब	0	02	29
	298	—	0	12	43
	300	2	0	19	66
	299	2	0	00	40
	299	1इ	0	07	12
	299	1क	0	04	64
	299	1अ	0	04	47
	301	2ब	0	17	42
	302	6अ	0	23	17
	302	6ब	0	04	32
	302	2ब	0	07	54
	323	2ब	0	04	96
	323	2अ	0	32	08
	323	1ब	0	05	87

1	2	3	4	5	6
	321	2ब	0	02	46
	321	2अ	0	05	94
	321	3	0	00	80
	320	1अ	0	02	11
	320	1ब	0	18	99
	318	6ब2	0	08	26
	319	—	0	18	99
	318	5	0	09	88
	318	4	0	00	45
	122	2	0	32	50
	122	1	0	09	28
	120	1	0	05	47
	118	2ब	0	44	26
	117	3	0	16	45
	117	2	0	18	15
	116	1अ	0	15	95
	116	2ब	0	10	50
	113	4अ	0	11	71
	113	4ब	0	14	80
	113	2	0	13	35
	113	3	0	04	55
	85	2	0	00	60
	85	1	0	25	46
	86	2	0	09	25
	86	3	0	01	77
	86	1	0	09	07
	87	2अ	0	04	28
	87	2ब	0	23	28
	75	2ब	0	16	65
	75	1	0	00	99
	73	1	0	19	84
	74	2	0	16	00
	74	1	0	09	36
	77	1	0	22	16
	77	2	0	05	51
	66	5	0	04	20
	66	4	0	11	28
	66	3	0	09	25

1	2	3	4	5	6
	66	2	0	10	76
	66	1अ	0	07	07
	64	6	0	05	30
	64	4ब	0	00	40
	64	3ब	0	05	23
	64	3अ	0	03	00
	64	2अ	0	06	60
	64	2ब	0	10	58
	56	7	0	34	70
	56	6	0	00	77
	56	1	0	14	13
	55	2	0	02	55
	52	3क	0	22	69
	52	3अ	0	05	61
	52	1अ	0	09	44
	53	3ब	0	02	91
नं. 91 अ. पच्चुदैयनपालयम	9	4	0	01	41
	9	3	0	24	93
	9	2	0	11	67
	8	—	0	23	32
नं. 37 वोडुवन्कुरिचि	185	4ड	0	09	16
	185	4क	0	06	43
	185	5	0	02	37
	185	4अ2	0	02	64
	185	2ब	0	06	84
	185	1ड	0	05	42
	185	1अ	0	06	82
	188	1	0	19	49
	190	—	0	29	03
	191	1	0	17	38
	80	2अ	0	09	89
	80	2ब1	0	16	04
	80	1	0	08	59
नं. 49 अ. जेदारपालैयम	13	1	0	17	12
	2	1	0	20	62
	2	2अ1	0	24	48
	2	2ब	0	00	40
	4	3	0	18	72

1	2	3	4	5	6
	4	2ब	0	03	85
	4	4	0	02	72
	4	2अ	0	01	41
नं. 89 पेरमकबुन्दनपालैयम	14	2ब	0	22	03
	14	2अ	0	19	49
	18	4	0	00	51
	18	3	0	06	22
	18	2	0	06	41
	18	1अ	0	21	99
	17	1अ	0	03	25
	17	6अ	0	03	51
	17	1ब	0	00	44
	176	6ब1	0	08	74
	17	2	0	04	48
	16	5	0	00	40
	17	3अ	0	07	65
	17	3ब	0	00	63
नं. 35 थोप्पपटिट	87	1अ	0	00	40
	87	1ब	0	23	74
	8	1	0	01	47
	8	2	0	27	60
	8	3	0	01	31
	7	7ब	0	03	62
	7	6	0	03	40
	7	5	0	04	76
	7	4	0	07	44
	7	3	0	03	75
	7	2	0	03	45
	7	1ड	0	06	38
	6	4	0	02	62
	6	5अ	0	19	80
	2	11अ	0	04	51
	2	9अ	0	04	39
	2	9ब	0	00	75
	2	8अ	0	00	66
	2	8ब	0	00	50
	2	7ब	0	00	53
	2	7अ	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	4	6ब	0	06	34
	4	6अ	0	02	75
	4	5	0	14	11
	4	4ब	0	03	64
	4	4अ	0	10	65
	4	1	0	09	28
	4	2	0	13	03
	4	3	0	00	72
नं. 34 सिंगलंदपुरम	146	—	0	21	20
	148	2ब	0	01	03
	148	8	0	06	55
	148	9	0	04	19
	148	3ब	0	05	41
	148	3अ	0	07	23
	148	4	0	03	90
	149	20	0	02	59
	149	19ब	0	01	68
	149	12ड	0	00	40
	149	14	0	03	64
	149	13	0	02	22
	149	12अ	0	01	65
	149	10	0	14	37
	149	9	0	01	25
	149	7ब	0	11	50
	149	8	0	00	57
	157	—	0	00	64
	149	7अ	0	07	81
	149	6	0	03	04
	150	19	0	15	82
	150	17	0	00	47
	150	15एन	0	00	50
	150	18	0	09	29
	151	6एफ	0	14	46
	151	5ब	0	00	40
	151	5अ	0	00	40
	151	6ड	0	03	63
	151	6इ	0	03	42
	151	6क	0	09	53

1	2	3	4	5	6
	151	7	0	00	42
	151	6ब	0	08	40
	151	6अ	0	00	40
	202	3ब1ड	0	37	34
	202	3अ2	0	13	88
	202	1	0	06	35
	205	5ब	0	00	40
	205	5अ	0	03	90
	205	3	0	10	82
	205	4ब	0	04	25
	206	1क	0	02	96
	206	4	0	06	79
	211	1	0	06	88
	207	3	0	06	08
	207	2	0	24	86
	208	2अ	0	14	07
	34	2ब	0	22	72
	34	2अ	0	11	75
	35	4अ	0	00	89
	35	3	0	10	38
	35	2	0	00	86
	32	2	0	28	80
	24	2अ1	0	59	76
	24	2ब	0	13	27
	9	5	0	05	00
	9	4	0	08	91
	9	3	0	10	78
	9	2	0	03	16
	9	1अ	0	07	95
	9	1ब	0	00	40
	8	6	0	26	10
	7	3	0	25	09
	6	1	0	04	84
	6	3	0	20	58
	6	2	0	00	40
	12	1	0	19	33
	12	4	0	00	58
	218	2हच	0	00	63
	218	2जि	0	03	24
	218	2एफ	0	09	00
	218	2इ	0	07	38
	218	2क	0	13	50
	218	2अ	0	10	08

न. 21 काक्कावोर

1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 23 रासिपुरम	502	2इ	0	04	82
	502	2एफ	0	02	55
	502	2ड	0	04	86
	502	2अ	0	17	35
	502	2ब	0	00	40
	503	1	0	32	37
	508	6	0	12	12
	508	9	0	00	40
	508	5	0	08	58
	509	2	0	15	84
	513	2अ	0	00	70
	514	2अ	0	20	35
	533	9	0	04	15
	533	7	0	06	33
	530	20	0	07	42
	530	16	0	14	95
	479	—	0	11	35
	476	3	0	02	22
	476	4	0	20	15
	476	6क	0	02	93
	476	5	0	00	40
	476	6ब	0	13	23
	474	—	0	22	27
	453	14	0	03	44
	453	13	0	06	09
	453	11अ	0	06	13
	453	11ब	0	05	86
	453	10	0	00	40
	453	3	0	00	59
	453	5	0	00	76
	453	4	0	04	11
	453	25	0	03	08
	453	1अ	0	00	40
	452	9	0	00	59
	452	6	0	01	13
	452	11	0	03	66
	449	4	0	03	57
	449	5	0	05	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
	445	7अ	0	07	80
	445	7ब	0	07	07
	447	1ब	0	06	20
	447	7	0	12	06
नं. 25/1 चंद्रशेकरपुरम	447	15	0	00	60
	510	2	0	30	39
	514	4	0	10	01
	514	3	0	09	45
	37	12	0	01	77
	37	11	0	00	40
	37	13	0	11	59
	484	2	0	18	12
	541	2	0	14	28
	542	3	0	14	90
	542	4	0	17	93
	543	1	0	11	50
	455	22	0	06	76
	455	21	0	00	80
	452	18अ	0	05	44
	452	15	0	04	18
	452	14	0	04	99
	446	5अ	0	20	27
	446	5क	0	02	22
	538	7अ	0	00	85
	538	2	0	05	84
	538	12	0	02	69
	538	10	0	04	85
	538	13	0	06	24
	539	5	0	11	16
	539	1	0	02	40
नं. 32 मुरुंगपट्टि	539	4	0	05	13
	2	1अ	0	00	72
	2	3ब1	0	01	62
	2	1ब1	0	11	34
	1	1अ	0	00	90
नं. 26 अनैपालयम	1	1ब	0	08	46
	112	2ब	0	06	76
	112	2अ	0	09	14
	112	1ब	0	10	24
	112	1क	0	04	53

1	2	3	4	5	6
	113	3	0	05	78
	113	2ब	0	07	46
	113	2अ	0	00	40
	102	7ब	0	01	80
	102	9अ	0	09	08
	102	10अ	0	11	00
	102	8अ	0	00	91
	102	5	0	04	87
	102	4	0	05	60
	102	1	0	02	87
	103	2	0	34	67
	103	1	0	00	42
	46	7	0	15	09
	98	13अ	0	05	30
	46	9	0	00	40
	98	2	0	00	40
	47	3	0	10	76
	47	2	0	08	88
	47	4	0	11	25
	47	1	0	02	11
	94	2	0	03	72
	94	1	0	11	87
	49	6	0	14	54
	49	1	0	05	22
	49	2	0	21	58
	50	3	0	00	50
	50	2	0	13	55
	50	13अ	0	06	34
	51	3अ	0	13	78
	51	3ब	0	01	73
	51	2	0	21	20
	52	1	0	01	54
	51	1	0	00	74
	53	13अ	0	15	28
	53	1ब	0	20	65
	54	2	0	00	95
नं. 27 कुरुकापुरम	51	1ब	0	21	08
	51	13अ	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	66	4	0	04	75
	67	3क	0	00	40
	67	3ब	0	13	56
	67	3अ	0	09	08
	73	4क	0	03	44
	73	4ड	0	14	05
	74	4	0	19	58
	74	3	0	02	31
	76	—	0	19	59
	61	5	0	01	17
	77	—	0	21	47
	78	5	0	00	40
	78	4	0	01	18
	78	1	0	08	25
	80	2अ2	0	24	09
	81	7	0	19	72
	81	6	0	06	98
	81	5	0	11	58
	86	2	0	17	63
	86	4	0	13	56
	85	1ब	0	01	72
	85	1अ2	0	31	82
	36	5	0	13	09
	36	3	0	00	82
	36	2	0	14	38
	35	1ड	0	03	70
	35	1क	0	00	40
	34	2के	0	02	59
	34	2हेच	0	12	82
	34	2जि	0	06	81
	34	2एफ	0	06	56
	34	2अ	0	02	66
	34	1	0	09	25
	26	3	0	02	46
	26	1ब	0	09	71
	26	1अ2	0	00	40
	17	4अ	0	00	40
	17	3ब	0	00	40

नं. 83 एल्लपालयम

1	2	3	4	5	6
	17	3अ	0	09	15
	11	2क	0	00	46
	11	2अ	0	22	12
	12	2क	0	04	91
	13	8	0	03	65
	13	7	0	15	30
	13	3	0	05	47
	13	1	0	02	57
	13	2	0	07	63
	14	1ब	0	02	19
	14	1अ	0	00	40
नं. 29 पिल्लानल्लूर	103	6	0	11	23
	103	5	0	27	47
	102	6एफ	0	19	40
	104	1	0	06	38
	101	2क	0	01	48
	101	2अ	0	11	39
	98	3	0	07	33
	98	5	0	00	40
	98	4	0	01	10
	52	5ब	0	16	00
	52	5अ	0	01	40
	53	9अ	0	11	00
	53	10	0	01	00
	60	2एफ	0	12	01
	60	2ड	0	16	00
	60	2इ	0	01	16
	60	2अ	0	00	66
	60	2ब	0	06	66
	60	1	0	17	40
	63	6ब	0	01	36
	64	2	0	13	04
	63	6अ	0	03	68
	63	4ब	0	01	00
	64	1	0	08	10
नं. 30 पोन्कुरिचि	34	9अ	0	09	80
	34	9ब	0	07	25

1	2	3	4	5	6
	34	6ब1	0	05	14
	34	6अ	0	06	48
	34	4ब	0	02	70
	34	5	0	01	35
	34	4अ	0	07	24
	32	9	0	19	53
	30	2क	0	11	25
	30	5ब	0	02	07
	30	5अ	0	05	32
	30	2ब	0	08	72
	30	2अ	0	06	12
	29	3अ	0	09	90
	29	2	0	02	34
	29	3ब	0	12	60
	29	3क	0	03	60
	29	4अ	0	04	68
	28	14हेच	0	05	04
	28	14ऐ	0	01	80
	28	14एफ	0	01	21
	28	14क	0	00	84
	28	14ड	0	06	41
	28	12	0	00	40
	28	10	0	04	22
	28	9	0	04	29
	28	8	0	00	40
	28	2	0	05	71
	28	3	0	02	53
	27	2क	0	09	86
	27	2ब	0	10	80

तालूका : तिरुचेगोडु	जिला : नामक्कल		राज्य : तमिलनाडु		
1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 87 कल्लूपालेयम	29	1	0	00	80
	29	2अ	0	09	90
	29	3	0	10	44
	29	4	0	10	08
	30	4	0	13	68
	30	2	0	07	20
	52	1	0	09	72
	52	2	0	29	16
	48	4ब	0	12	60
	48	2	0	03	78
	50	13अ	0	17	10
	49	2ब	0	08	86
	49	1ब	0	02	76
	49	13अ	0	06	81
	63	1ब4	0	12	29
	63	1ब3	0	01	14
	63	13अ	0	06	72
	74	1ब	0	08	45
	75	2ब	0	06	20
	75	1क2	0	00	40
	75	1क3	0	08	53
	75	1ब	0	12	07
	75	1क1	0	02	19
	77	1	0	06	15
	78	2अ	0	21	57
	79	13अ	0	45	68
नं. 86 मुंजनूर	185	2	0	17	60
	185	1	0	15	13
	184	2	0	17	29
	183	3	0	17	99
	183	1	0	06	18
	210	—	0	15	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
	212	2	0	11	70
	212	3ड	0	15	06
	212	3एफ	0	00	40
	212	3इ	0	13	43
	212	3क	0	00	46
	233	2	0	22	61
	215	2अ	0	44	04
	216	2क	0	00	40
	217	1ब	0	30	10
	217	1अ	0	01	77
	218	1ब	0	00	85
	218	1अ	0	30	37
	219	4	0	11	64
	202	2ब3	0	09	60
	202	2ब4	0	05	73
	202	2अ	0	15	19
नं. 88 भिन्नपल्ली	61	2	0	25	21
	66	1अ	0	02	33
	64	5	0	12	91
	64	4	0	01	00
	70	1ब	0	00	78
	70	1अ	0	10	34
नं. 84 मोरंगाम	100	1	0	08	61
	99	—	0	32	32
	90	1ड	0	12	77
	90	1क	0	10	45
	90	1अ	0	05	52
	83	—	0	34	20
	85	2	0	37	09
	78	2	0	15	00
	78	1	0	00	49
	77	2	0	20	50
	76	—	0	06	32
	37	—	0	26	59
	38	—	0	06	37
	35	4	0	27	67
	36	6अ	0	21	00
	36	5	0	36	56

1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 92 करुंगलपट्टिट	68	1	0	37	92
	40	1ड	0	12	98
	40	1ब	0	02	01
	40	1अ	0	06	23
	39	2अ	0	16	27
	43	1ब	0	26	80
	43	3	0	02	37
	43	4	0	11	58
	46	2क	0	32	05
	46	2ड	0	01	15
	48	4ब	0	00	41
	48	3इ	0	01	18
	48	3ड	0	10	21
	48	4अ	0	21	12
	48	3क	0	03	33
	51	4	0	30	13
	51	5	0	10	02
	53	1ब	0	02	96
	25	2अ	0	04	93
	25	1अ	0	18	70
	22	17क	0	12	74
	22	9ड	0	00	53
	22	7क	0	00	40
	22	7ब	0	00	40
	22	7अ	0	00	53
	22	17ब	0	00	52
	22	17अ	0	07	04
	22	2	0	06	72
	29	1	0	02	46
	29	2	0	00	40
	76	6	0	03	55
	29	3	0	00	89
	77	—	0	43	10
नं. 93 परुटिपल्लि	218	2	0	12	20
	218	5	0	03	36
	218	4	0	05	19
	213	4	0	02	57
	213	3	0	04	79

1	2	3	4	5	6
	219	1	0	07	56
	213	1	0	09	19
	219	7	0	00	40
	211	—	0	30	90
	207	2ब	0	02	50
	207	1ब	0	01	71
	207	2अ	0	02	65
	207	1अ	0	02	50
	196	13	0	02	46
	196	12	0	04	50
	196	8	0	03	42
	196	7	0	01	17
	196	6	0	01	50
	196	5	0	01	21
	196	3	0	01	80
	196	2	0	02	09
	196	1	0	01	86
	194	3	0	02	47
	191	7ब	0	12	47
	191	7अ	0	13	63
	191	4	0	02	77
	191	3	0	01	59
	191	2	0	07	40
	190	2	0	01	13
	190	1	0	02	22
	188	5	0	02	59
	188	4	0	02	57
	188	3	0	02	59
	188	2	0	13	92
	187	4	0	06	24
	187	3	0	05	81
	187	2	0	04	35
	183	4	0	03	94
	183	3	0	05	52
	183	1	0	02	43
	182	9	0	01	97
	182	10	0	01	02
	182	11	0	01	41

1	2	3	4	5	6
	182	8	0	00	40
	182	12	0	01	54
	182	13	0	05	02
	182	4	0	00	99
	182	3	0	00	46
	182	15	0	01	93
	181	6	0	00	60
	180	3	0	10	91
	180	2	0	05	00
	179	2	0	08	96
	175	3	0	08	57
	175	4	0	00	40
	175	2	0	00	64
	175	1	0	16	34
	170	7	0	06	54
	170	6	0	07	18
	170	1	0	05	50
	170	3	0	00	40
	150	13अ	0	10	36
	171	19	0	00	91
	171	14क	0	00	40
	110	1ब	0	28	31
	110	1क	0	04	38
	110	13अ	0	17	28
	110	1ड	0	01	26
	110	2	0	01	82
	105	2	0	04	71
	105	1	0	04	74
	104	5अ	0	06	96
	104	2	0	11	53
	93	3क	0	00	54
	93	3ब	0	12	43
	93	3अ	0	00	61
	93	1ब	0	07	31
	93	13अ	0	09	79
	92	13अ	0	40	31
	92	2	0	04	10
	76	7	0	10	41

1	2	3	4	5	6
	76	6	0	13	09
	77	4	0	05	60
	77	3	0	06	31
	77	2	0	09	02
	77	5	0	05	00
	78	3	0	05	08
	86	3	0	00	40
	78	2	0	10	63
	78	1अ	0	15	11
	79	15एफ	0	00	40
	79	15ब	0	02	18
	79	15जि	0	03	96
	79	15ऐ	0	00	40
	79	15हेच	0	02	28
	79	7	0	02	73
	79	8	0	02	71
	79	9	0	02	37
	79	10	0	07	17
	79	11	0	00	40
	80	17जे	0	01	09
	54	5	0	05	47
	53	8	0	00	40
	54	8	0	03	69
	54	4	0	00	40
	54	3	0	07	18
	54	2	0	00	50
	54	1	0	00	95
	52	20	0	00	47
	54	11	0	42	77
	56	—	0	21	29
	58	2	0	19	03
	81	9	0	10	51
	81	5	0	13	97
	81	4	0	07	94
	81	1	0	06	24
	87	7	0	19	98
	87	8	0	00	40
	87	2	0	15	92

नं. 94 रामापुरम

1	2	3	4	5	6
	87	3	0	07	48
	72	18	0	00	76
	72	21	0	03	25
	72	20	0	02	96
	72	13ड	0	06	35
	72	12	0	06	18
	74	1	0	04	88
	73	18	0	07	61
	73	10	0	03	64
	73	9	0	06	34
	73	8	0	08	77
	65	4	0	10	05
	65	3	0	10	79
	58	2ब	0	33	76
	58	2अ	0	03	89
	58	1	0	16	91
	61	2	0	14	29
	60	8	0	28	56
	53	2	0	28	36
	52	1	0	28	83
	51	3	0	05	76
	51	2	0	03	24
	45	2इ	0	09	00
	45	2अ	0	11	21
	46	3	0	32	82
नं. 68 वट्टूर	308	3	0	19	24
	307	—	0	27	37
	306	—	0	23	52
	305	2	0	04	36
	305	1	0	01	24
	304	1क	0	02	87
	304	13अ1	0	14	94
	254	43अ1	0	02	74
	254	3	0	05	75
	254	2	0	12	60
	252	3	0	23	49
	252	2	0	05	49

1	2	3	4	5	6
	233	1	0	24	52
	221	1	0	23	27
	222	—	0	45	55
	224	2	0	39	40
	219	—	0	01	14
	217	2ऐ	0	23	94
	217	2ब	0	10	04
	217	1ब	0	14	79
	20	1अ2	0	01	13
	20	1अ1	0	28	09
	22	1अ	0	23	94
	18	3	0	24	27
	24	1ब	0	11	55
	24	1अ	0	26	83
	25	1	0	09	82
	161	—	0	09	24
	160	2	0	46	61
	44	1	0	34	83
	44	5अ	0	00	40
	33	8	0	08	87
	33	9अ	0	00	40
	33	7ब	0	18	46
	33	7क	0	00	58
	33	7अ	0	00	55
	33	7इ	0	07	33
	33	5	0	08	72
	33	4	0	05	00
	33	3अ	0	00	55
	33	1अ	0	01	63
	69	2क	0	08	58
	69	2ब	0	25	72
	68	3	0	07	44
	68	17	0	02	05
	68	18	0	10	18
	68	15	0	00	58
	68	14	0	06	20
	66	5ब	0	12	37
	66	5अ	0	15	61

नं. 69 पिल्लनत्थम

1	2	3	4	5	6
	65	2हेच	0	04	63
	65	2एफ	0	25	41
	65	2जि	0	03	68
	65	2ब	0	02	88
नं. 50 करुमापुरम	232	4	0	00	40
	232	5	0	07	56
	232	2ब	0	05	76
	232	1ब	0	05	31
	231	1अ	0	00	40
	230	1	0	02	80
	229	2	0	00	40
	229	3अ1	0	32	94
	228	1ब2	0	06	12
	228	1ब1	0	03	69
	227	3ब	0	00	40
	213	2अ	0	20	42
	213	3	0	15	03
	213	4	0	09	10
	55	1ब	0	09	72
	53	5	0	23	85
	52	6ब	0	00	77
	52	6अ	0	11	47
	52	2अ	0	03	84
	52	1	0	09	13
	45	—	0	47	63
	44	3	0	03	72
	41	1क	0	01	19
	41	1ब	0	14	74
	40	1ब	0	14	92
	37	1क	0	22	13
	37	1ब	0	14	09
	37	1अ	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
नं. 51 तिरुमंगलम	15	1	0	30	48
	17	7	0	22	90
	17	3	0	08	41
	19	3	0	21	93
	19	2	0	07	84
	19	1	0	16	04
	20	2	0	10	71
	20	3अ	0	00	40
	30	3	0	16	76
	30	4अ	0	00	40
	29	1	0	20	80
	30	2अ	0	00	86
	30	1	0	02	63
	34	1अ	0	13	56
	34	1ब	0	00	40
	42	5	0	06	30
	42	4	0	11	74
	42	3	0	01	27
	42	1	0	00	49
	43	2ब3	0	00	40
	43	2ब2इ	0	01	15
	43	2ब1	0	05	17
	43	2अ	0	06	09
	43	1ब	0	09	07
	45	2ब	0	12	22
	47	2क	0	12	95
	47	2ब	0	00	40
	47	1	0	37	46
नं. 52 करुप्पगवुंदमपाल्यम	14	13	0	01	07
	14	1ब	0	15	61
	16	2ब1	0	03	28
	16	1	0	14	91
	17	2	0	10	70
	17	1	0	04	96
	18	—	0	16	30
	19	6	0	23	28
	19	5	0	00	50

1	2	3	4	5	6
	19	43A	0	07	92
	19	3	0	00	40
	19	2	0	05	10
	21	4	0	05	40
नं. 49 राजपाल्यम	185	3	0	18	45
	186	1	0	13	66
	178	3ब	0	12	37
	185	1	0	00	40
	183	2एफ	0	00	40
	178	33A1	0	00	40
	183	2ब	0	00	42
	183	3	0	19	78
	180	1	0	03	06

[फा. सं. आर-25011/15/2004-ओ.आर-1]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3220.—Whereas, by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 1737 dated the 23rd July 2004 issued under sub section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (herein after referred to as the said Act) the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of petroleum products from Chennai to Madurai via Tiruchirapalli and Sankari in the State of Tamilnadu, by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And, whereas, copies of the said notification were made available to the public from 23.08.2004;

And whereas, the Competent Authority in pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act has submitted his report to the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government, after considering the said report is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest from the date of publication of this declaration, in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Taluk : Rasipuram		District : Namakkal		State : Tamil Nadu	
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.61 THIMMANAYAKKANPATTI	226	1A	0	13	09
	226	1B	0	13	64
	227	1	0	01	00
	227	2	0	20	67
	224	3B	0	14	87
	224	2	0	24	26
	203	2C1	0	09	76
	203	2E	0	07	65
	203	2C2	0	00	58
	202	2	0	20	33
	201	4	0	11	55
	201	5	0	12	82
	201	2	0	02	43
	180	1	0	02	03
	180	2	0	27	83
	180	3A	0	00	40
	169	4	0	19	77
	169	2	0	13	80
	169	3	0	03	13
	158	15	0	06	82
	158	11	0	02	40
	158	13	0	07	22
	158	14	0	02	97
	158	12	0	04	79
	160	3	0	20	99
	160	2D	0	09	71
	160	2C	0	08	02
	161	2C	0	02	34
	161	2B	0	20	16
	161	2A2	0	10	80
	148	3	0	08	62
	148	1A	0	07	93
	149	2	0	11	52

1	2	3	4	5	6
	149	4	0	09	05
	149	1B	0	22	22
	149	1A	0	01	79
	144	2	0	17	64
	144	1	0	13	06
	138	5	0	11	15
	138	3	0	03	87
	139	3	0	04	15
	139	2	0	07	75
	139	1C	0	05	96
No.60 ESWARAMURTHIPALAYAM	161	2F	0	00	95
	161	2G	0	00	45
	161	2E	0	18	01
	161	2B	0	00	49
	161	2C	0	19	54
	161	2D	0	01	14
	161	1	0	10	22
	150	2B3	0	28	58
	150	2B4	0	02	03
	150	3D	0	05	60
	150	3C	0	01	58
	150	3B	0	00	67
	150	3A	0	00	60
	143	5	0	00	40
	146	2B	0	34	33
	146	2E	0	00	92
	146	2D	0	00	54
	146	2C	0	01	18
	146	2F	0	07	31
	146	2G	0	00	40
	146	2A	0	04	39
	145	7	0	14	35
	146	1	0	01	03
	182	3	0	20	22
	182	1	0	09	86
	183	5	0	10	25
	183	6	0	07	05
	183	7A	0	10	92

1	2	3	4	5	6
	186	5	0	08	52
	186	2	0	08	34
	186	1B	0	10	48
	187	2	0	03	49
	187	1D	0	10	74
	188	4B	0	05	09
	188	4E	0	03	91
	188	4D	0	11	28
	188	4C	0	06	77
	188	5C	0	06	84
	196	9	0	01	70
	112	2H	0	08	88
	196	9	0	00	40
	196	1A	0	14	78
	196	3	0	06	65
	196	2B	0	15	60
	197	3B	0	03	70
	197	3A	0	01	10
	196	2A	0	00	40
	197	2A	0	03	63
	197	2B	0	00	47
	197	2C	0	00	40
	197	1G	0	02	01
	197	1A	0	01	19
	197	1F	0	01	22
	197	1E	0	08	47
	197	1D	0	17	12
	198	1	0	00	40
	199	5	0	16	51
	205	1A	0	18	01
	205	1B	0	00	40
	206	3	0	13	45
	206	4A	0	07	17
	209	1E	0	00	40
	209	1F	0	08	24
	209	4B	0	06	15
	209	4C	0	02	15
	209	3B	0	03	25

1	2	3	4	5	6
	209	3C	0	04	84
	210	7	0	00	40
	210	6A	0	08	07
	209	2	0	02	28
	60	3B	0	06	84
	58	4	0	14	88
	58	3	0	00	40
	58	5	0	13	43
	58	2D	0	03	23
	58	1A	0	00	58
	58	6C	0	03	43
	58	2A	0	00	40
	58	6B	0	03	61
	58	6A	0	02	86
	58	7	0	05	30
	58	1C	0	00	40
	57	3E	0	01	75
	57	3F	0	00	74
	57	3D	0	08	83
	57	3C	0	01	88
	57	4A	0	00	86
	56	4B	0	12	51
	56	4C	0	06	39
	56	4D	0	01	89
	55	3	0	02	52
	55	2B	0	06	48
	55	2A	0	00	40
	55	2C	0	00	91
	56	3	0	10	40
	56	2	0	13	56
	233	1	0	04	19
	35	1F	0	12	25
	35	1G	0	10	97
	35	2B	0	18	20
	237	3	0	00	40
	237	2	0	03	86
	237	1D	0	02	24
	237	1E	0	10	81
	237	5B	0	04	82
	237	5A	0	00	98
	239	9B	0	01	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	239	9A	0	04	53
	238	1B	0	10	34
	238	1A	0	10	77
	240	5	0	05	20
	240	4	0	05	87
	240	3A	0	05	53
	240	2	0	07	64
	253	1F	0	07	21
	253	1E	0	07	14
	255	4D	0	00	92
	255	4C	0	08	37
	255	4A	0	10	29
	256	3	0	08	79
	256	4	0	01	21
	256	2F	0	11	50
	256	2D	0	08	45
	258	4A	0	00	40
	258	3A	0	03	00
	258	2B	0	00	40
	258	4B	0	00	40
	258	3B	0	01	96
	258	2C	0	01	78
	258	2A	0	02	29
	258	1	0	03	60
NO. 59 MANGALAPURAM	71	2	0	11	87
	71	1	0	08	90
	71	3	0	00	40
	72	11	0	05	90
	72	8	0	00	40
	72	10	0	07	30
	72	9	0	05	48
	71	4	0	15	56
	71	5	0	04	33
	73	4B	0	10	34
	73	4A	0	00	40
	78	2A	0	00	71
	78	1	0	11	27

1	2	3	4	5	6
	77	2	0	01	47
	77	1	0	00	40
	77	3	0	05	79
	77	4	0	06	07
	77	6A	0	10	85
	77	7	0	01	62
	77	10	0	13	88
	84	7	0	01	96
	84	6	0	06	33
	84	8	0	08	90
	84	9	0	10	20
	85	2	0	09	47
	85	1	0	07	96
	85	3	0	00	40
	88	3A	0	10	94
	88	2B	0	20	28
	87	4B2	0	00	40
	88	1	0	06	45
	237	2B	0	08	67
	237	4	0	00	40
	237	3C	0	00	45
	237	3B	0	09	51
	237	3A	0	01	70
	238	2	0	12	19
	238	1A	0	00	40
	238	1B	0	11	23
	235	3C	0	00	40
	235	9	0	06	28
	235	4A	0	02	51
	235	4B	0	08	63
	235	7C	0	00	50
	235	7A	0	06	05
	235	6	0	16	16
	235	5	0	03	77
	226	1	0	10	01
	230	4A	0	05	58
	230	4B	0	07	75
	230	4C	0	04	04

1	2	3	4	5	6
	230	4D	0	02	33
	229	1A	0	00	40
	230	3	0	05	15
	229	3	0	01	29
	230	2D	0	00	85
	229	2	0	03	13
	229	1B	0	03	71
	230	8	0	11	89
	230	5B1	0	06	71
	230	5A	0	01	07
	163	1A	0	25	11
	163	1B	0	00	64
	164	1B	0	05	68
	165	10B	0	07	94
	161	4D	0	00	42
	161	4C	0	00	40
	165	7C	0	02	73
	165	10C	0	03	77
	165	7B	0	08	49
	165	10A	0	01	70
	165	10E	0	02	75
	165	7A	0	08	20
	165	10F	0	01	23
	165	3A	0	01	99
	165	3F	0	02	70
	165	3E	0	04	28
	166	1	0	21	97
	165	5B	0	00	85
	166	4A	0	10	12
	157	7	0	01	09
	157	5A	0	13	89
	157	5D	0	08	20
	156	2	0	09	63
	157	5C	0	00	63
	156	10B	0	03	12
	156	3	0	01	49
	156	4	0	03	40
	156	5	0	03	14
	156	6	0	00	94

1	2	3	4	5	6
	155	13C	0	10	00
	155	13B	0	02	47
	155	11	0	10	69
	155	9	0	01	51
	153	1A	0	11	02
	153	1B	0	09	23
	153	7C	0	01	61
	176	3	0	00	60
	176	4	0	06	69
	176	5	0	07	97
	176	2	0	00	40
	176	6D	0	10	21
	176	6C	0	12	65
	177	6D	0	06	51
	178	4C	0	02	83
	178	4B	0	08	34
	178	4G	0	03	62
	178	1	0	04	43
	178	2	0	05	88
NO. 58 NAVALPATTI	149	3	0	01	95
	149	2E	0	06	35
	153	1C	0	06	13
	149	2C	0	13	11
	153	1B	0	15	95
NO. 57 AYILPATTI	153	3	0	02	14
	81	1A	0	06	26
	81	2A1	0	18	77
	81	2B	0	00	86
	80	2B2	0	27	70
	74	2	0	06	65
	74	3	0	35	22
	73	4	0	04	80
	73	5A	0	15	26
	71	1B	0	00	40
	71	1C	0	22	70
	72	1	0	01	00
	71	2	0	08	55

1	2	3	4	5	6
	108	1B	0	00	40
	108	1A	0	18	36
	108	2	0	08	52
	112	3	0	03	36
	112	7B	0	12	96
	112	7A	0	06	48
	112	6	0	11	16
	115	4	0	10	26
	119	1B2	0	17	10
	119	2B	0	10	61
	119	3A	0	09	53
	119	3C	0	00	40
	119	3B	0	08	37
	119	5B	0	13	05
	123	3C	0	08	61
	123	3E	0	06	39
	123	3D	0	01	40
	125	2A	0	01	08
	125	2B	0	20	51
	135	1	0	16	91
	126	2	0	00	40
	135	3	0	13	01
	135	4	0	00	40
	135	5	0	01	31
	135	8	0	17	18
	135	7	0	00	40
	132	1	0	22	23
	132	2	0	08	82
	133	1C	0	00	40
	133	2	0	22	80
	177	1A1	0	28	07
	177	1A2	0	10	17
	177	1A3	0	16	99
	177	1B	0	01	24

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO. 56 KARKUDALPATTI	179	1B	0	15	28
	179	1D	0	14	98
	179	2	0	29	88
	203	6	0	00	40
	20	2	0	16	93
	20	1	0	22	07
	20	3	0	02	53
	20	4	0	08	85
	20	5	0	01	36
	21	2A	0	24	36
	21	1B	0	13	90
	21	3	0	05	06
	21	2B	0	00	40
	29	2	0	24	15
	29	3A	0	22	24
	32	2I	0	08	34
	32	2J	0	09	33
	32	2H2	0	09	41
	32	2N	0	07	75
	41	3A1	0	00	84
	40	2A	0	01	90
	40	2B	0	20	68
	40	3B	0	09	41
	33	5	0	03	14
	33	2	0	04	13
	40	5A	0	15	43
	40	5B	0	00	40
	38	7	0	04	45
	134	2A	0	01	55
	134	2B1	0	13	37
	134	3	0	00	40
	134	2B3	0	31	87
	136	3B3	0	17	90
	146	4	0	00	93
	138	3	0	22	79
	138	4	0	10	45
	956	3B	0	21	32

1	2	3	4	5	6
	957	4	0	09	00
	950	3B	0	13	83
	950	2A	0	10	62
	948	4C	0	12	77
	948	4A	0	08	79
	948	1	0	14	04
	947	8C	0	00	40
	947	6	0	10	18
	947	4	0	08	24
	946	4	0	19	51
	946	3	0	05	40
NO45. MULAIPALLIPATTI	139	17	0	36	12
	139	12	0	17	28
	139	15	0	02	63
	139	11	0	00	40
	139	10	0	18	96
	158	4	0	01	82
	158	3	0	11	70
	158	1A	0	13	13
	157	7	0	03	74
	157	8B	0	04	54
	157	4	0	13	81
	157	8A	0	04	36
	157	3	0	12	78
	157	1	0	01	98
	143	2A	0	13	10
	143	1A	0	11	80
	143	1B	0	16	54
	142	3A	0	11	42
	142	3B	0	10	78
	142	2	0	15	14
	144	2	0	04	40
	142	4	0	15	13
	142	1	0	00	40
	140	11	0	02	61
	240	2A	0	15	56
	239	3A	0	11	57
	223	3	0	10	65

1	2	3	4	5	6
	223	8	0	00	40
	223	4B	0	01	66
	223	6	0	09	34
	226	7A	0	09	41
	226	5A	0	07	58
	226	5B	0	01	29
	226	3	0	12	62
	226	1	0	24	71
	228	3	0	20	59
	228	2	0	01	93
	228	6	0	00	58
	228	5	0	14	16
	82	2A	0	22	87
	82	3	0	04	39
	80	4	0	10	62
	80	6	0	26	51
	79	3	0	00	55
	99	1B	0	01	21
	98	1A2	0	11	43
	81	1	0	08	14
	98	2A	0	01	14
	98	1B	0	30	23
	118	1D	0	45	03
	118	3A2	0	02	04
	118	3A1	0	00	10
	118	3B	0	08	18
	114	1B1	0	07	49
	114	1B2	0	05	58
	124	2	0	18	20
	123	-	0	22	97
NO. 47 NAMAGIRIPETTAI	242	1B	0	07	07
	242	3B	0	20	02
	249	2	0	15	85
	247	-	0	22	63
	246	2A6	0	00	40
	246	2A5	0	15	40
	246	1B	0	03	73
	246	2A4	0	03	92

1	2	3	4	5	6
	246	1A	0	07	68
	245	1B	0	03	72
	245	1A2	0	03	72
	245	2B	0	00	40
	245	2A	0	07	13
	245	3A	0	05	54
	257	6A	0	00	40
	257	5A	0	09	25
	257	3	0	00	95
	257	4B	0	06	44
	257	5B	0	05	41
	257	5C	0	01	32
	258	3	0	06	91
	258	1D	0	03	17
	258	1E	0	11	03
	258	2B	0	09	18
	259	4A	0	00	40
	259	3A	0	01	28
	259	4B2	0	00	40
	259	3D	0	12	90
	259	3F	0	02	21
	259	4B1	0	10	79
	261	1A	0	10	02
	261	2A	0	17	17
	261	2B	0	06	81
	262	2A	0	00	54
	262	2B	0	10	76
	263	2A	0	21	82
	263	2B	0	12	56
	264	3E	0	01	71
	264	3C	0	20	00
	264	2A7	0	01	07
	272	1B	0	18	17
	272	2A	0	00	40
	272	1A	0	04	13
	265	-	0	32	12
	266	2A1	0	01	77
	193	2B	0	01	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	193	2A	0	04	37
	193	3A	0	18	93
	193	3C	0	13	89
	189	5	0	16	01
	191	4	0	00	40
	191	3	0	08	96
	191	2	0	18	76
	191	1A	0	00	43
	191	1B	0	00	81
NO. 48 ARIYAGOUNDANPATTI	244	2	0	02	28
	244	1	0	18	39
	235	1A	0	16	47
	235	2A	0	09	10
	234	2A	0	17	03
	234	2B	0	00	97
	236	-	0	34	37
	227	2	0	17	62
	226	2C2	0	21	16
	226	2C1	0	04	34
	222	2B1	0	01	03
	222	2B2	0	16	78
	222	2A2	0	11	64
	295	1A	0	03	00
	296	4B	0	02	29
	298	-	0	12	43
	300	2	0	19	66
	299	2	0	00	40
	299	1E	0	07	12
	299	1C	0	04	64
	299	1A	0	04	47
	301	2B	0	17	42
	302	6A	0	23	17
	302	6B	0	04	32
	302	2B	0	07	54
	323	2B	0	04	96
	323	2A	0	32	08
	323	1B	0	05	87

1	2	3	4	5	6
	321	2B	0	02	46
	321	2A	0	05	94
	321	3	0	00	80
	320	1A	0	02	11
	320	1B	0	18	99
	318	6B2	0	08	26
	319	-	0	18	99
	318	5	0	09	88
	318	4	0	00	45
	122	2	0	32	50
	122	1	0	09	28
	120	1	0	05	47
	118	2B	0	44	26
	117	3	0	16	45
	117	2	0	18	15
	116	1A	0	15	95
	116	2B	0	10	50
	113	4A	0	11	71
	113	4B	0	14	80
	113	2	0	13	35
	113	3	0	04	55
	85	2	0	00	60
	85	1	0	25	46
	86	2	0	09	25
	86	3	0	01	77
	86	1	0	09	07
	87	2A	0	04	28
	87	2B	0	23	28
	75	2B	0	16	65
	75	1	0	00	99
	73	1	0	19	84
	74	2	0	16	00
	74	1	0	09	36
	77	1	0	22	16
	77	2	0	05	51
	66	5	0	04	20
	66	4	0	11	28
	66	3	0	09	25

1	2	3	4	5	6
	66	2	0	10	76
	66	1A	0	07	07
	64	6	0	05	30
	64	4B	0	00	40
	64	3B	0	05	23
	64	3A	0	03	00
	64	2A	0	06	60
	64	2B	0	10	58
	56	7	0	34	70
	56	6	0	00	77
	56	1	0	14	13
	55	2	0	02	55
	52	3C	0	22	69
	52	3A	0	05	61
	52	1A	0	09	44
	53	3B	0	02	91
NO.91.O. PACHUDAYAMPALAYAM	9	4	0	01	41
	9	3	0	24	93
	9	2	0	11	67
	8	-	0	23	32
NO.37 ODUVANKURICHI	185	4D	0	09	16
	185	4C	0	06	43
	185	5	0	02	37
	185	4A2	0	02	64
	185	2B	0	06	84
	185	1D	0	05	42
	185	1A	0	06	82
	188	1	0	19	49
	190	-	0	29	03
	191	1	0	17	38
	80	2A	0	09	89
	80	2B1	0	16	04
	80	1	0	08	59
NO.49 O.JEDARPALAYAM	13	1	0	17	12
	2	1	0	20	62
	2	2A1	0	24	48
	2	2B	0	00	40
	4	3	0	18	72

1	2	3	4	5	6
	4	2B	0	03	85
	4	4	0	02	72
	4	2A	0	01	41
NO.89 PERUMAGOUNDEMPALAYAM	14	2B	0	22	03
	14	2A	0	19	49
	18	4	0	00	51
	18	3	0	06	22
	18	2	0	06	41
	18	1A	0	21	99
	17	1A	0	03	25
	17	6A	0	03	51
	17	1B	0	00	44
	176	6B1	0	08	74
	17	2	0	04	48
	16	5	0	00	40
	17	3A	0	07	65
	17	3B	0	00	63
NO.35 THOPPAPATTI	87	1A	0	00	40
	87	1B	0	23	74
	8	1	0	01	47
	8	2	0	27	60
	8	3	0	01	31
	7	7B	0	03	62
	7	6	0	03	40
	7	5	0	04	76
	7	4	0	07	44
	7	3	0	03	75
	7	2	0	03	45
	7	1D	0	06	38
	6	4	0	02	62
	6	5A	0	19	80
	2	11A	0	04	51
	2	9A	0	04	39
	2	9B	0	00	75
	2	8A	0	00	66
	2	8B	0	00	50
	2	7B	0	00	53
	2	7A	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	4	6B	0	06	34
	4	6A	0	02	75
	4	5	0	14	11
	4	4B	0	03	64
	4	4A	0	10	65
	4	1	0	09	28
	4	2	0	13	03
	4	3	0	00	72
	146	-	0	21	20
NO.34 SINGALANDAPURAM	148	2B	0	01	03
	148	8	0	06	55
	148	9	0	04	19
	148	3B	0	05	41
	148	3A	0	07	23
	148	4	0	03	90
	149	20	0	02	59
	149	19B	0	01	68
	149	12D	0	00	40
	149	14	0	03	64
	149	13	0	02	22
	149	12A	0	01	65
	149	10	0	14	37
	149	9	0	01	25
	149	7B	0	11	50
	149	8	0	00	57
	157	-	0	00	64
	149	7A	0	07	81
	149	6	0	03	04
	150	19	0	15	82
	150	17	0	00	47
	150	15N	0	00	50
	150	18	0	09	29
	151	6F	0	14	46
	151	5B	0	00	40
	151	5A	0	00	40
	151	6D	0	03	63
	151	6E	0	03	42
	151	6C	0	09	53

1	2	3	4	5	6
	151	7	0	00	42
	151	6B	0	08	40
	151	6A	0	00	40
	202	3B1D	0	37	34
	202	3A2	0	13	88
	202	1	0	06	35
	205	5B	0	00	40
	205	5A	0	03	90
	205	3	0	10	82
	205	4B	0	04	25
	206	1C	0	02	96
	206	4	0	06	79
	211	1	0	06	88
	207	3	0	06	08
	207	2	0	24	86
	208	2A	0	14	07
	34	2B	0	22	72
	34	2A	0	11	75
	35	4A	0	00	89
	35	3	0	10	38
	35	2	0	00	86
	32	2	0	28	80
	24	2A1	0	59	76
	24	2B	0	13	27
	9	5	0	05	00
	9	4	0	08	91
	9	3	0	10	78
	9	2	0	03	16
	9	1A	0	07	95
	9	1B	0	00	40
	8	6	0	26	10
	7	3	0	25	09
	6	1	0	04	84
	6	3	0	20	58
	6	2	0	00	40
	12	1	0	19	33
	12	4	0	00	58
	218	2H	0	00	63
	218	2G	0	03	24
	218	2F	0	09	00
	218	2E	0	07	38
	218	2C	0	13	50
	218	2A	0	10	08

NO.21 KAKAVERI

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.23 RASIPURAM	502	2E	0	04	82
	502	2F	0	02	55
	502	2D	0	04	86
	502	2A	0	17	35
	502	2B	0	00	40
	503	1	0	32	37
	508	6	0	12	12
	508	9	0	00	40
	508	5	0	08	58
	509	2	0	15	84
	513	2A	0	00	70
	514	2A	0	20	35
	533	9	0	04	15
	533	7	0	06	33
	530	20	0	07	42
	530	16	0	14	95
	479	-	0	11	35
	476	3	0	02	22
	476	4	0	20	15
	476	6C	0	02	93
	476	5	0	00	40
	476	6B	0	13	23
	474	-	0	22	27
	453	14	0	03	44
	453	13	0	08	09
	453	11A	0	08	13
	453	11B	0	05	86
	453	10	0	00	40
	453	3	0	00	59
	453	5	0	00	76
	453	4	0	04	11
	453	25	0	03	08
	453	1A	0	00	40
	452	9	0	00	59
	452	6	0	01	13
	452	11	0	03	66
	449	4	0	03	57
	449	5	0	05	81

1	2	3	4	5	6
	445	7A	0	07	80
	445	7B	0	07	07
	447	1B	0	06	20
	447	7	0	12	06
	447	15	0	00	60
NO.25/1 CHANDRASEKARAPURAM	510	2	0	30	39
	514	4	0	10	01
	514	3	0	09	45
	37	12	0	01	77
	37	11	0	00	40
	37	13	0	11	59
	484	2	0	18	12
	541	2	0	14	28
	542	3	0	14	90
	542	4	0	17	93
	543	1	0	11	50
	455	22	0	06	76
	455	21	0	00	80
	452	18A	0	05	44
	452	15	0	04	18
	452	14	0	04	99
	446	5A	0	20	27
	446	5C	0	02	22
	538	7A	0	00	85
	538	2	0	05	84
	538	12	0	02	69
	538	10	0	04	85
	538	13	0	06	24
	539	5	0	11	16
	539	1	0	02	40
	539	4	0	05	13
NO.32 MURUNGAPATTI	2	1A	0	00	72
	2	3B1	0	01	62
	2	1B1	0	11	34
	1	1A	0	00	90
	1	1B	0	08	46
NO. 26 ANAIPALAYAM	112	2B	0	06	76
	112	2A	0	09	14
	112	1B	0	10	24
	112	1C	0	04	53

1	2	3	4	5	6
	113	3	0	05	78
	113	2B	0	07	46
	113	2A	0	00	40
	102	7B	0	01	80
	102	9A	0	09	08
	102	10A	0	11	00
	102	8A	0	00	91
	102	5	0	04	87
	102	4	0	05	60
	102	1	0	02	87
	103	2	0	34	67
	103	1	0	00	42
	46	7	0	15	09
	98	1A	0	05	30
	46	9	0	00	40
	98	2	0	00	40
	47	3	0	10	76
	47	2	0	08	88
	47	4	0	11	25
	47	1	0	02	11
	94	2	0	03	72
	94	1	0	11	87
	49	6	0	14	54
	49	1	0	05	22
	49	2	0	21	58
	50	3	0	00	50
	50	2	0	13	55
	50	1A	0	06	34
	51	3A	0	13	78
	51	3B	0	01	73
	51	2	0	21	20
	52	1	0	01	54
	51	1	0	00	74
	53	1A	0	15	28
	53	1B	0	20	65
	54	2	0	00	95
NO.27 KURUKKAPURAM	51	1B	0	21	08
	51	1A	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	66	4	0	04	75
	67	3C	0	00	40
	67	3B	0	13	56
	67	3A	0	09	08
	73	4C	0	03	44
	73	4D	0	14	05
	74	4	0	19	58
	74	3	0	02	31
	76	-	0	19	59
	61	5	0	01	17
	77	-	0	21	47
	78	5	0	00	40
	78	4	0	01	18
	78	1	0	08	25
	80	2A2	0	24	09
	81	7	0	19	72
	81	6	0	06	98
	81	5	0	11	58
	86	2	0	17	63
	86	4	0	13	56
	85	1B	0	01	72
	85	1A2	0	31	82
NO.83 ELLAPPALAYAM	36	5	0	13	09
	36	3	0	00	82
	36	2	0	14	38
	35	1D	0	03	70
	35	1C	0	00	40
	34	2K	0	02	59
	34	2H	0	12	82
	34	2G	0	06	81
	34	2F	0	06	56
	34	2A	0	02	66
	34	1	0	09	25
	26	3	0	02	46
	26	1B	0	09	71
	26	1A2	0	00	40
	17	4A	0	00	40
	17	3B	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
	17	3A	0	09	15
	11	2C	0	00	46
	11	2A	0	22	12
	12	2C	0	04	91
	13	8	0	03	65
	13	7	0	15	30
	13	3	0	05	47
	13	1	0	02	57
	13	2	0	07	63
	14	1B	0	02	19
	14	1A	0	00	40
NO.29 PILLANALLUR	103	6	0	11	23
	103	5	0	27	47
	102	6F	0	19	40
	104	1	0	06	38
	101	2C	0	01	48
	101	2A	0	11	39
	98	3	0	07	33
	98	5	0	00	40
	98	4	0	01	10
	52	5B	0	16	00
	52	5A	0	01	40
	53	9A	0	11	00
	53	10	0	01	56
	60	2F	0	12	01
	60	2D	0	16	69
	60	2E	0	01	16
	60	2A	0	00	66
	60	2B	0	06	68
	60	1	0	17	40
	63	6B	0	01	36
	64	2	0	13	04
	63	6A	0	03	68
	63	4B	0	01	00
	64	1	0	08	10
NO.30 PONKURICHI	34	9A	0	09	80
	34	9B	0	07	25

1	2	3	4	5	6
	34	6B1	0	05	14
	34	6A	0	06	48
	34	4B	0	02	70
	34	5	0	01	35
	34	4A	0	07	24
	32	9	0	19	53
	30	2C	0	11	25
	30	5B	0	02	07
	30	5A	0	05	32
	30	2B	0	08	72
	30	2A	0	06	12
	29	3A	0	09	90
	29	2	0	02	34
	29	3B	0	12	60
	29	3C	0	03	60
	29	4A	0	04	68
	28	14H	0	05	04
	28	14I	0	01	80
	28	14F	0	01	21
	28	14C	0	00	84
	28	14D	0	06	41
	28	12	0	00	40
	28	10	0	04	22
	28	9	0	04	29
	28	8	0	00	40
	28	2	0	05	71
	28	3	0	02	53
	27	2C	0	09	86
	27	2B	0	10	80

Taluk : Tiruchengode		District : Namakkal		State : Tamil Nadu	
1	2	3	4	5	6
NO. 87 KALLUPALAYAM	29	1	0	00	80
	29	2A	0	09	90
	29	3	0	10	44
	29	4	0	10	08
	30	4	0	13	68
	30	2	0	07	20
	52	1	0	09	72
	52	2	0	29	16
	48	4B	0	12	60
	48	2	0	03	78
	50	1A	0	17	10
	49	2B	0	08	86
	49	1B	0	02	76
	49	1A	0	06	81
	63	1B4	0	12	29
	63	1B3	0	01	14
	63	1A	0	06	72
	74	1B	0	08	45
	75	2B	0	06	20
	75	1C2	0	00	40
	75	1C3	0	08	53
	75	1B	0	12	07
	75	1C1	0	02	19
	77	1	0	06	15
	78	2A	0	21	57
	79	1A	0	45	68
NO. 86 MUNJANUR	185	2	0	17	60
	185	1	0	15	13
	184	2	0	17	29
	183	3	0	17	99
	183	1	0	06	18
	210	-	0	15	67

1	2	3	4	5	6
	212	2	0	11	70
	212	3D	0	15	06
	212	3F	0	00	40
	212	3E	0	13	43
	212	3C	0	00	46
	233	2	0	22	61
	215	2A	0	44	04
	216	2C	0	00	40
	217	1B	0	30	10
	217	1A	0	01	77
	218	1B	0	00	85
	218	1A	0	30	37
	219	4	0	11	64
	202	2B3	0	09	60
	202	2B4	0	05	73
	202	2A	0	15	19
NO.88 MINNAMPALLI	61	2	0	25	21
	66	1A	0	02	33
	64	5	0	12	91
	64	4	0	01	00
	70	1B	0	00	78
	70	1A	0	10	34
NO.84 MORANGAM	100	1	0	08	61
	99	-	0	32	32
	90	1D	0	12	77
	90	1C	0	10	45
	90	1A	0	05	52
	83	-	0	34	20
	85	2	0	37	09
	78	2	0	15	00
	78	1	0	00	49
	77	2	0	20	50
	76	-	0	06	32
	37	-	0	26	59
	38	-	0	06	37
	35	4	0	27	67
	36	6A	0	21	00
	36	5	0	36	56

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.92 KARUNGALPATTI	68	1	0	37	92
	40	1D	0	12	98
	40	1B	0	02	01
	40	1A	0	06	23
	39	2A	0	16	27
	43	1B	0	26	80
	43	3	0	02	37
	43	4	0	11	58
	46	2C	0	32	05
	46	2D	0	01	15
	48	4B	0	00	41
	48	3E	0	01	18
	48	3D	0	10	21
	48	4A	0	21	12
	48	3C	0	03	33
	51	4	0	30	13
	51	5	0	10	02
	53	1B	0	02	96
	25	2A	0	04	93
	25	1A	0	18	70
	22	17C	0	12	74
	22	9D	0	00	53
	22	7C	0	00	40
	22	7B	0	00	40
	22	7A	0	00	80
	22	17B	0	00	80
	22	17A	0	07	04
	22	2	0	06	72
	29	1	0	02	46
	29	2	0	00	40
	76	6	0	08	55
	29	3	0	00	89
	77	-	0	43	10
NO.93 PARUTHIPALLI	218	2	0	12	20
	218	5	0	03	36
	218	4	0	05	19
	213	4	0	02	57
	213	3	0	04	79

1	2	3	4	5	6
	219	1	0	07	56
	213	1	0	09	19
	219	7	0	00	40
	211	-	0	30	90
	207	2B	0	02	50
	207	1B	0	01	71
	207	2A	0	02	65
	207	1A	0	02	50
	196	13	0	02	46
	196	12	0	04	50
	196	8	0	03	42
	196	7	0	01	17
	196	6	0	01	50
	196	5	0	01	21
	196	3	0	01	80
	196	2	0	02	09
	196	1	0	01	86
	194	3	0	02	47
	191	7B	0	12	47
	191	7A	0	13	63
	191	4	0	02	77
	191	3	0	01	59
	191	2	0	07	40
	190	2	0	01	13
	190	1	0	02	22
	188	5	0	02	59
	188	4	0	02	57
	188	3	0	02	59
	188	2	0	13	92
	187	4	0	06	24
	187	3	0	05	81
	187	2	0	04	35
	183	4	0	03	94
	183	3	0	05	52
	183	1	0	02	43
	182	9	0	01	97
	182	10	0	01	02
	182	11	0	01	41

1	2	3	4	5	6
	182	8	0	00	40
	182	12	0	01	54
	182	13	0	05	02
	182	4	0	00	99
	182	3	0	00	46
	182	15	0	01	93
	181	6	0	00	60
	180	3	0	10	91
	180	2	0	05	00
	179	2	0	08	96
	175	3	0	08	57
	175	4	0	00	40
	175	2	0	00	64
	175	1	0	16	34
	170	7	0	06	54
	170	6	0	07	18
	170	1	0	05	50
	170	3	0	00	40
	150	1A	0	10	36
	171	19	0	00	91
	171	14C	0	00	40
	110	1B	0	28	31
	110	1C	0	04	38
	110	1A	0	17	28
	110	1D	0	01	26
	110	2	0	01	82
	105	2	0	04	71
	105	1	0	04	74
	104	5A	0	06	96
	104	2	0	11	53
	93	3C	0	00	54
	93	3B	0	12	43
	93	3A	0	00	61
	93	1B	0	07	31
	93	1A	0	09	79
	92	1A	0	40	31
	92	2	0	04	10
	76	7	0	10	41

1	2	3	4	5	6
	76	6	0	13	09
	77	4	0	05	60
	77	3	0	06	31
	77	2	0	09	02
	77	5	0	05	00
	78	3	0	05	08
	86	3	0	00	40
	78	2	0	10	63
	78	1A	0	15	11
	79	15F	0	00	40
	79	15B	0	02	18
	79	15G	0	03	96
	79	15I	0	00	40
	79	15H	0	02	28
	79	7	0	02	73
	79	8	0	02	71
	79	9	0	02	37
	79	10	0	07	17
	79	11	0	00	40
	80	17J	0	01	09
	54	5	0	05	47
	53	8	0	00	40
	54	8	0	03	69
	54	4	0	00	40
	54	3	0	07	18
	54	2	0	00	50
	54	1	0	00	95
	52	20	0	00	47
	54	11	0	42	77
	56	-	0	21	29
	58	2	0	19	03
NO.94 RAMAPURAM	81	9	0	10	51
	81	5	0	13	97
	81	4	0	07	94
	81	1	0	06	24
	87	7	0	19	98
	87	8	0	00	40
	87	2	0	15	92

1	2	3	4	5	6
	87	3	0	07	48
	72	18	0	00	76
	72	21	0	03	25
	72	20	0	02	96
	72	13D	0	06	35
	72	12	0	06	18
	74	1	0	04	88
	73	18	0	07	61
	73	10	0	03	64
	73	9	0	06	34
	73	8	0	08	77
	65	4	0	10	05
	65	3	0	10	79
	58	2B	0	33	76
	58	2A	0	03	89
	58	1	0	16	91
	61	2	0	14	29
	60	8	0	28	56
	53	2	0	28	36
	52	1	0	28	83
	51	3	0	05	76
	51	2	0	03	24
	45	2E	0	09	00
	45	2A	0	11	22
	46	3	0	32	84
	308	3	0	19	24
	307	-	0	27	37
	306	-	0	23	52
	305	2	0	04	26
	305	1	0	01	24
	304	1C	0	02	87
	304	1A1	0	14	94
	254	4A1	0	02	74
	254	3	0	05	75
	254	2	0	12	60
	252	3	0	23	49
	252	2	0	05	49

NO.68 VATTUR

1	2	3	4	5	6
	233	1	0	24	52
	221	1	0	23	27
	222	-	0	45	55
	224	2	0	39	40
	219	-	0	01	14
	217	2I	0	23	94
	217	2B	0	10	04
	217	1B	0	14	79
	20	1A2	0	01	13
	20	1A1	0	28	09
	22	1A	0	23	94
	18	3	0	24	27
	24	1B	0	11	55
	24	1A	0	26	83
	25	1	0	09	82
	161	-	0	09	24
	160	2	0	46	61
	44	1	0	34	83
	44	5A	0	00	40
	33	8	0	08	87
	33	9A	0	00	40
	33	7B	0	18	46
	33	7C	0	00	58
	33	7A	0	00	55
	33	7E	0	07	33
	33	5	0	08	72
	33	4	0	05	00
	33	3A	0	00	55
	33	1A	0	01	63
	69	2C	0	08	58
	69	2B	0	25	72
	68	3	0	07	44
	68	17	0	02	05
	68	18	0	10	18
	68	15	0	00	58
	68	14	0	06	20
	66	5B	0	12	37
	66	5A	0	15	61

NO.69 PILLANATHAM

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.50 KARUMAPURAM	65	2H	0	04	63
	65	2F	0	25	41
	65	2G	0	03	68
	65	2B	0	02	88
	232	4	0	00	40
	232	5	0	07	56
	232	2B	0	05	76
	232	1B	0	05	31
	231	1A	0	00	40
	230	1	0	02	80
	229	2	0	00	40
	229	3A1	0	32	94
	228	1B2	0	06	12
	228	1B1	0	03	69
	227	3B	0	00	40
	213	2A	0	20	42
	213	3	0	15	03
	213	4	0	09	10
	55	1B	0	09	72
	53	5	0	23	85
	52	6B	0	00	77
	52	6A	0	11	47
	52	2A	0	03	84
	52	1	0	09	13
	45	-	0	47	63
	44	3	0	03	72
	41	1C	0	01	19
	41	1B	0	14	74
	40	1B	0	14	92
	37	1C	0	22	13
	37	1B	0	14	09
	37	1A	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5	6
NO.51 THIRUMANGALAM	15	1	0	30	48
	17	7	0	22	90
	17	3	0	08	41
	19	3	0	21	93
	19	2	0	07	84
	19	1	0	16	04
	20	2	0	10	71
	20	3A	0	00	40
	30	3	0	16	76
	30	4A	0	00	40
	29	1	0	20	80
	30	2A	0	00	86
	30	1	0	02	63
	34	1A	0	13	56
	34	1B	0	00	40
	42	5	0	06	30
	42	4	0	11	74
	42	3	0	01	27
	42	1	0	00	49
	43	2B3	0	00	40
	43	2B2E	0	01	15
	43	2B1	0	05	17
	43	2A	0	06	09
	43	1B	0	09	07
	45	2B	0	12	22
	47	2C	0	12	95
	47	2B	0	00	40
	47	1	0	37	46
NO.52 KARUPPAGOUNDAMPALAYAM	14	13	0	01	07
	14	1B	0	15	61
	16	2B1	0	03	28
	16	1	0	14	91
	17	2	0	10	70
	17	1	0	04	96
	18	-	0	16	30
	19	6	0	23	28
	19	5	0	00	50

1	2	3	4	5	6
	19	4A	0	07	92
	19	3	0	00	40
	19	2	0	05	10
	21	4	0	05	40
NO.49 RAJAPALAYAM	185	3	0	18	45
	186	1	0	13	66
	178	3B	0	12	37
	185	1	0	00	40
	183	2F	0	00	40
	178	3A1	0	00	40
	183	2B	0	00	42
	183	3	0	19	78
	180	1	0	03	06

[No. R-25011/15/2004-O.R.-I]
RENUKA KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3221.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, को लोक हित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है की उड़ीसा राज्य में पारादीप से पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य में हल्दिया तक कच्चे तेल के परिवहन के लिये इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए.

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है की ऐसी भूमि जिसके भीतर पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए.

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है.

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ सार्वजनिक जन्ता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के अन्दर पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में श्री अशोक कुमार दास, सक्षम प्राधिकारी पारादीप-हल्दिया पाइपलाइन परियोजना इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड मार्केटिंग डिविजन हाउसिंग कम्प्लेक्स, मेघदम्बारा, डाकघर - कुरुदा, बालासोर - 756056, (उड़ीसा) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसूची

जिला : बालासोर

राज्य : उडिसा

तहसील का नाम	गाँव का नाम	खसरा संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
बस्ता	राईसुआँ	1520	0	10	55
		1477	0	01	07
	सक्तीराम	265	0	00	74
		316	0	04	53
		317	0	08	31
		318	0	05	70
		314	0	03	85
		319	0	11	15
		313	0	06	78
		322	0	07	85
		181	0	14	60
		180	0	02	76
		179	0	14	13
		176	0	00	41
		173	0	00	63
		161	0	06	30
		162	0	01	37
		171	0	01	85
		170	0	04	84
		169	0	00	40
		168	0	09	28
		165	0	03	31
		164	0	03	56
		163	0	08	60
		151	0	03	11
जलेश्वर	गबगाँ	379	0	07	98
		731	0	04	01

[फा. सं. आर-25011/19/2004-ओ.आर.-I]

रेणुका कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th December, 2004

S. O. 3221.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum (crude) from Paradip in the State of Orissa to Haldia in the State of West Bengal, a pipeline should be laid by Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification,

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act- 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri. Ashok Kumar Dash, Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Paradip - Haldia Pipeline Project, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Marketing Housing Complex, Meghadambaru, Post office- Kuruda, Balasore (Orissa).

Schedule

District : Balasore

State : Orissa

Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Khasara No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq. Mtrs.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Basta	Raisuan	1520	0	10	55
		1477	0	01	07
	Saktiram	265	0	00	74
		316	0	04	53
		317	0	08	31
		318	0	05	70
		314	0	03	85
		319	0	11	15
		313	0	06	78
		322	0	07	85
		181	0	14	60
		180	0	02	76
		179	0	14	13
		176	0	00	41
		173	0	00	63
		161	0	06	30
		162	0	01	37
		171	0	01	85
		170	0	04	84
		169	0	00	40
		168	0	09	28
		165	0	03	31
		164	0	03	56
		163	0	08	60
		151	0	03	11
		379	0	07	98
Jaleshwar	Gabagan	731	0	04	01

श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3222.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इंटैग्रल कोच फैक्ट्री के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्रम न्यायालय, चेन्नई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 1998 का 7) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 41012/209/97-आई. आर. (बी-1)]

सी. गंगाधरन, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3222.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 7 of 1998) of the Central Government Labour Court, Chennai, as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Integral Coach Factory and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-41012/209/97-IR(B-I)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
LABOUR COURT, CHENNAI****PRESENT :**

Thiru K. Ramasubramanian, B. Sc., B. L., Presiding Officer

Monday the 1st Day of November, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 7 of 1998**BETWEEN :**

Thiru A. Alice Raj, 21, Sir C. V. Raman Street, (41st Street), G. K. M. Colony, Chennai 82.

AND

The General Manager, I. C. F. Shell Division, Chennai 38.

AWARD

This industrial dispute has been referred to this court for adjudication of the dispute between the workman Thiru

Alice Raj and the management of Integral Coach Factory Shell Division, Chennai, by the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour by G. O. No. L. 41012/209/97-IR(B.I), dated 19-5-98, on the following issue :

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of General Manager, Integral Coach Factory, Shell Division, Madras 38, in terminating the service of Shri A. Alice Raj, ex-casual labour with effect from 5-12-88 is justified or not ? If not justified to what relief the workman entitled ?"

2. The petition averments are : The petitioner belongs to Adi-Dravidar community and he was recruited as khalasi on 24-12-85 in the shell division of the respondent factory. The petitioner was working continuously till 4-2-88. On completion of 120 days service, he is deemed to be in regular service entitled for all rights and privileges including the benefit of Discipline and Appeal Rules 1988. The petitioner appeared for an interview before the selection committee of officers and was selected by the authority. He was in serial No. 182. The competent authority declared the petitioner was fit for the absorption against permanent vacancies. Instead of regularising the petitioner, the respondent management recruited the juniors. The respondent management failed to maintain the muster rolls of workman in 'B' category and the petitioner was working continuously for more than 3 years. The petitioner was suddenly stopped from service. The petitioner was senior-most employee and the respondent violated section 25-C of the I. D. Act. The respondent management had not obtained permission from the appropriate authority for the retrenchment. The matter was raised before the Central Conciliation Officer and it ended in failure. The non-employment of the petitioner is illegal, unjustified and against the principles of natural justice.

3. The counter averments are : The petitioner was provisionally enlisted for engagement as a casual labour khalasi as per the order dated 9-3-85 and was engaged as casual labour khalasi from 24-12-85 to 1-1-86 for 9 days and from 25-12-86 to 10-1-87 for 17 days. Subsequently the petitioner was engaged from 5-8-87 to 4-2-88 as casual labour for 181 days continuously and on 4-2-88 the petitioner was terminated from service for moral turpitude. The petitioner's brothers and sister are working in the respondent factory. The petitioner filed a false statement that none of his relatives were working under ICF. On verification it was found that the petitioner does not belong to Adi-dravida community. The petitioner's sister and brothers gave declaration that they belong to christian community. The petitioner was not recruited on regular basis and he has not attained the temporary status. The committee of officers have not selected the petitioner against the permanent vacancy. The petitioner is not entitled to any of the reliefs since the petitioner has not been retrenched from service. The Labour Commissioner decided the decision of the ICF administration is proper. The petitioner preferred an appeal before the Regional

Labour Commissioner (C). The petitioner also filed O. A. 963/90 before the Hon'ble CAT and subsequently it was withdrawn. Again the petitioner raised the same issue before the ACL (C) in the year 1997 and it ended in failure. So, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. The point for consideration is :

Whether the action of the management in terminating the services of the petitioner with effect from 5-12-88 is justified, if not to what relief he is entitled ?

5. **The Point :** The petitioner was provisionally enlisted and engaged as casual labour khalasi as per the order dated 9-3-85 and the petitioner was working as casual labour khalasi from 24-12-85 to 1-1-86 for 9 days and from 25-12-86 to 10-1-87 for 17 days. Subsequently the petitioner was engaged from 5-8-87 to 4-2-88 as casual labour for 181 days continuously. The petitioner was terminated from service on the ground of moral turpitude on 4-2-88. The petitioner gave a declaration that none of his relatives were working in the respondent factory. Subsequently it was found that his brothers and sister are working under the respondent management. The petitioner does not belong to Adi-Dravida community since the petitioner's brothers and sister declared that they belong to christian community. The petitioner has not attained the temporary status. The above facts were admitted by both sides.

6. On the side of the petitioner, the petitioner was examined as W.W.1 and Exs. W.1 to W.7 were marked.

7. Ex. W.1 is the copy of community certificate. The original community certificate was not produced before this court. Ex. W.2 is the provisional list for engagement as casual labour. Ex. W.3 is the list of persons who have been selected subsequent to the recruitment of the petitioner. Ex. W.4 is the copy of termination order. The petitioner preferred a petition before the Asstt. Commissioner of Labour (C), Madras under Section 2-A and the copy of the petition is Ex. W.5. Ex. W.6 is the rejoinder. Ex. W.7 is the copy of letter issued by the Asstt. Personnel Officer to the petitioner.

8. The following facts were admitted by W.W.1 during the cross-examination :

"The petitioner was working as casual labour from 24-12-85. The petitioner belongs to christian community. The petitioner was not appointed on S. C. quota. His brother was working in I. C. F. On termination the petitioner preferred petition to the management and the copy of the petition is Ex. M.1, and the copy of the reply is Ex. M.2. (It is evident from Ex. M.2 that the petitioner gave false declaration before the railway authorities). The petitioner preferred a petition before the ACL, and

the ACL arrived at a conclusion that the decision of the railway authorities is correct. On that ground the petitioner was dismissed. The petitioner preferred an appeal and the copy of the appeal petition is Ex. M.3. The petitioner was not shown as S. C. in Ex. W.2."

It is evident from the above that the petitioner does not belong to SC community. It is also evident that the petitioner had furnished a false declaration. It is also evident that the petitioner was not retrenched and was only terminated from service. The petitioner has not adduced any satisfactory and acceptable evidence. So, there is no sufficient reason to allow the petition. For the reasons stated above, I hold that the action of the respondent in terminating the services of the petitioner is justified and that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief. The point is answered accordingly.

9. In the result, an award is passed holding that the action of the management in terminating the services of the petitioner Thiru Alice Raj is justified and that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief. No costs.

Dated at Chennai, this the 1st day of November, 2004.

THIRU K. RAMASUBRAMANIAN, Presiding Officer

List of Witnesses Examined

For the Workman	For the Management
W.W.1—A. Alice Raj.	M.W.1—V. Swaminathan

List of Exhibits Marked :

(The documents marked are only xerox copies)

For the Workman :

Ex. W.1 ..	— Community certificate.
Ex. W. 2/9-3-85	— Provisional list for engagement of casual labourers (khalasis).
Ex. W. 3/11-5-88	— Office order.
Ex. W. 4/4-2-88	— Termination order.
Ex. W. 5/ ..	— 2-A petition.
Ex. W. 6/ ..	— Rejoinder.
Ex. W. 7/28-5-97	— Letter from respondent to petitioner.

For the Management :

Ex. M.1/ ..	— Letter from petitioner to the respondent.
Ex. M. 2/26-2-88	— Reply from respondent.
Ex. M. 3/25-5-89	— Appeal preferred by the petitioner.

- Ex. M. 4/. — Letter from respondent to the petitioner.
- Ex. M. 5/. — Particulars of service of A. Anandaraj, father of the petitioner.
- Ex. M. 6/. — -do- A. Siman Raj, brother of the petitioner.
- Ex. M. 7/. — -do- A. Jacob Raj, younger brother of the petitioner.
- Ex. M. 8/. — -do- A. Mary Priscilla, sister of the petitioner.
- Ex. M. 9/. — Rule 2001 of I. R. Estt. Rules.
- Ex. M. 10/. — Rule 2004 of I. R. M.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3223.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार ईस्टर्न रेलवे के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं. 2, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 1997 का 41) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 41012/231/95-आई. आर. (बी-1)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3223.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 41 of 1997) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Eastern Railway and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-41012/231/95-IR(B-1)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD PRESENT :

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D. Act, 1947.

Reference No. 41 of 1997

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Divisional Railway Manager, E. Rly., Dhanbad and their workman.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the workman : Mr. R. A. Chamaria, Advocate.

On behalf of the employers : Mr. B. M. Prasad, Advocate.

State : Jharkhand

Industry : Railway

Dated, Dhanbad, the 10th November, 2004

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-41012/231/95-IR(B-1), dated, the Nil.

SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the Divisional Railway Manager, Eastern Railway Dhanbad Division, Dhanbad PPO Dhanbad Dist. Dhanbad in changing the designation of Smt. Sarawati Devi Waterman Reverting her back to Safaiwala is justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?”

2. The case of the concerned workman according to Written Statement submitted by her is as follows :—

The concerned workman submitted that she got her employment in the year 1980 as Safaiwala in place of the service of her husband late Gaya Ram. Thereafter vide Office Order No. ZTS/E/2/R-S dt. 18-3-86, her designation was changed as Waterman in place of Sri Jan Mohammad, Waterman who was then absenting. Subsequently as he got his voluntary retirement she in his place discharged her duties as Waterman till 19-1-83 i.e. continuously for a period of seven years. She submitted that the position of Waterman is higher than that of ‘Safaiwala’.

She alleged that management vide Office Order No. ZTS/E/Z/RS/CL. IV dt. 19-1-93 reverted her to the post of Safaiwala which was arbitrary, illegal and humiliating. Though she submitted her representation against the said order started attending her duties as ‘Safaiwala’ under protest. She disclosed further that thereafter though the sponsoring union took up her matter with the management did not yield any result.

It is her contention that as she continuously worked in the post of Waterman for seven years the management cannot revert her back to the post of ‘Safaiwala’ on the ground that she performed duties of Waterman against permanent vacancy. Moreover that reversion was made without sanction of appropriate authority.

She alleged that as the management did not take any step to change her designation in spite of submitting representation compelled to raise an Industrial Dispute

for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. She accordingly submitted prayer to pass Award directing the management to put her designation as Waterman and allow her to continue in duties of Waterman.

3. Management on the contrary after filing Written Statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the concerned workman asserted in the Written Statement.

They submitted that the concerned workman was appointed as Safaiwala on compassionate ground and was posted as such under the Principal ZTS Bhuli. They disclosed that she was put to work as 'Waterman' purely on temporary measure as the person posted as Waterman was on leave in the same pay and grade. They denied the fact categorically that she was reverted back to the post of 'Safaiwala' as the pay scale of 'Safaiwala' and 'Waterman' are same and identical.

It has been categorically submitted by them that they did not commit any illegality or took any arbitrary decision asking the concerned workman to work to her original post of 'Safaiwala' instead of Waterman where she was asked to work absolutely on temporary basis.

As the claim of the concerned workman is not tenable management submitted prayer to pass award rejecting the claim of the concerned workman.

4. Points to be decided :

"Whether the action of the Divisional Railway Manager, Eastern Railway Dhanbad Division Dhanbad, PPO Dhanbad Dist. Dhanbad in changing the designation of Smt. Sarawati Devi Waterman Reverting her back to Safaiwala is justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?"

5. Finding with reasons

It transpires from the record that management with a view to substantiate the claim examined one witness as MW-1. The concerned workman also in support of her claim examined herself as WW-1.

Now considering the facts disclosed in the pleadings of both sides and also considering evidence of MW-1 and WW-1 I find no dispute to hold that on compassionate ground after the death of her husband she got her appointment issued by the Divisional Personnel Manager to the post of Safaiwala in the year 1980 and was posted at ZTS Bhuli. It is also admitted fact that the scale of Pay of 'Safaiwala' and of 'Waterman' are same. There is also no dispute to hold that one Jan Mohammad was posted at Waterman at ZTS Bhuli. It is also admitted fact that said Jan Mohammad was on long leave. It is the contention of the management that as Jan Mohammad was on leave, Principal ZTS Bhuli issued an order directing the concerned workman to work as Waterman absolutely on

temporary basis though she used to draw her salary as Safaiwala althrough. MW-1 during his evidence denied the fact that said temporary order of Waterman in the name of the concerned workman was issued under direction either of Deputy Personnel Manager or of D. R. M. He disclosed that though by local arrangement the said order was issued the concerned workman in that capacity of Waterman discharged her duties from 1986 to 1993. This witness further disclosed that in course of her work she was confirmed in the post of Safaiwala and was placed in the pay scale of Rs. 775-1025 by order of the management. He submitted that it was a promotional post and the concerned workman not only accepted that promotion but also started drawing salary under the said pay scale. The witness categorically disclosed that as there was no question of posting the concerned workman as Waterman question of her reversion to the post of 'Safaiwala' never arose. She was temporarily given the job of Waterman in absence of Jan Mohammad though her designation was Safaiwala and not only drew her salary as Safaiwala but also accepted her promotion in that post in the pay scale of Rs. 775-1025.

On the contrary the contention of the concerned workman is that by order of the management (Ext. W-1) she was designated at Waterman in the year 1986 and started working in that capacity. She disclosed that even after retirement of Jan Mohammad in the year 1990 she was allowed to work as Waterman but in the year 1993 management arbitrary again transferred her to the post of 'Safaiwala' (copy of the order during her evidence was marked as Ext. W-2). She further disclosed that the post of 'Waterman' is higher than the post of 'Safaiwala' though admitted that the pay scales are same.

From the documents marked as Ext. M-1 to M-1/3 it transpires clearly that the concerned workman got her appointment as Safaiwala and in the said designated post her pay was fixed in the year 1997 under Railway Service (Revised Pay) Rules. This pay revision was done after she was returned back to the post of Safaiwala by office order dt. 19-1-93 Ext. W-2.

It is the contention of the concerned workman that her posting as Waterman was against permanent vacancy and she discharged her duties in that capacity from March, 1986 to January, 1993. The office order dt. 18-3-86 Ext. W-1 shows that on the appeal of the concerned workman, Principal ZTS, Bhuli posted her temporarily as Waterman on her same pay and grade vice Sri Jan Mohammad Waterman absenting. The said office order further shows that the concerned workman was debarred to place claims in future for her permanent posting on the said post over their senior. Considering the two orders marked as Ext. W-1 and W-2 it is clear that from March, 1986 to January, 1993, she performed her duties as waterman on her same pay and grade. Therefore, it is clear that the concerned

workman did not draw her salary in the pay and grade of Waterman. No evidence is forthcoming to show that she made any appeal before the management for changing her pay and grade as Waterman. On the contrary though she discharged her duties as such without raising any dispute drew her salary in the pay and grade of Safaiwala. It is clear that the Principal, ZTS was not the appointing authority of the concerned workman. Question of considering promotion to any worker only can be done by the appointing authority or the officer designated to that effect. No material evidence is forthcoming to show that Principal ZTS, Bhuli was the competent authority to consider and change the designation of any workman. Considering office order Ext. W-1 it shows that there were other workman who were senior to her to be considered for absorption in the permanent post of Waterman. As the Principal ZTS was not authorised being appointing authority to pass order in the matter of changing the grade of a workman on her appeal he allowed her to work in the post of Waterman temporarily in absence of the regular workman who was posted there on the same pay and grade. The post of Waterman at ZTS Bhuli is a permanent post and one Jan Mohammad was posted there in that capacity. As the said workman was absenting from duty she was posted there temporarily on her prayer by the Principal instead of performing her duties as Safaiwala and the said office order was communicated to her appointing authority. Said Jan Mohammad left service in the year 1990. Therefore from March, 1986 to 1990 she was allowed to work as Waterman as the Waterman posted there remained absent. Therefore, upto the said period the concerned workman did not accrue any right to be designated as Waterman. Actually after 1990 the said post of Waterman fell vacant and it is seen that till January, 1993 the said post remained vacant wherein she was allowed to work. The concerned workman in course of hearing failed to show any paper that she made representation to the appointing authority for her absorption in the post of Waterman particularly when from the office order it transpires that she was junior to others to claim that post. It is to be taken into consideration that Zonal Training Institute, Bhuli is a Govt. organisation under Ministry of Railways. This institution was created for imparting training to Railway employees of different wing. Therefore, as it is an organisation of Govt. some norms are followed in the matter of appointment, promotion etc. Though the pay scale of Safaiwala and Waterman are same the grade is different. Any order passed temporarily by the Principal, ZTS to serve water to trainees in the institute in absence of regular Waterman by way of local arrangement does not *ipso facto* create any right to get absorption in the post of waterman superseding her seniors and without getting any order from the appointing authority. There is no whisper at all on the part of the concerned workman that she took up the matter with appropriate authority in the matter of changing her

grade and designation when the post of Waterman fell vacant after 1990. On the contrary it transpires from the document Ext. W-3 that she made an appeal to the Principal, ZTS, Bhuli to allow her to work as Waterman when she by order dt. 19-1-93 (Ext. W-2) was asked to perform her duties as Safaiwala. She raised the present industrial dispute when her such appeal was not entertained by the said Principal. Therefore, it is seen that from the very initial outset there was no claim on other part to be redesignated as Waterman for discharging her duties in that capacity. The arrangement which I find from the record was absolutely a local arrangement made by the Principal for the interest of the Institute as the regular Waterman was absenting. Such arrangement was made on appeal of the concerned workman and without changing her designation she agreed to work in that capacity. Therefore, there is no scope to say that the concerned workman was forced to discharge her duties as Waterman. When acceptance on her part to work as Waterman was voluntary on her appeal accepting the condition she without making proper representation to her appointing authority for changing her designation is debarred from claiming so by raising an Industrial dispute. Accordingly, I find no scope to uphold her contention. In the result, the following Award is rendered :—

“The action of the Divisional Railway Manager, Eastern Railway, Dhanbad Division, Dhanbad PPO, Dhanbad, Dist Dhanbad in changing the designation of Smt. Sarawati Devi, Waterman reverting her back to Safaiwala is justified. Consequently, the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief.”

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3224.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एस. सी. सी. एल. प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, गोदावरीखानी (संदर्भ संख्या 81/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-II)]

एन. पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3224.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 81/2003) of the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Godavarikhani as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of SCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-II)]

N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE
BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN, INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
GODAVARIKHANI

PRESENT :

Smt. K. Suvarchala, M.A., B.L., Chairman-cum-Presiding Officer.

Tuesday, the 26th Day of October, 2004

Industrial Dispute NO. Osteoarthritis 81 of 2003

BETWEEN :

Danapuneni Narsimulu,
 S/o. Dasaratham, Age 34 Yrs.,
 Occ : Ex-Badli Filler, S. C. Co. Ltd.,
 R/o, H. No. 16-6-63, Tirumalanagar,
 Godavarikhani Post,
 Dist. Karimnagar Petitioner

AND

The General Manager,
 S. C. Co., Ltd.,
 Ramakrishnapur Area,
 Dist. Adilabad Respondent

This petition coming before me for final hearing in the presence of Sri Ganta Narayana, Advocate for the petitioner and of Sri D. Krishna Murthy, Advocate for the respondent and having stood over for consideration till this date, the court passed the following :

AWARD

1. This is a petition filed U/s. 2-A(2) of I. D., Act, 1947 filed by the petitioner to set-aside the removal orders dt. 1-7-99 passed by the respondent and to direct the respondent company to reinstate the petitioner into service with continuity of service, with all attendant benefits including full back-wages.

2. The averments of the petition are as follows :

The petitioner secured job in the respondent company under the dependant employment scheme, after his father-in-law named Durgam Posham, Coal Cutter, RK No. 4 Incline of Ramakrishnapur was declared medically unfit on 24-1-91 by the Medical Board of Area Hospital, Ramakrishnapur, Adilabad District. The petitioner was initially appointed as Badli Coal Filler on 13-6-1991 and posted at 4th Incline, Ramakrishnapur. Later, he was posted to RK No. 1 Incline, Ramakrishnapur, till his dismissal. On 7-8-93, the respondent issued a charge sheet on the alleged ground that the petitioner violated company's standing order No. 16(2) and committed theft, fraud, dishonesty in connection with the company's business, stating that he submitted a fraudulent medical unfit certificate of Sri Durgam Posham. The petitioner submitted his explanation denying the above

said charges. Domestic enquiry was conducted. The enquiry was biased. In the enquiry only the Presenting Officer was examined who submitted the documents. Basing on that dismissal order was passed on 1-7-99. The dismissal order is illegal and arbitrary in nature. Hence, he filed the petition for the above said relief.

3. To this, the respondent has filed counter denying the averments of the petition. The respondent further stated that this Tribunal is not having jurisdiction to decide the dispute. The respondent company deals with coal mining which is Central Government subject and not State Government subject. Therefore, the Industrial Tribunal having Central jurisdiction only is competent to decide the dispute. This Industrial Tribunal, Godavarikhani is vested with only State jurisdiction and therefore, not competent to decide the present dispute.

4. It is false to allege that Durgam Posham was declared medically unfit as he was suffering from Osteoarthritis both knees etc. In the fake unfit letter dt. 24-1-91, it was stated that he was suffering from Hyper Tension and Osteoarthritis of both shoulders joint. Infact, Durgam Posham, father-in-law of the petitioner—Durgam Posham adopted illegal method and obtained fake unfit medical certificate. On that basis, the petitioner could secure employment as dependent son-in-law of Durgam Posham. The unfit certificate was proved as fake certificate and was obtained only for the purpose of securing dependant employment to the petitioner in the respondent company. The respondent issued charge sheet on 7-8-93 under the company's standing order No. 16(2) stating that he secured employment in the respondent company fraudulently and the medical certificate produced by the petitioner was fake certificate. Domestic enquiry was ordered against the petitioner. The petitioner was given full and fair opportunity in the domestic enquiry. Three witnesses including the doctors who purported to have examined the father-in-law of the petitioner were examined, in addition to the Presenting Officer, on behalf of the respondent. The Enquiry Officer has given opportunity for cross-examining. Eleven documents were produced before the Enquiry Officer. The petitioner has not raised any objection on the documents.

Dr. Y. Ram Mohan Rao, Addl. Chief Medical Officer stated in his evidence that no medical board was constituted by him to examine Sri Durgam Posham on 15-12-90 and he was very much on duty on that day. He further stated that he has not at all examined Sri Durgam Posham and finally stated that the signature on the medical unfit letter bearing No. AH/RKP/90/65-A/168, dt. 24-1-91 pertaining to Sri Posham is not his signature and was forged. It was a fake document and was not despatched from the Area Hospital, Ramakrishnapur.

Dr. V. K. V. Prasad, Ortho Surgeon, has stated that the signature on the medical board letter bearing

No. AH/RKP/90/65-A/168, dt. 24-1-91 and Ref. 4 which declared Sri Durgam Posham as unfit was not his signature and was a forged one. He further stated that his Reg. No. 16848, but not 14028 as mentioned in Ref. 4. He stated that he did not examine Sri Durgam Posham and did not sign on the medical board unfit letter dt. 24-1-91. Thus, the unfit letter is a forged document.

5. The enquiry was conducted in a fair manner and the petitioner was given full opportunity to defend his case. The charges levelled against the petitioner were proved in the enquiry. Copy of the enquiry report was supplied to the petitioner with letter dt. 16-11-98 to submit his representation. The petitioner submitted his representation on 16-12-98, on the enquiry report. The disciplinary authority has carefully examined the representation of the petitioner and found to be not satisfactory. The charges levelled against the petitioner were proved and he was removed from the services of the respondent company on 2-7-99. There are no valid and reasonable grounds to set-aside the termination order passed against the petitioner. Hence, the petition may be dismissed.

6. On behalf of the petitioner, Ex. W-1 is marked.

On behalf of the respondent, Ex. M-1 to Ex. M-8 are marked.

7. Heard both sides.

8. The 1st point raised by the respondent is that this Tribunal does not have jurisdiction to adjudicate the trial. Section 2-A(2) of I.D. Act is not applicable to their company. This court has already decided in the previous matters that the petitioner is having a right to file petition U/s. 2-A(2) of I. D. Act. The contention of the respondent is that the coal mine industry is Central Government Enactment. But as held by his Lordships in *L. Naidu and others Vs. Union of India* and others reported in 2003(2) ALT 470, this court has got every jurisdiction to adjudicate the I. D. U/s. 2-A(2) of I. D. Act. Their Lordships held in the above decision, as follows :—

“The contention regarding the non-applicability of Sec. 2-A(2) to an Industrial Dispute as defined in Sec. 2(k) read with Sec. 2(A) of the Act is relation to Hindustan Zinc Ltd., a Government of India Undertaking is wholly misconceived. The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is a legislation enacted by the Parliament pursuant to the field of legislation referable to Entry-23 of List III (Concurrent List) of the Seventh Schedule read with Art. 246 of the Constitution of India. The Act has been amended by the Industrial Disputes Act (A. P. Amendment) Act, 1987 (Act. 32 of 1987). The Act was reserved by the Governor of Andhra Pradesh on 24-4-1984, for consideration of the President and the assent of the President of India was received on 22-7-1987 which assent was published in the A. P. Gazette on

27-7-1987. In view of the provisions of Art. 254(2) of the Constitution, the provisions of Section 2-A(2) as incorporated in the Act by the A. P. Amendment Act 32/87 is valid and operative. There is nothing in the phraseology of Sub-section (2) of Section 2-A, which limits the applicability of its provisions to “State Industries” as contended by the petitioner.

Within the legislative field enumerated in Entry-22 of List III, the legislative of the State has, subject to the provisions of the constitution, legislative powers to enact laws. There is nothing in the provisions of the constitution or in the Act, brought to the notice of the court, which diminishes such plenitudinous legislative power including in the area of legislation for adjudication of industrial disputes in respect of industrial undertakings of a disputes in respect of industrial undertakings of a Federal Government. But for the enactment by the Parliament of the Industrial Disputes Act and subject to the provisions of Art. 254(2), the State Legislature was competent to enact the entirety of Industrial Disputes Act (qua the concurrent legislative field enumerated in Entry-22 of List III read with Art. 245 of the Constitution) for its operation within the territory of Andhra Pradesh. Under the provisions of Art. 254(2) and in the context of the A. P. Amendment having received the assent of President, the provisions of Section 2-A(2) operate *proprio vigore* even against any provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, enacted prior to the A. P. Amendment Act. The contention of non-applicability of Section 2-A(2) of the petitioner, company is therefore without merit or force”.

In the light of the above decision, it is quite clear that the petitioner can challenge U/s. 2-A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act though he is working in the Coal Mine. Hence, the issue is decided in favour of the petitioner.

9. The petitioner worked as badli coal filler at RK. No. 1 Incline, Ramakrishnapur, at the material point of time. While the petitioner was discharging his duties, it came to the respondent management that the petitioner got employment under the dependent employment scheme by producing a fake medical unfit certificate of Durgam Posham who was declared medically unfit. The fact that the petitioner has secured employment in the respondent company as a dependent to his sick father-in-law, is admitted by the petitioner. Now the point for consideration is whether the certificate produced by the petitioner is genuine or not?

10. The first and foremost defence put forth by the petitioner is that at the time of the domestic enquiry, only one Presenting Officer was examined and the Doctors were not examined.

The respondent in his counter denied the allegations and specifically stated that two doctors who purported to have examined Sri Posham—father-in-law of the petitioner were examined before the enquiry officer.

Dr. Y. Ram Mohan Rao, Addl. Chief Medical Officer/Medical Superintendent stated in his deposition before the enquiry officer that no medical board was constituted by him to examine Sri Durgam Posham on 15-12-90 and he was very much on duty on that day. He further stated that he has not examined Sri Durgam Posham and that the signature on the medical unfit letter bearing No. AH/RKP/90/65A/168, dt. 24-1-1991 pertaining to Sri Posham, is not his signature and was forged. Thus, the medical unfit letter was a forged one. He further clearly stated that the medical unfit letter is a fake document and was not despatched from the Area Hospital, Ramakrishnapur.

The petitioner cross-examined the witness that he could not elicit any facts contradicting the statement of the doctor which he deposed in the chief examination. The uncontradictory statement of the doctor prevailed.

10. The second witness examined before the enquiry officer is Dr. V. K. V. Prasad, Ortho Surgeon. He deposed that he worked in the Area Hospital, Ramakrishnapur. The signature on the medical board letter bearing No. AH/RKP/90/65A/168, dt. 24-1-91 and Ref. 4 which declared Sri Durgam Posham as unfit, was not his signature and was a forged one. As per the certificate, the medical examination was done on 15-12-90. As a matter of fact, a letter of unfit will be issued on the same day, had it been genuine. He further stated that his registration number is 16848, but not 14028 as mentioned in Ref. 4. He further stated that he has not examined Sri Durgam Posham and did not sign on the medical board letter dt. 24-1-91. It is a forged document. Further it is clearly stated by the witness that he was on leave from 19-1-91 to 27-1-91 and was out of station. The attendance register was also produced before the enquiry officer.

12. The report of the enquiry officer is marked as Ex. M-4. Show cause notice was issued to the petitioner i.e., marked as Ex. M-6. The petitioner had given a reply to the show-cause notice i.e., marked as Ex. M-7. In that the petitioner clearly stated that he is a dependent employee of Sri Durgam Posham. The medical certificate on the basis of which, the petitioner was employed, was proved as a fake document. There is no case for the petitioner.

13. There appears to be no reason to interfere with the decision of the respondent.

In the result, this petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Typed to my dictation, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this, the 26th day of October, 2004.

Smt. K. SUVARCHALA, Chairman-cum-Presiding Officer

Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses Examined

For the Workman :	For the Management :
-Nil-	-Nil-

Exhibits

For the Workman :

Ex. W-1 dt. 1-7-1999

Removal order.

For Management :—

Ex. M-1 dt. 7-8-1993

Charge-sheet.

Ex. M-2 dt. 7-8-1993

Ack., to charge-sheet.

Ex. M-3 dt. 18-8-1993

Reply to charge-sheet.

Ex. M-4 dt. 18-8-1993

Enquiry report.

Ex. M-5 dt. 22-3-1994

Enquiry proceedings alongwith concerned material documents.

Ex. M-6 dt. 25-11-98

2nd show cause notice.

Ex. M-7 dt. 16-12-1998

Reply to show-cause notice.

Ex. M-8 dt. 1-7-1999

Removal order.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3225.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, डब्ल्यू. सी. एल. प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (संदर्भ संख्या सी. जी. आई. टी./एल. सी./आर/240/89) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22012/105/89-आई. आर. (सी-II)]
एन. पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3225.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. CGIT/LC/R/204/89) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of WCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22012/105/89-IR(C-II)]
N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE
BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT, JABALPUR

Case No. CGIT/LC/R/240/89

Shri C. M. Singh, Presiding Officer.

Shri Kasam Ali,
Through the Assistant Secretary,
R. K. K.M. S. (INTUC),
Post Chandametta,
Distt. Chhindwara-480447

Applicant

Versus

The Agent,
Nandan Colliery,
Post Danua,
Distt. Chhindwara-480555

Non-applicant

AWARD

Passed on this 18th day of November, 2004

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide Order No. L-22012(105)/89-IR-C.II dated 8-11-89 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal :—

“Whether the action of the Management of Nandan Colliery of M/s. W. C. Ltd., Kanhan Area in retiring Shri Kasam Ali son of Shri Din Mohd. w.e.f. 1-7-88 is justified ? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled ?”

2. Parties have filed their respective statements of claim. The Management has also filed rejoinder and certain documents. The case was at the stage of recording evidence.

3. A settlement duly signed by the parties was filed on 2-9-2004. The settlement is signed by the representatives of the Union on behalf of the workman concerned. Shri S. K. Rao, Advocate verified the settlement on behalf of the workman/Union and Shri A. K. Shashi, Advocate verified the settlement on behalf of the Management. The terms of settlement are as under :—

“1. It is agreed by the Union that the case No. CGIT/LC/R/240/89 is hereby withdrawn from the CGIT, Jabalpur since Kasam Ali S/o Deen Mohd. had died in the year 1988 and as such matter has been disposed of naturally.

2. It is also mutually agreed by both the parties to file this settlement before the Hon'ble Court for giving consent award in a form of “No Dispute Award”.

3. This is full and final settlement in respect of the employee concerned. The Union will not raise

any dispute in regard to this settlement at any level statutory or non-statutory, judicial or non-judicial.

Since the parties have agreed mutually to the above terms, I have no hesitation in recording the award in terms of settlement raised between the parties. The award is made accordingly with no order to cost.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3226.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ मैसूर के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में लेबर कोर्ट, कोजाईकोडे के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या (सी) 4/98 एवं (सी) 6/98) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12012/367/97-आई. आर. (बी-1),
सं. एल. 12012/368/97-आई. आर. (बी-1)]

सी. गंगाधरन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3226.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. (C) 4/98 & (C) 6/98) of the Labour Court, Kozhikode, Kerala State as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of State Bank of Mysore and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12012/367/97-IR(B-I),
No. L-12012/368/97-IR(B-I)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, KOZHIKODE,
KERALA STATE

Dated this the 4th day of October, 2004

PRESENT :

Shri K. Balasubramanian, B. Com., LL.B.,
Presiding Officer

COMMON AWARD

IN

I. D. (C) 4/98 & I. D. (C) 6/98

I.D.(C) 4/98

BETWEEN :

1. The Manager,
State Bank of Mysore,
G. H. Road, T. B. S. Building,
Calicut, Kerala-670001.

2. The Regional Manager-II,
State Bank of Mysore,
K. G. Road,
Bangalore-560001 ... Managements

AND

- Sri Satheesh P, S/o Gopi,
Pandara Kandiyal House,
Vakkath Thazham,
Post Chalappuram,
Calicut-2. ... Workman

REPRESENTATIONS :

- Sri V. Sreedharan Nair,
Advocate, Calicut ... For Managements
- Sri M. Asokan,
Advocate, Calicut ... For the Union

I. D. (C) 6/98 :

BETWEEN :

1. The Manager,
State Bank of Mysore,
G. H. Road, T. B. S. Building,
Calicut, Kerala-673001.
2. The Regional Manager-II,
State Bank of Mysore,
K. G. Road,
Bangalore-560002. ... Managements

AND

- Sri B. Vinod Godfrey,
S/o Manual,
Karibil Paramba House,
Post Vellayil,
Calicut-673001 ... Workman

REPRESENTATIONS :

- Sri V. Sreedharan Nair,
Advocate, Calicut ... For Managements
- Sri K. Abdussalam,
Advocate, Calicut ... For Workman

COMMON AWARD

These cases were referred by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour as per Order No. L. 12012/367/97-IR(B-I) and Order No. 12012/368/97-IR(B-I) dated 20-8-1998 to adjudicate the justifiability or otherwise the action of the Management Bank in terminating the service of two workers included in the dispute. In pursuance of the notice, both parties appeared and filed statement through counsel of their choice. Both cases were jointly filed as per order in M. P. 35/2000.

2. I. D. (C) 4/98.—The claim statement of the worker is summarised as follows : The worker was employed under the Management as a temporary staff in the month of November '93 and he continued in service upto 30-6-1997 on which day he was illegally denied employment by the Management. In the year 1996 worker had worked for 318 days and this acquired right of permanency. The Management was not in habit of maintaining proper employment records and paying the due legal benefits to the employees with an obvious motive to circumvent the statutory provisions and to deny permanency to the workers designating them as trainees or temporary or casual. The action of the Management amounts to unfair labour practice and violation of principles of Law. Workman was paid monthly and the Management used to obtain vouchers in different names. The action of the Management is illegal, and infragant violation of principles of natural justice. Hence workman prays for passing an Award directing to reinstate him in service with continuity of service and backwages.

3. I. D. (C) 6/98.—The workman involved in the dispute was a temporary staff who continuously worked in the management establishment from the month of December 1991 till 8-7-1997 on which day his services were illegally terminated by the Management. According to the worker he had worked in the Management establishment for 594 days continuously and had worked for more than 240 days within the span of 12 calendar months and thus entitled for regularisation of services. The unlawful labour practice adopted by the Management is also depicted in detail as stated in I. D. (C) 4/98. So the workman prays for reinstatement in service with backwages and continuity in service.

4. Management Nos. 1 and 2, the Branch Manager and Regional Manager of the Bank have filed counter statements in both reference cases raising identical contentions. According to the Management these workers were only temporary workers and have never worked for more than 240 days continuously in any preceding 12 months as stated in the claim statement. It is further contended that the Management has never terminated their service. The details of period each worker has worked during their employment period is also stated in the counter statement. According to the Management the workers involved in the dispute were working purely on temporary basis and are not entitled for regularisation in service.

5. Refuting the case of the management both workers have filed detailed rejoinders reiterating their eligibility for reinstatement and regularisation in service.

6. The evidence consists of the oral evidence of WW1 and WW2 and Exts. M1 to M8. On the basis of the rival pleadings and evidence the following points arise for determination :—

“Whether the termination of workers involved in the dispute is justified? If not what relief they are entitled to?”

7. **Points.**—The claim of the workers that they were working as temporary sub-staff in the Management-Bank was not disputed. The case of the workers is that they had continuously worked in the Management-Bank for more than 240 days and are entitled for permanency.

8. The worker involved in I. D. (C) 4/98 when examined as WW1 and the worker in I. D. (C) 6/98 and WW2 have given evidence about their nature of employment and the period of work undertaken by them. According to these witnesses they have worked for more than 240 days continuously in the year 1996 in the Management-Bank. WW1 has also stated that the Management used to obtain payment vouchers evidencing receipt of salary from him in different names and this was obviously done to defeat the provisions of Law and deny permanency.

9. Exts. M1 and M2 series are the various wage vouchers signed by the worker in I. D. (C) 4/98 and Exts. M7 and M8 series are those given by the worker in I. D. (C) 6/98 relating to various periods ranging from 1991 to 1996. These vouchers would show that the workers were paid daily rated wages. Some of the payment vouchers are seen issued in some other names whereas the payment of all these vouchers are seen acknowledged by one and the same person *i.e.*, the workers in the reference. Ext. M6 wages register for 1980—1999 would further support the above case. Ext. M3 and M4 are the bonus registers for the period from 1978 to 1996 and 1997—1999. Ext. M5 is attendance book of the sub-staff for the period 1997—2000. All these registers contain the names of the workers involved in the dispute. These documents coupled with the testimonies of WW1 and WW2 would strengthen the case of the workers that they have continuously worked for more than 240 days in the management-Bank atleast during the span of 12 calendar months.

10. It was vehemently contended by the learned counsel for the worker that another wage register was produced along with Ext. M6 which was taken back and Ext. M5 is not the one reproduced in substitution. This, according to the learned counsel was done with an oblique motive to screen true facts from the notice of the Court.

11. An Officer of the Bank has filed an affidavit stating that Ext. M5 is the one returned and reproduced. Though I am not fully impressed with the veracity of the statements in the affidavit. But I leave the matter as it is since the production or non-production of the disputed register may not tilt the position in either way.

12. Management has no case that the appointment was made for a fixed term or was made contrary to Statute

and Rules. Since the workers were working for more than 240 days in a year, termination without complying with Sec. 25F is illegal. The position also gains support from 2001 AR SC W 4862 (23). But there is nothing to show that the workers were appointed in substantive vacancy or that they were selected as per any recruitment Rules. Under these circumstances order of reinstatement may not be proper and instead payment of compensation as per Sec. 25F will be most appropriate and do justice to the parties.

13. In the result, an Award is passed holding that denial of employment to the workers involved in the dispute in both reference cases is not justified. Management is directed to pay compensation to the workers involved in the disputes as per S. 25F of the I. D. Act.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed by her, revised, corrected and passed by me, this on the 4th day of October, 2004.

K. BALASUBRAMANIAN, Presiding Officer.

APPENDIX

Witnesses examined on the side of the Workmen :—

WW1 — Satheesh. P.

WW2 — Vinod Godfrey.

Witnesses examined on the side of the Management :—

NIL.

Documents marked on the side of the Workmen :—

NIL.

Documents marked on the side of the Management :—

Ext. M1 — Vouchers signed by WW1 (22 in Series) Nos.)

Ext. M2 — Vouchers signed by WW1 (2 in Series) Nos.)

Ext. M3 — Bonus Register for the period from 1978-96.

Ext. M4 — Bonus Register for the period from 3/97 to 3/99.

Ext. M5 — Attendance Register for sub staff.

Ext. M6 — Salary Book for the period from 1980-1999.

Ext. M7 — Vouchers issued in the name of WW2 (8 in Nos.)

Ext. M8 — Voucher issued in the name of Sunil but signed by the worker.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3227.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, डब्ल्यू.सी.एल. प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (संदर्भ संख्या सी.जी.आई.टी./एल.सी./आर/57/02) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22012/46/2001-आई. आर. (सीएम-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3227.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. CGIT/LC/R/57/02) of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur, as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Western Coalfields Ltd., and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22012/46/2001-IR(CM-II)]

N.P. KESHAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

Case No. CGIT/LC/R/57/02

Shri C.M. Singh, Presiding Officer

The General Secretary,
B.K. K.M.S. (BMS)
PO Parasia,
Distt. Chhindwara. (MP).

—Applicant

Versus

The General Manager (IR),
Western Coalfields Ltd.,
Coal Estate, Civil Lines,
Nagpur.

—Non-applicant

AWARD

Passed on this 18th day of November, 2004.

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide Order No. L-22012/46/2001-IR(CM-II) dated 10-4-2002 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal :

"Whether the action of the Management of Nandan Mine No. 1 of WCL, Kanhan Area, Distt. Chhindwara (MP) in not providing job to Ku. Shyamwati, daughter of Late Jhanaklal, Mining Sirdar of Nandan Mine No. 1 is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

2. The workman/Union has filed his statement of claim. While the proceedings were in progress, a settlement duly signed by the parties was filed on 25-5-2004. The settlement is duly signed on behalf of parties concerned. For proving the fact that the settlement is duly signed by the parties concerned, Shri A.P. Vishwakarma, Personal Manager, Area Headquarter, WCL, Kanhan Area, Distt. Chhindwara, MP has filed his affidavit. The terms of settlement are as under :

1. that Ku. Shyamwati, dependent daughter of Late Jhanaklal, Ex. Mining Sirdar shall be offered employment as Security Guard (T) General mazdoor Cat I subject to her medical fitness to be decided by Company's Medical Officer and finally verification of antecedents;
2. that the employment shall be offered to Ku. Shyamwati as per clause 1 above within one month of filing of the settlement before CGIT;
3. that sanction of monetary compensation in lieu of employment made to Smt. Agrabai W/o Ex. employee vide No. WCL/IR/MP/23/1038 dated 12-5-99 shall stand cancelled/withdrawn with effect from the date of signing of this settlement.

Since the parties have agreed mutually to the above terms, I have no hesitation in recording the award in terms of settlement raised between the parties. The award is made accordingly with no order to costs.

4. Copy of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi as per rules.

C.M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3228.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, न्यूक्लियर फ्यूल कॉम्प्लेक्स के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद (संदर्भ संख्या 40/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 42012/217/2002-आई. आर. (सीएम-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3228.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. 40/2003) of the Cent. Govt. Indus. Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad, as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the Management of Nuclear Fuel

Complex, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-42012/217/2002-IR(CM-II)]
N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT : Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc., LLB.,
Presiding Officer

Dated the 1st day of November, 2004

Industrial Dispute No. 40/2003

BETWEEN :

Sri L. Satyanarayana,
39-128, Sanjay Gandhinagar,
Guru Gutta, Near Jagatgirigutta,
Hyderabad. ... Petitioner

AND

The Chief Executive,
Nuclear Fuel Complex,
Hyderabad. ... Respondent

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : Sri K. Balagopal, Advocate
For the Respondent : Sri R.S. Murthy, Advocate

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-42012/217/2002-IR(CM. II) dated 13-3-2003 referred the following dispute under section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between the employers in relation to the Management of Nuclear Fuel Complex and their workman.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Nuclear Fuel Complex, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Sri L. Satyanarayana, Helper B Grade from service on the ground of absenteeism is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 40/2003 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The brief facts as averred in the claim statement are : That the Petitioner joined as Helper-B Grade on 23-10-1973. He worked till 15-5-1982 without any remarks. In the year 1979 he applied for the post of Tradesman-A Grade in the Respondent establishment as found suitable and placed in the selected. It is true to say that against future vacancies. On 15-5-1982 the Petitioner

feel ill and was diagnosed to be suffering from Manic Depressive Psychosis. That the disease has the effect that the patient withdraws totally from reality and is incapacitated from perceiving and responding to reality in a rational and responsible way. For this reason it was beyond the capacity of the Petitioner to seek leave from the Respondent establishment. However, for the long duration of treatment that the Petitioner took, his brother L. Anjaiah sent letters periodically to the Respondent establishment explaining the reason for the Petitioner's absence and seeking their indulgence. He could not afford costly treatment and he took treatment with locally accessible private doctors who have specialized in mental disorders and tried his luck with traditional methods of cure at Gajwel in Medak District. Originally he took treatment from Dr. C.A.K. Naidu, a psychiatrist attached to the M.G.M. Hospital at Warangal who treated him from 17-5-1982 to 18-9-1982 after diagnosing his disease as Depression and certified him fit for resuming his normal duties from 19-9-82. However, the Petitioner had an immediate relapse and consulted one Dr. T.R.V. Rao, Specialist in Mental disorders at Hyderabad and took treatment between September, 1982 and May, 1985 and from 22-6-88 to 30-8-90. He was certified to be fit to attend duty from 31-8-90. When he went to Respondent establishment on 31-8-90 he was informed that he was removed from service as far back as 16-6-83. Due to his illness his family was not staying continuously at the Jagatgirigutta address, but was moving between that address and their ancestral village. That is why, notices could not be served to him. He made an appeal against the order but still it was dismissed on unreasonable delay. The Petitioner not even aware of the legal procedures, sent complaints to various political authorities at the State and National level, including Prime Minister of India. In this process a lot of time was consumed. Hence, the said delay, but not intentional and wanton.

3. A counter was filed stating that he was appointed in 1973 under the scale of pay Rs. 75.95 initially in 1973 and was holding the revised scale of pay Rs. 200-3-206-4-234-EB-4-250 on the date of removal of his service. He has remained absent unauthorisedly from 15-5-1982 for his unauthorized absence from 15-5-1982. The said charge memo was received by the Petitioner on 2-9-82. He did not submit any written statement. The Enquiry Officer was appointed. Notice of enquiry was duly sent to his last known address. He did not participate in the enquiry. Accordingly, the enquiry was held ex-parte and the Petitioner was removed from service from 16-6-83. He did not submit any appeal against the Penalty till September, 1990. More than 5 years leave is not permissible unless the President of India otherwise determines. Removal was also published in the Gazette. Two certificates produced by him shows that he availed treatment upto 18-9-92 simultaneously from Warangal and

Hyderabad. The appeal was rejected as being time barred and he gave a complaint to RLC(C) on 19-4-2002. The Ministry of Labour and Employment found it fit to refer the case. Hence, the petition may be dismissed.

4. Usually whenever an enquiry is held, the validity of the domestic enquiry conducted is to be determined first before allowing the parties to lead evidence. However, in this case no such exercise could be made as the Respondent could not file any material papers pertaining to the enquiry said to have conducted by the Management and the correct procedure would have been to permit the Management to lead evidence but however, by over sight and none have not brought to my notice and the Petitioner was allowed to lead evidence. The Petitioner examined himself and another witness and the Management examined one witness.

5. The Petitioner deposed in the chief examination that he joined the Respondent establishment on 23-10-1973 and he narrated the story of his sickness. In the cross-examination he deposed that he received a memo dated 24-11-81 about his absenting from duty. He denied that he was served with memo of charges on 26-8-82.

6. He examined his brother Sri L. Anjaiah as WW2 and he said about the treatment of his brother WW1. In the cross-examination he deposed that his brother could not recognize anyone after regaining conscious in the year 1982. It is not true to suggest that the Petitioner was doing business with him and now he wants to join the job.

7. The Management examined Sri A. Pappachan, Administrative Officer as MW1 and he deposed that the petitioner was appointed as helper on 23-10-73 in the scale of pay of Rs. 75—95. The scale was revised as Rs. 200-250. The Petitioner having employed in the central government and holds a civil post under the central government and governed by Central Civil Services Rules. The Petitioner while working as helper in Zircaloy Fabrication Plant stayed away from the duties from 15-5-1982 without any information or permission thereafter. That the Company is having a duty medical officer for the purpose of medical attendance including recommendation of medical leave. A charge memo dated 26-8-82 was issued to his address and the same was admitted by the Petitioner. The Petitioner having not responded after watching for a long time, an Enquiry Officer was appointed on 10-2-83 to investigate the factual position. The Petitioner or his representatives did not turn up and after the Enquiry Officer submitted his report he was removed from service on 16-6-1983. That is the Petitioner sent a communication on September, 1990 after a lapse of 8 years mentioning that he was under medical treatment in various hospitals from 15-5-82 to 30-8-90. When the Petitioner is entitled to receive medical attendance from the duty medical officer and also receive specialist treatment for any disease in this connection. That his brother also did not inform. It is abandonment

of service deliberately and wantonly. That the enquiry file was weeded out by the efflux of time as per the retention schedule after the stipulated period of 3 years. He marked various documents Ex.M1 to Ex.M17.

8. In the cross-examination he deposed it is not true to suggest that on 15-5-82 WW1 lost consciousness in the premises of Respondent and was physically shifted to his house. On the contrary he absented unauthorisedly on 15-5-82 also.

9. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the absence of the Petitioner was not wanton but due to ill-health. That as soon as he was advised that he was fit on 28-8-90 he went to the Respondent and asked to be permitted to join the duty. When that was rejected he was served with the letter of termination. He immediately filed appeal Ex.M5 and that was rejected he made another representation for review of the decision, which is Ex.M11 dated 7-1-91. After that was rejected he was engaged in petitioning various authorities. May be that effort was ill-advised, but he can not be accused of having slept over the matter. Ex. W4 to W9 are the medical certificates and prescriptions which amply attest to the treatment taken by the Petitioner. That all the medical certificates signed by the medical practitioner, stamped and counter-signed by another medical practitioner. Their names are identifiable. That according to Modi's Medical Jurisprudence & Toxicology, 22nd Edition which shows that in all cases of Psychosis, there is loss of contact with reality, as asserted by the petitioner. The certificates are attested by three different medical practitioners, working at Osmania hospital, Niloufer hospital and Gandhi hospital respectively. How can all these would have been colluded and conspired with this penniless and jobless man. That as MW1 says that he does not remember whether WW1's brother WW2 wrote any letter about his brother. So it may be deemed that he has sent letters. The Respondent claimed that he sent notice of the charge to the Petitioner. No postal acknowledgement is filed. That as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Shanker Chakravarthi Vs. Britannia Biscuit Co. Ltd.*, 1979 II LLJ page 194, that if such a request is made by the management at the first instance it must necessarily be granted by the Court, and if it is made later in the proceedings it may be granted, but there must be a request from the Management for leading evidence in proof of misconduct. The case of the Petitioner is established that no enquiry was conducted at all. That the plea of abandonment of service is not correct because the abandonment of service should be conscious and voluntary. If the workman is prevented from joining duty, there is no abandonment of service. In *Bata India Ltd., Vs. B.H. Nathanni*, 1978 Lab I.C. 386, a workman had overstayed leave because he suffered fracture of leg in a foreign country. His services were terminated under the relevant Standing Orders. But even though he was able to communicate the doctor's certificate only after

his termination, a Division Bench of the Gujarat High Court held such termination to be unsustainable. In the instant case, there was no abandonment of service on the part of the appellant.

10. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Respondent that the maximum period of leave that can be availed by a permanent employees under Rule 12 is 5 years. It is only the President who could intervene to sanction leave exceeding 5 years in exceptional cases. He absented himself from 15-5-82 to 30-8-90 simultaneously with Medical Certificate of doctors and certificate of fitness on 30-8-1990. The same cannot be conceded as minor discrepancy. The ailment diagnosed as "Manic Depressive Psychosis" is not justification to evade compliance when the claimant is being taken case especially by his brother. The claimant relied on Ex. W10 to W15 purported to be postal receipts and acknowledgements addressed to the Respondents on 22-5-82, 8-7-82, 22-7-82, 29-6-83, 28-8-83, 15-5-89 and the text of representations which have been purported to have been dispatched to the Respondents have been deliberately left to mislead the Hon'ble Tribunal on the ground of illiteracy. Hence, he is not entitled for any relief. He also relied on the Judgement 1 LLJ 1992 page 583 of Madras High Court. The brief facts of the case are that the employee absented from duty from November, 1978 to January 1979. He reported for duty on 28-1-79 and produced a medical certificate. Where the government refused to refer the matter and the High Court also did not come to any rescue. As no doubt latches on the part of the Petitioner, and due to non explanation for the delay in filing Writ Petition. He therefore prays that the Petitioner is not entitled for any relief.

11. It may be noted that for arguments sake even if it is admitted that what the Petitioner says is correct that from 1982 to 1990 he was sick, it may be seen that even if he was unfit, the Respondent after having informed by his brother WW2 on 28-8-83 he thought it fit not to inform for another almost five years till 15-5-89. This attitude itself goes to show that the Petitioner's brother was also not serious about his job. WW1 also admitted that he had received memo dated 24-11-81 about absenting from duty. BE that may be so. The Petitioner says that he reported for duty on 31-8-90, and he says that he met Additional Secretary during the year 1991, when his file was not sent he went in person to Bombay and met him. In the year 1995 when the Vice President of India came to visit Apollo Hospital he gave a representation when his representations were forwarded to the Labour Ministry, the dispute concerning his termination was taken up for adjudication. So it may be seen that this person has been taking up his cause and has met Additional Secretary and the Hon'ble Vice President of India and not that he kept quite. The same is not challenged in the cross examination. So it can be safely presumed that his absence from 1982 to 1990 was due to his mental illness. But after 1991 only

two attempts were made, the meeting with Additional Secretary and representing the matter to him and going all the way to Bombay to represent him again and again, representing Hon'ble Vice President of India when he came to visit Apollo Hospital. When he did not have any effect he made further representation to the President of India, to the Prime Minister of India. That may be so. Instead of directly approaching the Assistant Labour Commissioner(C), he has been approaching the higher-ups. When somebody could have informed if he had asked any of the union leaders or any of the employees they would have informed him to approach the Assistant Labour Commissioner(C). But he choose some other method of approaching very high dignitaries. So it is also shows that no doubt he must have recovered from his illness but still some grievance continues in him and instead of following the normal procedure he has been approaching higher-ups. He is aged about 50 years and almost 22 to 23 years, he was out of job, 8 years and odd due to his ill-health and keeping quite for 11 or 12 years or making representations to the higher-ups, not aproaching the appropriate forum. It is not desirable to reinstate him and give a job. By now, he is about 51 years old, but however, seeing the peculiar circumstances of his mental condition as he has worked for almost 9 years, I am of the opinion, that some compensation can be paid to him. So far as his dismissal is concerned it appears to be legal and justified because it is for his brother WW2 Sri L. Anjaiah, who is in toddy business at Jagadgirigutta, Hyderabad only. He should have known and instead of that he went on taking WW1 to various other places like Warangal etc. Therefore, I hold that the action of the Management of Nuclear Fuel Complex, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Sri L. Satyanarayana, Helper-B Grade from service on the ground of absenteeism is legal and justified. However, in the peculiar circumstances, as the Petitioner has put in 9 years of service and suffered mental illness and undergone lot of sufferings and as the quality of mercy is not strained, I am of the opinion that in the peculiar circumstances it would not be unjustified to order a lumpsum compensation of Rs. 20,000 to the Petitioner. Hence, the reference is ordered that the action is legal and justified, however, the Petitioner is entitled for a compensation of Rs. 20,000.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this the 1st day of November, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined
for the Petitioner :

WW1 : Sri L. Satyanaryana
WW2 : Sri L. Anjaiah

Witnesses examined for the
Respondent :

MW1 : Sri A. Pappachan

Documents marked for the Petitioner

- Ex. W1 : Appointed order dated 23-10-73
- Ex. W2 : Certificate of WW1's service by Zircalloy Fabrication Plant of NFC of July, 1979.
- Ex. W3 : Interview Call letter to WW1 by NFC dt. 29-6-80
- Ex. W4 : Medical Certificate by Dr. C.A.K. Naidu dt. 18-9-82
- Ex. W5 : Prescription slip by Dr. T.R.V. Rao, dt. 17-5-83
- Ex. W6 : Prescription slip by Dr. T.R.V. Rao, dt. 20-5-85
- Ex. W7 : Prescription slip by Dr. T.R.V. Rao, dt. 22-6-89
- Ex. W8 : Medical fitness certificate issued by Dr. T.R.V. Rao, dt. 30-8-90
- Ex. W9 : Medical fitness certificate issued by Dr. Nilkantharaj Yadav, dt. 28-8-90
- Ex. W10 : Postal receipt dt. 22-7-82
- Ex. W11 : Postal receipt dt. 22-7-82
- Ex. W12 : Postal receipt dt. 29-6-83
- Ex. W13 : Postal receipt dt. 15-5-89
- Ex. W14 : Postal receipt dt. 8-7-82 lr. to the Manager (P&A), NFC
- Ex. W15 : Postal acknowledgement of registered letter sent to manager dt. 28-8-83
- Ex. W16 : Copy of postal receipt
- Ex. W17 : Postal receipt
- Ex. W18 : Order removing WW1 from service dt. 16-6-83
- Ex. W19 : Order rejecting WW1's appeal dt. 9-10-90
- Ex. W20 : Order rejecting review of the same dt. 30-1-91
- Ex. W21 : Copy of Failure rept of ALC(C), dt. 6-8-2002
- Ex. W22 : Copy to minutes of conciliation dt. 3-7-2002

Documents marked for the Respondent

- Ex. M1 : Copy of Memo dt. 24-11-81
- Ex. M2 : Copy of retention schedule reg. weeding of service records
- Ex. M3 : Copy of lr. No. 05A. 02.2856 dt. 30-12-81
- Ex. M4 : Copy of lr. No. 05A. 02.2856 dt. 23-1-82

- Ex. M5 : Copy of representation of WW1 of September, 1990.
- Ex. M6 : Copy of notification of order dt. 16-6-83.
- Ex. M7 : Copy of Order No. NFC/PA.V/2606/1860/165 dt. 10-2-83.
- Ex. M8 : Copy of Order No. NFC/PA.V/2606/1860/647 dt. 25-5-83.
- Ex. M9 : Copy of Abstract of Rule 12 of CCS (Leave) Rules, 1972
- Ex. M10 : Copy of Industrial Leave Rules
- Ex. M11 : Copy of representation dt. 7-1-91
- Ex. M12 : Copy of medical certificate issued by Dr. C.A.K. Naidu dt. 18-9-82.
- Ex. M13 : Copy of medical certificate issued by Dr. T.R.V. Rao, dt. 18-5-82.
- Ex. M14 : Copy of medical certificate issued by Dr. T.R.V. Rao, dt. 20-5-85.
- Ex. M15 : Copy of medical certificate issued by Dr. T.R.V. Rao, dt. 22-6-88.
- Ex. M16 : Copy of medical certificate issued by Dr. T.Nilkant Ray Yadav, dt. 28-8-90.
- Ex. M17 : Copy of lr. No. NFC/PAR/90/2296, dt. 11-10-90.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3229.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, जवाहर लाल इन्स्टीट्यूट ऑफ पोस्ट ग्रेजुएशन मेडिकल एजुकेशन एण्ड रिसर्च के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, चेन्नई (संदर्भ संख्या 84/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 42012/250/2002-आई. आर. (सीएम-II)]
एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3229.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 84/2003) of the Central Govt. Indus. Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Chennai, as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the Management of Jawahar Lal Institute of Post Graduation Medical Education and Research and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-42012/250/2002-IR(CM-II)]
N.P. KESHAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE
BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT, CHENNAI

Thursday, the 9th September, 2004

PRESENT :

K. Jayaraman, Presiding Officer.

Industrial Dispute No. 84/2003

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), between the Management of Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduation Medical Education & Research and their workmen)

BETWEEN

Sri Subburayan Kuppuswamy

...I Party/Petitioner

AND

The Director,

Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduation

Medical Education & Research,

Pondicherry.

...II Party/Management

APPEARANCE :

For the Workman : M/s. S. Vaidyanathan &
M. Rajendran, Advocates

For the Management : Mr. M. T. Arunan,
Advocate.

AWARD

The Central Government, Ministry of Labour vide Order No. L-42012/250/2002-IR(CM-II) dated 08-05-2003 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

"Whether the punishment of dismissal from service imposed upon Sri Subburayan Kuppuswamy by the Management of Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduation Medical Education & Research (JPMER) is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. After the receipt of the reference, it was taken on file as I.D. No. 84/2003 and notices were issued to both the parties and both the parties entered appearance through their advocates and filed their Claim Statement and Counter Statement respectively.

3. The allegations in the Claim Statement filed by the Petitioner are as follows :

The Petitioner joined the Respondent hospital on 23-3-1983 as safaiwala. The Petitioner had to submit leave application to the Director through proper channel, since he was unwell. Unfortunately, the Respondent issued charge sheet and after receipt of the Petitioner's explanation denying the charges, farce of enquiry was conducted. The Petitioner attended the enquiry on 13-2-98 and denied the charges mentioned in the charge sheet and explained his health condition and showed case records of SSHC to Enquiry Officer for not attending the duty. The enquiry authority accepted the same but found that the charges framed against the Petitioner have been proved. Only due to sudden death of the Petitioner's father, the Petitioner was forced to shift his residence to different place and he was not able to get official communication in time. The Petitioner being an illiterate and Group D staff, he could not intimate the place of shifting and the new address for communication. Subsequently, the Petitioner fell ill and he was not able to walk steadily. He was suffering from chest pain, non-radiating, pin prickling, left sided, vomiting, sweating, fever, Shivering, Asthma, giddiness etc. and he had sent leave application to the Director in time. But, he was not aware of the fate of the leave applications. Only due to his sickness and treatment received for sickness, he was not able to attend his duties. The Enquiry Officer has come to the conclusion that the Petitioner has failed to inform the higher authorities about the change of address and therefore, the findings of the Enquiry Officer is not valid in law. The Disciplinary Authority also imposed the punishment which is not valid in law. The Appellate Authority confirmed the order of the major penalty of removal from service. The action initiated by the Respondent authorities is not valid in law and cannot be sustained. Oral enquiry cannot be conducted unless charge sheet is issued. Further, the delinquent employee should be given an opportunity which is real and adequate and not for namesake. When the enquiry authority concludes the enquiry *ex parte*, he should state reasons as to why he is proceeding *ex parte* and what steps he has taken to persuade the charge official to take part in the enquiry proceedings. In this case, the Petitioner has not been given any reasonable opportunity to defend his case. Moreover the enquiry authority has not taken any steps to enable the Petitioner to participate in the enquiry proceedings. The Enquiry Officer has not considered the genuine reasons of sickness for absence from duty but he had exceeded the scope of enquiry and recorded that he has violated rule 3(i)(iii) of CCS Rules. The Appellate Authority has not applied his judicious mind but on the other hand, he has acted in a biased manner. The Appellate Authority has passed orders on the appeal; after a lapse of 23 months which is too long period to pronounce his decision. The extreme punishment of removal from service is harsh and therefore, the Tribunal may be pleased to interfere with the punishment under Section 11A of the Act. Even after the enquiry the

Petitioner was admitted in the Respondent hospital and he was discharged only in August, 2003. Hence, for all these reasons, the Petitioner prays to declare the order passed by the Respondent authorities as illegal and consequently direct the Respondent/Management to reinstate him in service w.e.f. 17-12-98 with back wages, continuity of service and other attendant benefits.

4. As against this, the Respondent in its Counter Statement contended that Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education & Research is not an industry and it is a teaching post graduate institute with attached hospital functioning under direct administrative control of Ministry of Health & Family Welfare. Therefore, the employees of the Respondent/Management are not bound by rules and regulations of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Therefore, this claim is not maintainable before this Tribunal. No doubt, the contention of the Petitioner that he was absent from duty w.e.f. 20-7-95 without any leave application is true. He was recalled for duty vide memo dated 18-10-96, but he did not rejoin duty. He was also asked to appear before the medical board to assess his illness in memo dated 4-4-97 and 16-4-97. But he neither reported for duty nor appeared before medical board. Therefore, he was charge sheeted vide memo No. Estt. 13(18)/97 dated 11-6-97 for his unauthorised absence as per provisions governed under CCS (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965. The Disciplinary Authority appointed the Enquiry Officer to enquire into charges framed against the Petitioner. The Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry in accordance with the procedure laid down under CCS (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 and it is false to allege that no opportunity was given to the Petitioner. In the meantime, the Petitioner in his letters dated 16-10-97 and 3-12-97 requested the Respondent/Management to permit him to re-join duty and the Petitioner assured in his letter dated 3-12-97 that if he is permitted to rejoin duty, he will not avail leave in future without prior permission or intimation and he will cooperate fully without any prejudice to the disciplinary action initiated against him. But, even in spite of that assurance, he remained absent for duty w.e.f. 29-4-98 without proper leave application while the disciplinary proceedings was in progress for which action, he was again charge sheeted under Rule 14 of CCS (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 vide memo No. Estt. 13(23)/98 dated 9-9-98 for his lapse for second time. The Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry in accordance with the procedure for the first charge sheet against the Petitioner. The enquiry was not decided on ex-parte as claimed by the petitioner. The Enquiry Officer submitted his report dated 11-11-98 in which he has clearly stated that the charge against the Petitioner has been duly proved. The copy of the report of the Enquiry Officer was endorsed to the Petitioner and the Petitioner accepted the findings of the Enquiry Officer in his letter dated 7-12-98 and

informed that he was absent in view of his health condition, but he has not submitted any leave application or medical certificate for his ill health. The Disciplinary Authority after going through the evidence and after careful consideration of enquiry report and other related documents has imposed the penalty of removal from service on the Petitioner. Even the appeal preferred by the Petitioner was rightly rejected by the Appellate Authority. The Petitioner even after rejoining the duties remained absent for more than two years and he did not utilise the opportunity extended to him. Once again he absented for duty while the disciplinary proceedings was in progress. Had he been proper in coming for duty without absenting for the second time, he would not have been faced the penalty of removal from service and it is only because of his own 2nd deeds he met with this end. Though he was charge sheeted twice on 11-6-97 and on 9-9-98 the Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry for the first charge sheet and submitted the report of the enquiry and the action for the 2nd charge sheet was not necessitated as the charges in the first charge sheet itself was deemed to be proved and he was awarded the penalty in order dated 17-12-98. The Petitioner was very irregular and he has not felt his responsibilities and therefore, for all these reasons, the Respondent prays that the claim may be dismissed with costs.

5. In these circumstances, the point for my determination is—

- (i) "Whether the punishment of dismissal from service imposed upon the Petitioner by the Respondent/Management is legal and justified?"
- (ii) "To what relief the Petitioner is entitled?"

Point No. 1 :

6. In this case, it is admitted by the Petitioner that he was absented from duty from 20-7-95 and he was issued with charge sheet on 11-6-97 for which he denied the charge and an enquiry was conducted in which he appeared on 13-2-98 and alleged his absence was only due to health condition. In this case, on the side of the Petitioner Ex. W1 to W13 were marked which are copy of memo issued to the Petitioner on 11-6-97, copy of the letters given by the Petitioner to Respondent/Management dated 16-10-97, 03-12-97 and 04-12-97 and Ex. W5 is the copy of memo of Respondent dated 9-9-98 and Ex. W6 is the copy of Enquiry Officer's report and Ex. W7 is the order of Disciplinary Authority issued to the Petitioner on 17-12-98 and Ex. W8 is the copy of the order of Appellate Authority issued to Petitioner on 9-12-2000. Ex. W9 to W13 are dispute raised before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) and counter filed by Respondent before him in that proceedings. The Petitioner has not examined any witness on his side. Similarly, the

Respondent marked 8 documents which are charge sheets issued to Petitioner dated 11-6-97 and 9-9-98 as Ex. M1 and M4 respectively. The enquiry report of the Enquiry Officer is marked as Ex. M5. The penalty order passed by the Disciplinary Authority on 17-12-98 is marked as Ex. M6. Similarly order of the Appellate Authority confirming the penalty is marked as Ex. M7 and copy of the failure report is marked as Ex. M8.

7. The learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that the chronology of events that charge sheet issued on 9-9-98 and reminder for defence reply sent on 9-11-98 and enquiry report submitted on 11-11-98 and the Disciplinary Authority has endorsed the copy of enquiry report by his letter dated 26-11-98 and imposition of major penalty by an order dated 17-12-98 and these events show that oral enquiry has begun prior to issuance of charge sheet which is violative of disciplinary proceedings and the Petitioner was not given any reasonable opportunity to defend his case.

8. But, as against this, the learned counsel for the Respondent argued that it is false to allege that charge sheet was issued on 9-9-98. The first charge sheet was issued on 11-6-97 and only the 2nd charge sheet was issued on 9-9-98. The Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry in accordance with the procedure laid down under Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 for the first charge sheet alone and the Petitioner appeared before the Enquiry Officer during the enquiry held on 13-2-98. Therefore, it is false to allege that enquiry was decided as *ex parte* as claimed by the Petitioner. The Enquiry Officer has submitted his report on 11-11-1998 in which he has concluded that the charges framed against the petitioner are deemed to be proved and a copy of the report of Enquiry Officer was endorsed to the Petitioner by a letter dated 26-11-98 for his defence or submission. For this, the Petitioner sent a reply on 7-12-98 in which he has accepted the findings of the Enquiry Officer and informed that he was absent from duty only due to his ill health. But, he has not submitted any leave application or medical certificate for his ill health. Further, even while the disciplinary proceedings are pending against the petitioner, he cannot absent from duty w.e.f. 29-4-98 without proper leave application or without any sanction. Therefore, again he was charge sheeted on 9-9-98. The Petitioner in his claim Statement has alleged that he has received charge sheet only on 9-9-98 which is a second charge sheet issued to him since the first charge sheet itself has been proved against the Petitioner, there is no necessity to go into the second charge sheet and he was awarded with penalty of removal from service by an order dated 17-12-98 and therefore, there was no pre-determination or illegality committed by the Enquiry Officer or the Disciplinary Authority.

9. Again, the learned counsel for the Petitioner argued that the enquiry was conducted *ex parte* and the

Enquiry Officer has not recorded any reason for conducting the enquiry proceedings *ex parte*. Further, he has not taken any steps to enable the Petitioner to participate in the enquiry proceedings. It is also alleged that the Enquiry Officer has not considered the genuine reason of sickness for absence from duty, but has exceeded the scope of enquiry and recorded by not informing his higher authorities, his permanent residential address and often changing his residential address without informing his competent authority about his residential address for communication and by absenting himself from duty from 20-7-95 without prior sanction of leave and thus, he has violated Rule 3(i)(iii) of CCS Rules, 1964.

10. But, I find there is no point in the contention of the learned counsel for the Petitioner because since the Petitioner appeared before the Enquiry Office on 13-2-98 and he has pleaded that he was absented from duty for his illness, even before the enquiry or even before this Tribunal, he has not produced any document to show that he was affected by ill-health. On the other hand, from the enquiry proceedings, it is found that the Respondent authorities have issued memos to appear before the Medical Board, but the Petitioner has refused to receive the memo and he neither appeared before the Medical Board nor has given any application for leave for his long absence. Under such circumstances, I find there is no substance in the contention of the learned counsel for the Petitioner that he was affected by ill-health.

11. Again, the learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that due to sudden death of the Petitioner's parents, the Petitioner was forced to shift his residence to different places and he was not able to get official communication in time. It is further allegation that being an illiterate and Group D employee, he could not intimate the place of shifting and the new address for communication and he fell ill and was not able to walk steadily and he was affected from so many complications and though he had sent several leave applications to the Director in time, he was not aware of the fate of the leave applications whether it had been recommended or not and therefore, it cannot be said that he was absented for duty without any leave application or information.

12. But, here again, I find there is no substance in the contention of the learned counsel for the Petitioner because though he has shifted his residence, it is clear that he has not informed his new address to the authorities. Anyhow, from the enquiry proceedings, it is clear that the Medical Board has issued a memo to the Petitioner to appear before the Board for medical examination which memo has been refused by the Petitioner and no reason was given by the Petitioner for refusing this memo issued by the medical board. Further, even though he alleged that he has sent several leave applications to the Director in time, he has not produced any document to show that

he has applied for leave for the period of his absence and further, he has not produced any medical certificate to substantiate his claim that he was affected by ill-health. Under such circumstances, the stand taken by the Petitioner in the claim petition is only to wriggle out the situation. Though, he has stated that he was treated in the Respondent hospital, he has not produced any document to substantiate his claim. Under such circumstances, I find the Petitioner has not established before this Tribunal that he has got reason for his non-attendance.

13. Then, the learned counsel for the Petitioner argued that even assuming that the charges are proved, the extreme punishment of removal from service is harsh and therefore, he prays that this Tribunal may be pleased to interfere with the punishment under section 11A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and reduce the punishment.

14. But, for this argument, the learned counsel for the Respondent contended that the Respondent/Management has taken disciplinary action against the Petitioner for the first charge sheet and in the mean time, the Petitioner has given a representation that he may be permitted to rejoin the duty and under such circumstances, the Respondent/Management has allowed to do so without prejudice to the disciplinary action already initiated against the Petitioner and thus, he was allowed to rejoin duty on 4-12-1997 but subsequently also he remained absent for more than two years. But, he did not utilise the opportunity extended to him and he once again, absented for duty while the disciplinary proceedings was in progress and had he been proper in coming for duty without absenting for the second time, he would not have faced the penalty of removal from service and it is only because of his own deeds he met with this end and only because of that the Disciplinary Authority has taken a serious step because the Petitioner is a habitual absentee for duty and even while he was given an undertaking that he will not absent for duty without any leave application and even while the disciplinary proceedings pending against him, he again absented for duty without any leave application unauthorisedly. Under such circumstances, the provisions of Section 11A should not be invoked in this case.

15. I find much force in the contention of the learned counsel for the Respondent because even though he has stated so many reasons in the Claim petition for his absence, he has not produced any document to show that the absence was only due to his illness. Under such circumstances, I find the absence of the petitioner is chronic and he should not be allowed to continue in service as contended by the Respondent/Management. As such, I find this point against the Petitioner.

Point No. 2 :

The next point to be decided in this case is to what relief the Petitioner is entitled ?

16. In view of my foregoing findings that the punishment of dismissal from service imposed by the Respondent authorities against the Petitioner is legal and justified, I find the Petitioner is not entitled to any relief as prayed for. No Costs.

17. Thus, the reference is answered accordingly.

(Dictated to the P.A., transcribed and typed by him, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this day the 9th September, 2004.)

K. JAYARAMAN, Presiding Officer

Witnesses examined :

On either side : None

Documents Marked

For the I Party/Workman :

Ex. No.	Date	Description
W1	11-06-97	Xerox copy of the memo issued to Petitioner.
W2	16-10-97	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Director of Respondent.
W3	03-12-97	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Director of Respondent.
W4	04-12-97	Xerox copy of the joining report of Petitioner.
W5	09-09-98	Xerox copy of the memo issued to Petitioner.
W6	11-11-98	Xerox copy of the letter from Enquiry Officer to Respondent enclosing enquiry report.
W7	17-12-98	Xerox copy of the order of Disciplinary Authority.
W8	09-12-00	Xerox copy of the order of the Appellate Authority.
W9	18-12-01	Xerox copy of the 2A petition filed by Petitioner.
W10	04-03-02	Xerox copy of the letter of Petitioner to Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central)
W11	18-02-02	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central).
W12	22-04-02	Xerox copy of the Counter Statement filed by Respondent before Assistant Labour Commissioner.
W13	08-05-02	Xerox copy of the rejoinder filed by Petitioner.
W14	18-06-03	Xerox copy of the reply statement filed by Respondent/Management.

For the II Party/Management :

Ex No.	Date	Description
M1	11-06-97	Xerox copy of the charge sheet issued to Petitioner.
M2	03-12-97	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Director of Respondent.
M3	16-10-97	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Director of Respondent.
M4	09-09-98	Xerox copy of the 2nd charge sheet issued to Petitioner.
M5	31-11-98	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Dy. Director of the Respondent/management.
M6	17-12-98	Xerox copy of the order of penalty imposed on Petitioner.
M7	09-12-98	Xerox copy of the order of Appellate Authority Confirming the penalty order.
M8	22-09-02	Xerox copy of the failure of conciliation report.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3230.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एफ.सी.आई. के संबंधित के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद (संदर्भ संख्या एल.सी.आईडी. 232/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-II)]
एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3239.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. L.C.I.D. No. 232/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad, as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of FCI and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-II)]
N.P. KESHAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT HYDERABAD**

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail B. Sc., LLB., Presiding Officer.

Dated the 31st August, 2004

Industrial Dispute L.C.I.D. No. 232/2001

(Old I.D. No. 30/1999 transferred from Industrial
Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Warangal)

BETWEEN

1. Ch. Rajan Babu (Died per LR)
S/o Ramaiah
2. Ch. Bhavani,
W/o Late Ch. Rajan Babu, Age : 25 years
3. Ch. Mahesh,
S/o Late Ch. Rajan Babu, Age : 5 years

(The applicant No. 3 is minor Rep. by their next
friend and natural mother Ch. Bhavani i.e.,
applicant No. 2)

4. Ch. Komuramma.
W/o Ramaiah, Age : 55 years
C/o Dussa Janardhan,
H.No. 1-7-1246,
Advocates Colony,
Hanamkonda.

... Petitioners

AND

1. The District Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Millers Association Building,
Hunter Road,
Warangal.
2. The Senior Regional Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Regional Office, III Floor,
HACA Bhavan, Hyderabad.
3. The President,
Food Corporation of India,
Hamalies Labour Contract Co-op.
Society Ltd., C/o F.C.I. Godowns,
Kazipet

... Respondents

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioners : M/s. D. Janardhan, M.V. Raja
Reddy, Ch. Lingamurthy,
J. Damodhar & J. Yeshwanth Raj,
Advocates.

For the Respondents: Ms. B.G. Ravindra Reddy, P.
Srinivasulu & B.V. Chandrasekhar,
Advocates.

AWARD

This is a case taken under Sec. 2 A (2) of the I.D.
Act, 1947 by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,
Warangal in view of the judgement of the Hon'ble High

Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in W.P. No. 8395 of 1989 dated 3-8-1995 between Sri U. Chinnappa and M/s. Cotton Corporation of India and two others and transferred to this Court in view of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour's Order No. H-11026/1/2001-IR(C-II) dated 18-10-2001 bearing I.D. No. 30/1999 and renumbered in this Court as L.C.I.D. No. 232/2001.

2. The brief facts as stated in the petition by the Petitioners are : That the Petitioner No. 1 was appointed along with other casual labourers by R1 and R1 used to pay the wages through R3 namely FCI Hamlies Labour Contract Co-operative Society Ltd., Kazipet, Warangl-3. The Petitioner No.1 was appointed in January, 1993 as casual labour and he was drawing wages Rs. 16 per day but receiving the wages through R3. The Petitioner No. 1 worked continuously till the end of 31st March, 1997 and lastly the Petitioner No.1 used to receive a wage of Rs. 46 per day. The FCI Management through R3 used to deduct the part of wages and used to remit by adding the equal amount to the Provident Fund Department and so far such amount has not been refunded to the applicant.

3. It is further submitted that in the year 1997 as per the directions of the Headquarters of Food Corporation of India, New Delhi the R1 issued a circular stating that all the casual labours and Hamalies who worked under the control of the Respondents become the permanent employees and their services shall be regularized. Accordingly, R1 called for the applications from the individual casual labours who worked in the unit of the R1. The applicant also made an application along with other casual labourers in 1997 itself by seeking regularization in service and permanent appointment. That the Respondent has taken most of the Hamalies and as well as the casual labours into regular service in the year 1997 except few casual labours. R1 and R2 appointed 25 persons in fresh without considering the applications of the applicant and whereas the said fresh recruits did not work as a casual labour in the unit of the R1 at any time. But at the instance of the then executive body of the R3, R1 misguided R2 and got approved the fresh candidates list for recruitment and regularized their services. R3 intentionally removed the name of the applicant and as well as other persons who worked continuously as casual labours in the unit of R1 at Warangal for more than five years.

4. No notice was issued, no enquiry was conducted, no reason was given for deleting the name of the Petitioner No.1 from the list at the time of permanent appointment of the casual labourers. Hence, the termination of the applicant by the Respondents on 31-3-97, is clearly illegal and cannot be sustained in law being violation of Industrial Disputes Act. That the non-appointment of the applicant who has got the sufficient service is highly arbitrary and fanciful without any reasonable cause and has been

effected the applicant for an indigent person on the road, which is illegal and amounts to unfair labour practice. That the Petitioner No.1 along with other workers got issue legal notice to the opposite parties but there is no response from their side. That the Petitioner No. 1 died and his LRs are applicants No.2 to 4 are added as per the order in I.A. 68 of 2002 dated 29-10-2002 and hence, the applicants No. 2 to 4 are entitled for back wages since 1-4-1997. Hence, it is prayed to set aside the oral termination dated 31-3-97 of the opposite parties and direct them to reinstate the applicant No.2 into service with full back wages, continuity of service and other attendant benefits.

5. A counter was filed denying that the Petitioner No. 1 was appointed in the month of January, 1993. That the Food Corporation of India did not appoint any casual labour or Hamali. It was R3, which engaged the labour on need basis and paid wages directly by preferring bills under contract system as per rates, terms and conditions of the agreement entered into. As per record wage registers were audited by the District Co-operative Auditor, produced by the FCI Hamali Labour Contract Co-operative Society Ltd., Kazipet, the individual Petitioner No.1 was not on the rolls of the society during the years 1994 to 1997 with R3 except for 209 days during February, 1995, May, 1995 to December, 1995, January, 1996 and February, 1996 respectively. That if any amount is pending in GPF he should claim from the Provident Fund authorities.

6. As per Food Corporation of India Headquarters' letter No. IR(L)/32(21)/97 dated 5-11-97 the workers already working there for the past three years and who had worked for at least 9 out of 12 months in the last year and whose EPF deductions were being made will be extended the benefit of Direct Payment System. The Bio-data of each labour presently working in the depots as maintained by the concerned labour Co-operative Society and Food Corporation of India may be obtained in prescribed proforma of Bio-data. That copy of the aforesaid letter has been supplied to Food Corporation of India Workers Union, Kazipet for list of eligible workers for induction. The Society submitted a list of workers in which the name of the Petitioner No.1 does not find place. It is incorrect to state that the applicant has made any application along with other casual labourers in the year 1997 itself for seeking regularization of his services and for permanent appointment. That only eligible labour has been inducted. It is incorrect that R3 intentionally removed the name of the Petitioner No.1 as well as the other persons who worked continuously as casual labour in the unit of R1 for more than 5 years. All the allegations are false and baseless. There is no appointment and there is no question of termination. That opposite party No.1 and 2 are functioning as per law and in accordance with the directions of the higher authority from time to time without

adopting unfair labour practice. That when the reply notices were being prepared the Petitioner No. 1 rushed to the Hon'ble Court. Hence, he is not entitled for any relief as prayed for.

7. R3 filed a counter stating that the Petitioner No. 1 is not the member of the society of R3. That the Petitioner No. 1 has not submitted his EPF number which goes to show that no deductions were made and the Petitioner No. 1 was not a member of the society. That as per the Headquarters' letter dated 5-11-97 Direct Payment System has been introduced in Food Corporation of India owned depots. As he is not a member of the society his name was not forwarded. Hence, he prayed that the petition may be dismissed.

8. The petitioner No. 2, Ch. Bhavani wife of Late Ch. Rajan Babu, Petitioner No. 1 examined herself as WW1 and deposed about the Petitioner No. 1. That initially he was appointed as casual labour in the month of January 1993 and he was being paid Rs. 16 per day. His appointment was continued till 31-3-97 and he was being paid Rs. 46 per day. That as per the direction of the Food Corporation of India, Headquarters, New Delhi, R1 issued a circular stating that all the casual labourers and Hamalies who had worked under control of Respondents become permanent employees and their services will be regularized. Accordingly, he made an application with other casual labourers working under Direct Payment System individually to regularize his services in the year 1997. The Respondent No. 3 intentionally got removed Petitioner No. 1 by influencing R1 and R2 on 31-3-1997. But 25 fresh candidates have been appointed as permanent labourers in the Food Corporation of India godown, Kazipet by ignoring his application. Identity Card is Ex.M1. That he worked under Mr. Shyam Sunder, Technical Assistant, Mr. Md. Gouse, Dust Operator. That he and others got issued a legal notice, Ex. W2 is the office copy. But no reply was received. Ex. W3 is the Xerox copy of the death certificate of Petitioner No. 1. She prays that she may be reinstated in the place of her deceased husband.

9. In the cross-examination she deposed that she do not know the Petitioner No. 1's duties. It is not true to suggest that he worked under R3 but not R1. That he had no connection with R3 society at any point of time. She denied the suggestion that he never worked with R1 and R2. It is not true to suggest that there is no employer and employee relationship between her husband and R1 and R2 and he was never appointed or terminated by R1 and R2.

12. Sri S. Subramanyam, Assistant Manager in the office of the District Manager, Food Corporation of India, Warangal as MW1. He deposed in the chief examination that the handling and transport work was entrusted to R3 society on tender basis. A copy of the agreement is marked as Ex.M1. R3 used to engage his own personnel doing the said work and pay them. The corporation has nothing to do with the contract labour. The third Respondent was

the contractor during the relevant point of time. While so, the Food Corporation of India workers union had raised an Industrial Dispute which ultimately resulted in a settlement between the corporation and the union. In terms of the said settlement the corporation has issued circular dated 5-11-97 which is Ex.M2, providing for introduction of Direct Payment System. As per the formula given in the said circular, the eligible contract labourers in the order of their seniority were inducted into Direct Payment System. There were 498 contract labourers, the list is Ex.M3 during the relevant time and out of them 419 were inducted which was marked as Ex.M4 into the Direct Payment System as per circular dated 5-11-97. That the Petitioner No. 1 worked from February, 1995 to February, 1996 with R3 for 209 days only. That the contract labourers were paid their wages by the contractor and he only remitted the provident fund contributions for his employees. As the Petitioner No. 1 was only a contract labourer he is not entitled to maintain the present Industrial Dispute. Hence, the Industrial Dispute may be dismissed.

13. In the cross examination, he deposed that he took charge only three months back. 119 casual labourers were taken out of 409 workers. They all have come under Direct Payment System. The R3 has not given any acquittance register to their corporation. The mode of work of the casual workers is godown cleaning and other technical operations in the godown. The depot Incharge used to supervise the workers after introducing the Direct Payment System. Prior to the introduction of Direct Payment System their employees used to supervise workers, they are called as technical assistants and dusting operators. It is true that all the casual labourers used to work under the supervision of the dusting operators and technical assistants in the godowns even prior to the introduction of Direct Payment System. But the casual workers were supplied and engaged by the society. It is true that in Ex. M3 the date of appointment of the Hamalies, supervisors and their designations were given in the list and whereas the particulars including designations and appointment of the casual labourers were not mentioned in the list submitted by the R3 society. He is not aware whether R3 raised any dispute after selection of the candidates under Direct Payment System. That they have not submitted any document along with counter. That they have not taken the bio-data of the individual candidates before the selection of the workers under Direct Payment System. He denied that the Petitioner No. 1 is eligible for absorption. It is true that there is a signature of the then Assistant Manager of their corporation on the Ex. W1 issued by the Food Corporation of India Hamalies Labour Cooperative Society Limited. He denied that he is deposing falsely.

14. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioners that as per the circular Ex. M2 the office of

the opposite party has introduced Direct Payment System by taking workers into regular service. This Petitioner No. 1 and others were not taken into service and were removed from service illegally. In another way the recommendation of the opposite party No. 3 about 25 members who did not work for a single day in the godown were taken into service by introducing the Direct Payment System to them. The R3 misguided the opposite party Nos. 1 and 2 and got approved the said candidates list and regularized their services. R3 is mainly responsible for illegal termination of the applicant and others, though there is no valid reason. The Petitioner No. 1 has worked for more than 5 years as casual labour in the godown at Kazipet. The opposite party never issued any notice to the Petitioner No. 1 and no enquiry was held prior to his termination. To the notice dated 13-11-99 there is no reply from their side. That opposite parties admitted that as identity card was issued and EPF was deducted. That opposite party Nos. 1 and 2 selected the casual labourers of the list furnished by R3. That on the application of the Petitioner No. 1 the following documents were called for : (a) Work slips of the casual labourers of the Kzipet Godown from 1-1-97 to 30-9-2000; (b) attendance register of the casual labourers; (c) list of the I. D. issued by the opposite party Nos. 2 and 3; and (d) monthly and daily wages register from 1-1-95 to 30-9-2000. But, even after the directions of the Hon'ble Tribunal they did not produce the documents, so it can be presumed that the opposite parties intentionally suppressed such documentary evidence to avoid to introduce the Direct Payment System to the applicants. The suppression of material documents by the R1 to R3 amounts to suppression of material facts and adverse inference can be drawn against the opposite parties. That the Petitioner No. 1 worked from January, 1993. No enquiry was held and he was dismissed. MW1 only had put in three months of service. He admitted that the casual workers are under the supervision of their employees *i.e.*, technical assistants and dusting operators. He also admitted that particulars of designation of the appointment of the casual labourers are not mentioned in the list submitted by R3 at the time of selection. He also admitted that the opposite party did not submit any documentary evidence along with counter and no bio-data was taken from individual persons at the time of the selection. That he does not know whether the Petitioner No. 1 had submitted the bio-data at the time of selection under Direct Payment System. He admitted that there is no signature of the opposite party No. 2 on Ex. W1. He submits that R1 and R2 are saying that the applicant No. 1 had worked only for a few days and at other time they are saying that the Petitioner No. 1 is not the worker of the opposite party and in another stage they are saying that he worked for some days. That the Respondents failed to produce attendance register, payment register, identity card register pertaining to the casual workers. R1 and R2 also failed to submit the said documents in spite of

direction of the Hon'ble Court. R3 society clearly stated in his counter that the Direct Payment System was introduced and implemented to the workers who worked for more than 3 years particularly 9 months out of 12 months prior to April, 1996. Hence, the Petitioner No. 1 is eligible having worked so. That their EPF was also deducted. Ex. M1 is the contract agreement between R2 and R3 for the year 1994 only. They did not submit the latest agreement for the year 1996-97. Hence, whether there is any agreement held between them in the year 1996-97 is doubtful. When there is no agreement for the year 1996-97 how can the society submit the list for the selection of the candidates under Direct Payment System and how can R1 and R2 consider the list submitted by R3. Hence, Ex. M1 is in no way concerned with the dispute raised by the applicants against the R1 to R3. The last agreement was held in the year 1995-96 *i.e.*, upto 12-11-96 only. But they have not filed any such agreement. So it may be safely concluded that the workers who worked under R1 and R2 till April, 1996 are eligible under Direct Payment System introduced by Respondents. That there is violation of Sec. 25F. Hence the termination dated 31-3-97 is illegal and void.

15. He relied on 2001 LLJ page 201 wherein it was held that the Petitioner No. 1 did complete more than 240 days of service, that Sec. 25F was not complied with, the termination was therefore bad. He also relied on 1996 (3) ALD page 955 wherein it was held that Petitioner No. 1 was appointed on tenure basis giving artificial breaks. Petitioner No. 1's services were terminated refusing renewal and another person appointed. It was held that the Petitioner No. 1 is entitled to protection under Sec. 25F and 25H. He also relied on (2001) 1 Supreme Court Cases page 61, where it was held that the absentee workman was required to join duty by a specific date but when attempted to join duty was prevented from doing so. Held the said standing order would not be used to effect automatic termination of service. Therefore prays that the Petitioner No. 1 be reinstated.

16. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Respondents that the Petitioner No. 1 was never engaged in the Food Corporation of India at any point of time. The handling and transport work was entrusted to the contractor, namely Food Corporation of India Hamali Labour Contract Co-operative Society Ltd., Kazipet *i.e.*, the R3. Ex. M1 is the copy of the said agreement. The contractor used to engage his own personnel. That R3 is the employer of the Petitioner No. 1 and not R1 and R2. The identity card was also issued by R3. That the Food Corporation of India Workers' Union has raised an Industrial Dispute regarding the contract labourers and the said dispute had resulted in a settlement. Accordingly, a circular was issued dated 5-11-97 absorbing the contract labourers under Direct Payment System subject to the terms and conditions of the settlement. Out of 498 contract

labourers during the relevant period 419 were inducted under Direct Payment System. The Petitioner No. 1 who did not fit into the system was not taken under Direct Payment System. That the Petitioner No. 1 was never appointed and therefore question of his termination by Food Corporation of India does not arise. He relied on 2001 2 ALD page 205 wherein it was held that daily wage employees cannot claim regular employment, their disengagement from service cannot be construed as violation of Sec. 25F. He also relied on 1989 2 ALD page 420 Division Bench wherein it was held that contract labour working as Hamali Employee contractors of Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., they are not entitled to be absorbed as badli fillers of the company without their names being sponsored by employment exchange. So further held such workmen employed through a contractor do not become employees of the company. He also relied on 2000(1) LLJ page 561 wherein the Lordships held Law does not prescribe any time limit for the appropriate Government to exercise its powers under Sec. 10 of the Act. It is not that this power can be exercised at any point of time and to revive matters which had since been settled. Power is to be exercised reasonably and not in a rational manner. There appears to us to be no rational basis on which the central government has exercised powers in this case after lapse of about 7 years of order dismissing the Petitioner No. 1 from service. He also relied on 1993 FLR (67) page 70 wherein it was held : lapse of over 15 years in approaching the Court—Deprives them remedy available to them in law—Loses their rights as well. He therefore, prays that the petition may be dismissed.

17. It may be seen that the case of the Petitioner No. 1 is that he is working from January 1993 and worked till March, 1997. He and there are 28 other persons like him who have approached this Tribunal. Respondent submitted that this Court has no jurisdiction under Sec. 2A(2) of the A. P. State Amendment Act, of the I. D. Act, 1947. I would like to clarify one position that this is Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court and amendment of Sec. 2A(2) of the State Government applies to this Court also. Further, as stated in the beginning itself, the Hon'ble High Court by a Division Bench Judgement has held that the amendment is assented by the President of India and therefore, it is applicable to the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad. Hence, I hold that this Court has got jurisdiction.

18. While the petition was pending for adjudication before this Court, the Petitioner No. 1 died on 21-3-2001 and his LRs are brought on record as Petitioner No. 2 to 4 as per orders in IA 68/2002 dated 23-9-2002.

19. Without going into much elaborate discussions it is an admitted fact that as casual labourer the Petitioner No. 1 has worked from January, 1993 to March, 1997. In

view of the identity card Ex. W1 issued by R3 it becomes clear that he was working as contract labour under R3 atleast from September, 1993. No doubt, it is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner No. 1 that Ex. M1 is a copy of the agreement for the year 1994-95 only for the contract work of the godowns between R2 and R3. He submits that there is no agreement filed for 1995 or 1996. Hence, he submits that it can be safely taken as that the Petitioner No. 1 is worker under R1 and R2. It may be seen that previously, the law was that if somebody was engaged by a contractor for prohibited items of contract they would be treated as *ipso facto* employees of the principal employer. As per Judgement in 2001(1) 7 Supreme Court cases page 1 between Steel Authority of India Ltd. and others Vs. National Union Waterfront Workers and others, wherein it was held that, "... Does not imply the concept of automatic absorption of contract labour by the principal employer on issuance of abolition notification". Here admittedly Ex. W1 is an identity card issued by R3, signed by R3 and the Assistant Manager of R1 or R2. In fact, R1 and R2 have given the details of the Petitioner No. 1 who has worked only for 133 days. No doubt, even R3 outrightly denied that the Petitioner No. 1 worked with them, they did not produce any attendance register or any documents. Ex. W1 is issued on 10-9-93 by R3 and signed by Assistant Manager, Food Corporation of India. So it cannot be simply brushed aside as if there is no iota of truth in what the Petitioner No. 1 is saying but he is unable to substantiate as to how many days he has worked. One thing is very clear that as Ex. W1 was issued on 10-9-93, so it may be safely presumed that atleast he was working since September, 1993 and the Government has come up with a scheme and it is not known as to why the name of the Petitioner No. 1 was not sent. However, now there is Direct Payment System, I wonder whether still R3 is given contract or not. Be that may be so. In the given circumstances of the case, the Petitioner No. 1 was unable to give his EPF number also and could not prove satisfactorily as to how many days he worked. But, one thing is sure that he did work under R3 for R1 and R2. It is not the case of R1 to R3 that Ex. W1 is a fake one. Hence, it has to be taken as correct. No documents are filed before me to disprove the same. Why such a chance was not given to these persons, when it was given to 419 persons and why they were suddenly given a go-by on 31-3-97. But as stated earlier in view of the Steel Authority of India case as cited above, they cannot be held as employees of R1 and R2 being contract labour under R3. No doubt, during the pendency of the petition the Petitioner a young man of about 30 years died and Ex. W3 is his death certificate. Ex. W1 is the ID card issued by R3, so the case of the Respondent does not stand to reason that he worked only in 1995. So, not only that the wife of the deceased who brought herself as LR-I has deposed that her husband's GPF number is 414. In fact 419 persons have been regularised and these Petitioner

No. 1 and 28 others like this Petitioner No. 1 were given a go-by. In fact R3 has gone to the extent that he was not a member at all during 1993 to 1997. This is outrightly false in view of Ex. W1 and that the Petitioner is died. The only way that his LRs who, the first one being young wife of the deceased aged about 26 years and minor child aged about 5 years and old mother-in-law aged about 55 years, in the fitness of things I think, I am of the opinion that compensation should be paid to these LRs. As the son of the deceased Petitioner No. 1 is a minor he can not be asked to do job and the wife of the deceased Petitioner No. 1 having minor child and old aged mother-in-law, she can not be asked to work, so she can be compensated. Accordingly, an award is passed directing that R1 and R2 shall pay Rs. 5,000 to Smt. Ch. Bhavani, W/o Late Ch. Rajan Babu, Rs. 5000 to Ch. Mahesh, S/o Late Ch. Rajan Babu, and Rs. 5000 to Smt. Ch. Komuramma, mother of Late Ch. Rajan Babu. R3 shall pay Rs. 3,000 to Smt. Ch. Bhavani, W/o Late Ch. Rajan Babu, Rs. 3000 to Ch. Mahesh, S/o Late Ch. Rajan Babu, and Rs. 3000 to Smt. Ch. Komuramma, mother of Late Ch. Rajan Babu. The amount payable to the minor child should be paid to his mother Smt. Ch. Bhavani. The said amount shall be paid by R1, R2 and R3 within 30 days after publication of this Award failing which the concerned will be entitled to receive the amount with 6% p.a. interest after 30 days of publication of this Award.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this the 31st day of August, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner No. 1	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
--	--

WW1 : Smt. Ch. Bhayani	MW1 : Sri S. Subramanyam
------------------------	--------------------------

Documents marked for the Petitioner No. 1

Ex. W1 : Identity card dt. 10-9-93

Ex. W2 : Copy of legal notice dt. 13-11-99 to the Respondents

Ex. W3 : Copy of death certificate of Petitioner No. 1

Documents marked for the Respondent

Ex. M1 : Copy of tender application, agreement papers

Ex. M2 : Copy of Ir. No. IR(L)/319(21)/97 dt. 5-11-97

Ex. M3 : Copy of list of 419 workers who were taken under Direct Payment System

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3231.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एफ.सी.आई. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद .(संदर्भ संख्या एल.सी.आई.डी. 239/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3231.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. L.C.I.D. 239/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of FCI and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-II)]

N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 31st day of August, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.I.D. NO. 239/2001

(Old I.D.No. 20/1999 transferred from Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Warangal)

BETWEEN :

1. Narmetta Ramesh (Died per LRs)
S/o Rajaiah
2. Narmetta Kamala,
W/o Late Ramesh, Age : 24 years
3. Narmetta Srujana,
D/o Late Ramesh, Age : 9 years
4. Narmetta Srikanth
S/o Late Ramesh, Age : 7 years
5. Narmetta Suresh,
S/o Late Ramesh, Age : 3 years

(The applicant Nos. 3 to 5 are minors. Rep. by their next friend and natural mother N. Kamala i.e., applicant No. 2)

6. Narmetta Buchamma,
W/o Rajaiah, Age : 55 years

C/o Dussa Janardhan,
H. No. 1-7-1246,
Advocates Colony,
Hanamkonda.

.....Petitioners

AND

1. The District Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Millers Association Building,
Hunter Road,
Warangal.
2. The Senior Regional Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Regional Office, IIIrd Floor,
HACA Bhavan, Hyderabad
3. The President,
Food Corporation of India,
Hamalies Labour Contract Co-op. Society,
C/o F.C.I. Godowns, Kazipet.Respondents

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner No. 1 : M/s. D. Jarnardhan, M.V. Raja
Reddy, Ch. Lingamurthy, J.
Damodhar & J. Yeshwanth
Raj, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. B.G. Ravindra Reddy, P.
Srinivasulu & B.V. Chandra-
sekhar, Advocates.

AWARD

This is a case taken under Sec. 2 A(2) of the I. D. Act, 1947 by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Warangal in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in W.P. No. 8395 of 1989 dated 3-8-1995 between Sri U. Chinnappa and M/s. Cotton Corporation of India and two others and transferred to this Court in view of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour's Order No. H-11026/1/2001-IR(C-II) dated 18-10-2001 bearing I.D. No. 20/1999 and renumbered in this Court as L.C.I.D. No. 239/2001.

2. The brief facts as stated in the petition by the Petitioners are : That the Petitioner No. 1 was appointed along with other casual labourers by R1 and R1 used to pay the wages through R3 namely FCI Hamalies Labour Contract Co-operative Society Ltd., Kazipet, Warangal-3. The Petitioner No. 1 was appointed in January, 1993 as casual labour and he was drawing wages Rs. 16 per day but receiving the wages through R3. The Petitioner No. 1 worked continuously till the end of 31st March, 1997 and lastly the Petitioner No. 1 used to receive a wage of Rs. 46 per day. The FCI Management through R3 used to

deduct the part of wages and used to remit by adding the equal amount to the Provident Fund Department and so far such amount has not been refunded to the applicant.

3. It is further submitted that in the year 1997 as per the directions of the Headquarters of Food Corporation of India, New Delhi the R1 issued a circular stating that all the casual labourers and Hamalies who worked under the control of the Respondents become the permanent employees and their services shall be regularized. Accordingly, R1 called for the applications from the individual casual labours who worked in the unit of the R1. The applicant also made an application along with other casual labourers in 1997 itself by seeking regularization in service and permanent appointment. That the Respondent has taken most of the Hamalies and as well as the casual labours into regular service in the year 1997 except few casual labours. R1 and R2 appointed 2 persons in fresh without considering the applications of the applicant and whereas the said fresh recruits did not work as a casual labour in the unit of the R1 at any time. But at the instance of the then executive body of the R3. R1 misguided R2 and got approved the fresh candidates list for recruitment and regularized their services. R3 intentionally removed the name of the applicant and as well as other persons who worked continuously as casual labours in the unit of R1 at Warangal for more than five years.

4. No notice was issued, no enquiry was conducted, no reason was given for deleting the name of the Petitioner No. 1 from the list at the time of permanent appointment of the casual labourers. Hence, the termination of the applicant by the Respondents on 31-3-97, is clearly illegal and cannot be sustained in law being violation of Industrial Disputes Act. That the non-appointment of the applicant who has got the sufficient service is highly arbitrary and fanciful without any reasonable cause and has been effected the applicant for an indigent person on the road, which is illegal and amounts to unfair labour practice. That the Petitioner No. 1 along with other workers got issued legal notice to the opposite parties but there is no response from their side. That the Petitioner No. 1 died and his LRs are applicant Nos. 2 to 6 are added as per the order in I. A. 69 of 2002 dated 29-10-2002 and hence, the applicant Nos. 2 to 6 are entitled for back wages since 1-4-1997. Hence, it is prayed to set aside the oral termination dated 31-3-97 of the opposite parties and direct them to reinstate the applicant No. 2 into service with full back wages, continuity of service and other attendant benefits.

5. A counter was filed denying that the Petitioner No. 1 was appointed in the month of January, 1993. That the Food Corporation of India did not appoint any casual labour or Hamali. It was R3, which engaged the labour on need basis and paid wages directly by preferring bills

under contract system as per rates, terms and condition of the agreement entered into. As per records wage registers were audited by the District Co-operative Auditor, produced by the FCI Hamali Labour Contract Co-operative Society Ltd., Kazipet, the individual Petitioner No. 1 was not on the rolls of the society during the years 1994 to 1997 with R3 except for 20 days and 6 days during May, 1995 and February, 1996 respectively. That if any amount is pending in GPF he should claim from the Provident Fund authorities.

6. As per Food Corporation of India Headquarters' letter No. IR(L)/32(21)/97 dated 5-11-97 the workers already working there for the past three years and who had worked for atleast 9 out of 12 months in the last year and whose EPF deductions were being made will be extended the benefit of Direct Payment System. The Bio-data of each labour presently working in the depots as maintained by the concerned labour Co-operative Society and Food Corporation of India may be obtained in prescribed proforma of Bio-data. That the copy of the aforesaid letter has been supplied to Food Corporation of India Workers Union, Kazipet for list of eligible workers for induction. The Society submitted a list of workers in which the name of the Petitioner No. 1 does not find place. It is incorrect to state that the applicant has made any application along with other casual labourers in the year 1997 itself for seeking regularization of his services and for permanent appointment. That only eligible labour has been inducted. It is incorrect that R3 intentionally removed the name of the Petitioner No. 1 as well as the other persons who worked continuously as casual labour in the unit of R1 for more than 5 years. All the allegations are false and baseless. There is no appointment and there is no question of termination. That opposite party Nos. 1 and 2 are functioning as per law and in accordance with the directions of the higher authority from time to time without adopting unfair labour practice. That when the reply notices were being prepared the Petitioner No. 1 rushed to the Hon'ble Court. Hence, he is not entitled for any relief as prayed for.

7. R3 filed a counter stating that the Petitioner No. 1 is not the member of the society of R3. That the Petitioner No. 1 has not submitted his EPF number which goes to show that no deductions were made and the Petitioner No. 1 was not a member of the society. That as per the Headquarters letter dated 5-11-97 Direct Payment System has been introduced in Food Corporation of India owned depots. As he is not a member of the society his name was not forwarded. Hence, he prayed that the petition may be dismissed.

8. The Petitioner No. 2, N. Kamala wife of Late Ramesh, Petitioner No. 1 examined herself as WW1 and deposed about the Petitioner No. 1. That initially he was appointed as casual labour in the month of January 1993

and he was being paid Rs. 16 per day. His appointment was continued till 31-3-97 and he was being paid Rs. 46 per day. That as per the direction of the Food Corporation of India, Headquarters, New Delhi, R1 issued a circular stating that all the casual labourers and Hamalies who had worked under control of Respondent become permanent employees and their services will be regularised. Accordingly, he made an application with other casual labourers working under Direct Payment System individually to regularize his services in the year 1997. The Respondent No. 3 intentionally got removed the Petitioner No. 1 by influencing the R1 and R2 on 31-3-1997. But 25 fresh candidates have been appointed as permanent labourers in the Food Corporation of India godown, Kazipet by ignoring his application. Identity card is Ex. W1. That he and others got issued a legal notice. Ex. W2 is the office copy. But no reply was received. Ex. W3 is the Xerox copy of the death certificate of Petitioner No. 1. She prays that she may be reinstated in the place of her deceased husband.

9. In the cross examination she deposed that she do not know the Petitioner No. 1's duties. It is not true to suggest that he worked under R3 but not R1. That he had no connection with R3 society at any point of time. She denied that the suggestion that he never worked with R1 and R2. It is not true to suggest that there is no employer and employee relationship between her husband and R1 and R2 and he was never appointed or terminated by R1 and R2.

12. Sri S. Subramanyam, Assistant Manager in the office of the District Manager, Food Corporation of India, Warangal as MW1. He deposed in the chief examination that the handling and transport work was entrusted to R3 society on tender basis. A copy of the agreement is marked as Ex. M1. R3 used to engage his own personnel for doing the said work and pay them. The corporation has nothing to do with the contract labour. The third Respondent was the contractor during the relevant point of time. While so, the Food Corporation of India Workers' Union had raised an Industrial Dispute which ultimately resulted in a settlement between the corporation and the union. In terms of the said settlement the corporation has issued circular dated 5-11-97 which is Ex. M2, providing for introduction of Direct Payment System. As per the formula given in the said circular, the eligible contract labourers in the order of their seniority were inducted into Direct Payment System. There were 498 contract labourers, the list is Ex. M3 during the relevant time and out of them 419 were inducted which was marked as Ex. M4 into the Direct Payment System as per circular dated 5-11-97. That the Petitioner No. 1 worked from February, 1995 to February, 1996 with R3 for 26 days only. That the contract labourers were paid their wages by the contractor and he only remitted the provident fund contributions for his employees. As the Petitioner No. 1 was only a contract

labourer he is not entitled to maintain the present Industrial Dispute. Hence, the Industrial Dispute may be dismissed.

13. In the cross examination, he deposed that he took charge only three months back. 119 casual labourers were taken out of 409 workers. They all have come under Direct Payment System. The R3 has not given any acquittance register to their corporation. The mode of work of the casual workers is godown cleaning and other technical operations in the godown. The depot incharge used to supervise the workers after introducing the Direct Payment System. Prior to the introduction of Direct Payment System their employees used to supervise workers, they are called as technical assistants and dusting operators. It is true that all the casual labourers used to work under the supervision of the dusting operators and technical assistants in the godowns even prior to the introduction of Direct Payment System. But the casual workers were supplied and engaged by the society. It is true that in Ex. M3 the date of appointment of the Hamalies, supervisors and their designations were given in the list and whereas the particulars including designations and appointment of the casual labourers were not mentioned in the list submitted by the R3 society. He is not aware whether R3 raised any dispute after selection of the candidates under Direct Payment System. That they have not submitted any document alongwith counter. That they have not taken the bio-data of the individual candidates before the selection of the workers under Direct Payment System. He denied that the Petitioner No. 1 is eligible for absorption. It is true that there is a signature of the then Assistant Manager of their corporation on the Ex. W1 issued by the Food Corporation of India Hamalies Labour Cooperative Society Limited. He denied that he is deposing falsely.

14. It is argued by the learned Counsel for the Petitioners that as per the circular Ex. M2 the office of the opposite party has introduced Direct Payment System by taking workers into regular service. This Petitioner No. 1 and others were not taken into service and were removed from service illegally. In another way the recommendation of the opposite party No. 3 about 25 members who did not work for a single day in the godown, were taken into service by introducing the Direct Payment System to them. The R3 misguided the opposite party Nos. 1 and 2 and got approved the said candidates list and regularized their services. R3 is mainly responsible for illegal termination of the applicant and others, though there is no valid reason. The Petitioner No. 1 has worked for more than 5 years as casual labour in the godown at Kazipet. The opposite party never issue many notice to the Petitioner No. 1 and not enquiry was held prior to his termination to the notice dated 13-11-99 there is no reply from their side. That opposite parties admitted that as identity card was issued and EPF was deducted. That

opposite party Nos. 1 and 2 selected the casual labourers of the list furnished by R3. That on the application of the Petitioner No. 1 the following documents were called for : (a) Work slips of the casual labourers of the Kazipet Godown from 1-1-97 to 30-9-2000; (b) attendance register of the casual labourers; (c) list of the I.D. issued by the opposite party Nos. 2 and 3; and (d) monthly and daily wages register from 1-1-95 to 30-9-2000. But, even after the directions of the Hon'ble Tribunal they did not produce the documents, so it can be presumed that the opposite parties intentionally suppressed such documentary evidence to avoid to introduce the Direct Payment System to the applicants. The suppression of material documents by the R1 to R3, amounts to suppression of material facts and adverse inference can be drawn against the opposite parties. That the Petitioner No. 1 worked from January, 1993. No enquiry was held and he was dismissed. MW1 only had put in three months of service. He admitted that the casual workers are under the supervision of their employees i.e., technical assistants and dusting operators. He also admitted that particulars of designation of the appointment of the casual labourers are not mentioned in the list submitted by R3 at the time of selection. He also admitted that the opposite party did not submit any documentary evidence alongwith counter and no bio-data was taken from individual persons at the time of the selection. That he does not know whether the Petitioner No. 1 had submitted the bio-data at the time of selection under Direct Payment system. He admitted that there is no signature of the opposite party No. 2 on Ex. W1. He submits that R1 and R2 are saying that the applicant No. 1 had worked only for a few days and at other time they are saying that the Petitioner No. 1 is not the worker of the opposite party and in another stage they are saying that he worked for some days. That the Respondents failed to produce attendance register, payment register, identity card register pertaining to the casual workers. R1 and R2 also failed to submit the said documents inspite of direction by the Hon'ble Court. R3 society clearly stated in his counter that the Direct Payment System was introduced and implemented to the workers who worked for more than 3 years particularly 9 months out of 12 months prior to April, 1996. Hence, the Petitioner No. 1 is eligible having worked so. That their EPF was also deducted. Ex. M1 is the contract agreement between R2 and R3 for the year 1994 only. They did not submit the latest agreement for the year 1996-97. Hence whether there is any agreement held between them in the year 1996-97 is doubtful. When there is no agreement for the year 1996-97 how can the society submit the list for the selection of the candidates under Direct Payment System and how can R1 and R2 consider the list submitted by R3. Hence, Ex. M1 is in no way concerned with the dispute raised by the applicants against the R1 to R3. The last agreement was held in the year 1995-96 i.e., upto 12-11-1996 only. But they have not filed any such agreement. So it may be safely

concluded that the workers who worked under R1 and R2 till April, 1996 are eligible under Direct Payment System introduced by Respondents. That there is violation of Sec. 25F. Hence, the termination dated 31-3-1997 is illegal and void.

15. He relied on 2001 LLJ page 201 wherein it was held that the Petitioner No. 1 did complete more than 240 days of service, that Section 25F was not complied with, the termination was therefore bad. He also relied on 1996(3) ALD page 955 wherein it was held that Petitioner No. 1 was appointed on tenure basis giving artificial breaks. Petitioner No. 1's services were terminated refusing renewal and another person appointed. It was held that the Petitioner No. 1 is entitled to protection under Section 25F and 25H. He also relied on (2001) 1 Supreme Court Cases page 61, where it was held that the absentee workman was required to join duty by a specific date but when attempted to join duty was prevented doing so. Held the said standing order would not be used to effect automatic termination of service. Therefore prays that the Petitioner No. 1 to be reinstated.

16. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Respondents that the Petitioner No. 1 was never engaged in the Food Corporation of India at any point of time. The handling and transport work was entrusted to the contractor, namely Food Corporation of India, Hamali Labour Contract Co-operative Society Ltd., Kazipet i.e., the R3. Ex. M1 is the copy of the said agreement. The contract used to engage his own personnel. That R3 is the employer of the Petitioner No. 1 and not R1 and R2. The identity card was also issued by R3. That the Food Corporation of India Workers' Union has raised an Industrial Dispute regarding the contract labourers and the said dispute had resulted in a settlement. Accordingly, a circular was issued dated 5-11-1997 absorbing the contract labourers under Direct Payment System, subject to the terms and conditions of the settlement. Out of 498 contract labourers during the relevant period 419 were inducted under Direct Payment System. The Petitioner No. 1 who did not fit into the system was not taken under Direct Payment System. That the Petitioner No. 1 was never appointed and therefore question of his termination by Food Corporation of India does not arise. He relied on 2001 2 ALD page 205 wherein it was held that daily wage employees cannot claim regular employment, their disengagement from service cannot be construed as violation of Section 25F. He also relied on 1989 2 ALD page 420 Division Bench wherein it was held that contract labour working as Hamali Employee contractors of Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., they are not entitled to be absorbed as badli fillers of the company without their names being sponsored by employment exchange. So further held such workmen employed through a contractor does not become employees of the company. He also relied on 2000(1) LLJ page 561 wherein the Lordships held Law

does not prescribe any time limit for the appropriate Government to exercise its powers under Section 10 of the Act. It is not that this power can be exercised at any point of time and to revive matters which had since been settled. Power is to be exercised reasonably and not in a rational manner. There appears to us to be no rational basis on which the central government has exercised powers in this case after lapse of about 7 years of order dismissing the Petitioner No. 1 from service. He also relied on 1993 FLR (67) page 70 wherein it was held : lapse of over 15 years in approaching the Court—Deprives them remedy available to them in law—Loses their rights as well. He therefore, prays that the petition may be dismissed.

17. It may be seen that the case of the Petitioner No. 1 is that he is working from January 1993 and worked till March, 1997. He and there are 28 other persons like him who have approached this Tribunal. Respondent submitted that this Court has no jurisdiction under Section 2A(2) of the A.P. State Amendment Act. of the I.D. Act, 1947. I would like to clarify one position that this is Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court and amendment of Section 2A(2) of the State Government applies to this Court also. Further, as stated in the beginning itself, the Hon'ble High Court by a Division Bench Judgement has held that the amendment is assented by the President of India and therefore, it is applicable to the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad. Hence, I hold that this Court has got jurisdiction.

18. While this petition is pending for adjudication before this Court, the Petitioner No. 1 died on 6-6-2000 and his LR's are brought on records as Petitioner No. 2 to 6 as per order in IA 69/2002 dated 23-9-2002.

19. Without going into much elaborate discussions it is an admitted fact that as casual labourer the Petitioner No. 1 has worked from January, 1993 to March, 1997. In view of the identity card Ex. W1 issued by R3 it becomes clear that he was working as contract labour under R3 atleast from September, 1993. No doubt, it is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner No. 1 that Ex. M1 is a copy of the agreement for the year 1994-95 only for the contract work of the godown between R2 and R3. He submits that there is no agreement filed for 1995 or 1996. Hence, he submits that it can be safely taken as that the Petitioner No. 1 is worker under R1 and R2. It may be seen that previously the law was that if somebody was engaged by a contractor for prohibited items of contract they would be treated as *ipso facto* employees of the principal employer. As per Judgement in 2001(1) 7 Supreme Court Cases page 1 between Steel Authority of India Ltd., and others Vs. National Union Waterfront Workers and others, wherein it was held that, "..... Does not imply the concept of automatic absorption of contract labour by the principal

employer on issuance of abolition notification". Here admittedly Ex. W1 is an identity card issued by R3. No doubt, it might have been signed by R3 and the Assistant Manager of R1 or R2. In fact, R1 and R2 have given the details of the Petitioner No. 1 who has worked only for 133 days. No doubt, even R3 outrightly denied that the Petitioner No. 1 worked with them, they did not produce any attendance register or any documents. Ex. W1 is issued on 10-9-1993 by R3 and signed by Assistant Manager, Food Corporation of India. So it cannot be simply brushed aside as if there is no iota of truth in what the Petitioner No. 1 is saying but he is unable to substantiate as to how many days he has worked. One thing is very clear that as Ex. W1 was issued, it may be safely presumed that atleast he was working and the Government has come up with a scheme and it is not known as to why the name of the Petitioner No. 1 was not sent. However, now there is Direct Payment System, I wonder whether still R3 is given contract or not. Be that may be so. In the given circumstances of the case, the Petitioner No. 1 was unable to give his EPF number also and could not prove satisfactorily as to how many days he worked. But, one thing is sure that he did work under R3 for R1 and R2. It is not the case of R1 to R3 that Ex. W1 is a fake one. Hence, it has to be taken as correct. No documents are filed before me to disprove the same. Why such a chance was not given to these persons, when it was given to 419 persons and why they were suddenly given a go-by on 31-3-1997. But as stated earlier in view of the Steel Authority of India case as cited above, they cannot be held as employees of R1 and R2 being contract labour under R3. No doubt, during the pendency of the petition the Petitioner a young man of about 28 years died and Ex. W3 is his death certificate. Ex. W1 is the ID card issued by R3, so the case of the Respondent does not stand to reason that he worked only in 1995, so, not only that the wife of the deceased who brought as LR-I has deposed that her husband's GPF Number is 421. In fact 419 persons have been regularised and these Petitioner No. 1 and 28 others like this Petitioner No. 1 were given a go-by. In fact R3 has gone to the extent that he was not a member at all during 1993 to 1997. This is outrightly false in view of Ex. W1 and that the Petitioner is died. The only way that his LRs who, the first one being young wife of the deceased aged about 26 years and three minor children aged about, 11 years, 9 years and 5 years respectively and old mother aged about 57 years, in the fitness of things I think, I am of the opinion that compensation should be paid to these LRs. As they are minors the daughter or sons can not be asked to do job and the wife of the deceased having three children, old aged mother-in-law, she can not be asked to work, so she can be compensated. Accordingly, an award is passed directing that R1 and R2 shall pay Rs. 3,000/- to Smt. N. Kamala, W/o Late Ramesh, Rs. 3000 to N. Srujana, D/o Late Ramesh, Rs. 3000 to N. Srikanth, S/o Late Ramesh, Rs. 3000 to N. Suresh, S/o Late Ramesh

and Rs. 3000 to Smt. N. Buchamma, Mother of Late Ramesh. R3 shall pay Rs. 2000 to Smt. N. Kamala, W/o Late Ramesh, Rs. 2000 to N. Srujana, D/o Late Ramesh, Rs. 2000 to N. Srikanth, S/o Late Ramesh, Rs. 2000 to N. Suresh, S/o Late Ramesh and Rs. 2000 to Smt. N. Buchamma, Mother of Late Ramesh. The amount payable to minor children should be paid to their mother Smt. N. Kamala. The said amount shall be paid by R1, R2 and R3 within 30 days after publication of this Award failing which the concerned will be entitled to receive the amount with 6% interest after 30 days of publication of this Award.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me, on this the 31st day of August, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer.

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner No. 1	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
---	---------------------------------------

WW1 : Smt. N. Kamala	MW1 : Sri S. Subramanyam
----------------------	--------------------------

Documents marked for the Petitioner No. 1

Ex. W1 : Identity Card.
Ex. W2 : Copy of legal notice dated 13-11-1999 to the Respondents.
Ex. W3 : Copy of death certificate of Petitioner No. 1.

Documents marked for Respondent

Ex. M1 : Copy of tender application, agreement papers.
Ex. M2 : Copy of Ir. No. IR(L)/319(21)/97 dated 5-11-1997.
Ex. M3 : Copy of statement by 498 workers.
Ex. M4 : Copy of list of 419 workers who were taken under Direct Payment System.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3232.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एफ.सी.आई. प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद (संदर्भ संख्या एल.सी.आई.डी. 197/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3232.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.L.C.I.D. No. 197/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of FCI and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-II)]
N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, Presiding Officer

Dated the 31st day of August, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.I.D. No. 197/2001

(Old I. D.No. 16/1999 transferred from Industrial
Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Warangal)

BETWEEN :

Sri C. Mojes,
S/o Joel,
C/o. Sri D. Janardhan, Advocate,
H. No. 1-7-1246,
Advocate Colony,
Hanamkonda.

.....Petitioner

AND

1. The District Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Millers Association Building,
Hunter Road,
Warangal.
2. The Senior Regional Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Regional Office, III Floor,
HACA Bhavan, Hyderabad
3. The President,
Food Corporation of India,
Hasmalies Labour Contract Co-op. Society,
C/o F.C.I. Godowns, Kazipet.Respondents.

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. D. Janardhan, M.V. Raja
Reddy, Ch. Lingamurthy,
J. Damodhar & J. Yeshwanth Raj,
Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. B.G. Ravindra Reddy,
P. Srinivasulu & B. V.
Chandrasekhar, Advocates.

AWARD

This case. I.D. No. 16/1999 is transferred from Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Warangal in view of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour's Order No. H-11026/1/2001-IR(C-II) dated 18-10-2001 and renumbered in this Court as L.C.I.D. No. 197/2001. This is a case taken under Section 2A(2) of the I.D. Act, 1947 in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in W.P. No. 8395 of 1989 dated 3-8-1995 between Sri U. Chinnappa and M/s. Cotton Corporation of India and two others.

2. In spite of several adjournments given from 9-1-2003 for enquiry of the Petitioner, for 28 adjournments including 31-8-2004 the petitioner has not turned out. In spite of number of adjournments the petitioners has failed to produce any evidence in support of his claim. There is nothing on record to substantiate the case of the Petitioner. Hence, a 'Nil' Award is passed, Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personnel Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this the 31st day of August, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for
the Petitioner

NIL

Witnesses examined for the
Respondent

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. 3233. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एस.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध निष्पक्षकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद के चंचट (संदर्भ संख्या एस.सी.आई.डी. 191/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-II)]
एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3233.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.L.C.I.D. 191/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-ID)]

N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc., LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 27th day of August, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.I.D. NO. 191/2003

BETWEEN :

Sri Laxmi Rajam,
S/o. Nagamallu,
C/o. G. Vidyasagar, Advocate,
719, Sri Venkateshwara Temple Lane,
Chikkadpally,
Hyderabad-500020.Petitioner

AND

The Chief General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
(A Government comp),
Ramagundam Area-1,
Karimnagar District.Respondents

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. G. Vidya Sagar, K. K. Udaya
Sri, P. Sudheer Rao & D.
Madhusudhan, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. K. Srinivasa Murthy, C. Vijay
Sekhar Reddy & S. Vijay
Venkatesh, Advocates.

AWARD

This is a case taken under Section 2A (2) of the I.D. Act, 1947 in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in W.P. No. 8395 of 1989 dated 3-8-1995 between Sri U. Chinnappa and M/s. Cotton Corporation of India and two others.

2. The brief facts as mentioned in the petition are :
That the Petitioner was appointed as badli filler on

22-9-1997 at Ramagundam Incline-II. While the Petitioner was discharging his duties as badli filler, he was issued with a chargesheet dated 11-2-2002 that he was absent without sufficient cause during the year 2000, alleging that he was unauthorisedly absent during the year 2000. He gave a reply that due to fire accident at Petitioner's native place where his parents are residing and also due to ill-health Petitioner could not reported for duty. He went to his native place at Mallapuram, Karimnagar District and at that time his parents' house burnt due to fire accident, his parents injured in the said fire accident. He was forced to stay at his native place due to his ill-health also. An enquiry was conducted and he was dismissed from service on 31-7-2002. He worked from 22-7-1997 to 31-7-2002. Hence, he may be reinstated.

3. A counter was filed stating that the enquiry was properly conducted. He is habitual absentee. But still a chance was given to him by a letter dated 31-7-2001 by keeping the disciplinary action to be taken against him in abeyance temporarily and to observe his attendance and work performance for a period of six months from 1-8-2001 to 31-1-2002. The Petitioner was also informed that he has to put in at least 20 musters in every months and with a filling performance of 2 tubs for every muster on an average per month. His attendance and performance during the observation period is as follows :

S. No.	Month	No. of Filling musters	Total No. of musters	No. of Tubs filled per month
1.	August, 2001	16	16	29.5
2.	September, 2001	13	13	23.0
3.	October, 2001	13	13	26.5
4.	November, 2001	05	05	11.0
5.	December, 2001	09	09	16.0
6.	January, 2002	09	09	16.5
Total		65	65	122.5

During the year, 2002, he has put-in only 33 musters upto June. Hence, he deserves no sympathy and the petition may be dismissed.

4. Arguments were advanced on the validity of domestic enquiry. This Court by an order dated 12-4-2004 held that the domestic enquiry is validly conducted. Hence, arguments were advanced under Section 11A by both the counsels.

5. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner that sympathy may be shown as the absenteeism was due to various reasons and not want only. Therefore, he may reinstated into service with back wages and all attendant benefits.

6. The Learned Counsel for the Respondent argues that from 1997, since the date he joined his musters were not upto the mark, in 1998 also he put in only 123 days, in 1999 he put in 128 days, in 2000 he put in only 50 days for which the chargesheet was issued. But inspite of giving him a chance by letter dated 31-7-2001 during August, 2001 to January, 2002 he has put in only 65 musters in the five months and during the year 2002 he has put in only 33 musters till June, 2002. Hence, he may be given any chance. Hence, the petition may be dismissed.

7. I have given serious thoughts and in several cases I have given chance when circumstances so warranted. But in this case I am afraid that stretching the quality of mercy by giving any relief to the Petitioner will not be justified because, right from the date of entering into service he has not put in minimum musters. Leave alone that by letter dated 31-7-2001 he was given a chance for six months to improve himself and what does he do during the said observation period from August, 2001 to January, 2002 he put in only 65 musters. Not only that, from February, 2002 to the end of June, i.e., five months he has put in only 24 musters. Can this Court stretch leniency to such an extent where the work of the mine will be much affected. Hence, I am afraid that this is one of the rare cases where no sympathy can be shown. Hence, an Award is passed holding that the Petitioner is not entitled for any relief.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this the 27th day of September, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer.

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3234.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, आकाशवाणी और दूरदर्शन के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार लेबर कोर्ट, अर्नाकुलम (संदर्भ संख्या 12/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/32/2000-आई. आर. (सी-II)]
एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3234.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 12/2001) of the Central Government Labour Court, Ernakulam as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of AIR & Doordarshan and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-42012/32/2000-IR(C-II)]
N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT, LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM

(IN THE LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM)

Wednesday, the 27th day of October, 2004

PRESENT :

Sri K. K. Utharan, B.Sc., LL.B., Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 12/2001 (Central)

BETWEEN :

The Management of Executive Engineer (Civil)
Akashvani & Doordarshan, Civil Construction
Wing, Kakkanad, Cochin-682030.

AND

Smt. Sara George, Thappalodathu House,
Poyyachira, Kakkanad, Cochin-682 030.

REPRESENTATIONS :

For the Management : Sri V. J. Joseph, Advocate,
A.C.G.S.C., Ernakulam.

For the Workman : Sri Asok M. Cherian, Advocate,
Ernakulam.

AWARD

This reference was made by the Central Government of India as per letter No. L-42012/32/2000-IR (C-II) dated 25-6-2001. The dispute is between the management of Akashvani & Doordarshan and their workman Smt. Sara George. The dispute referred is :

“Whether the action of Executive Engineer (Civil), Civil Construction Wing, AIR & TV, Cochin in terminating the services of Smt. Sara George, Part-time Sweeper w.e.f. 1-6-2000 is legal & justified ? If not, to what relief she is entitled to ?”

2. The workman filed claim statement raising the following allegations : The workman herein was working continuously, as part-time Sweeper in the office

of the Assistant Engineer (Civil), Civil Construction Wing, AIR & T.V. Kakkanad for last more than 18 years. Ever since joining duty, the workman was continuously employed in the office of the management. The workman was so employed by the management against the permanent and regular vacancy of sweeper to do permanent and regular works of a sweeper. All the works of a permanent and regular sweeper were got done through the workman and the workman had been duly discharging works thereof entrusted to her diligently and with utmost devotion. Though the workman was employed against permanent vacancy and all the works of permanent sweeper were got done through her by the management, the workman was not extended the privileges and benefits commensurate with the permanent and regular sweeper employed in the office of management. As a matter of fact the workman was treated as part time sweeper against a permanent and regular vacancy to do permanent and regular nature of work as sweeper, with the sole intent of depriving her the status and privileges of permanent and regular workman.

The workman had requested the management several times to regularise her service in the permanent and regular services, since she was continuously employed against a permanent and regular vacancy to do permanent and regular nature of work. However no steps were taken by the management in this regard. On the other hand, the management denied employment to the workman from 1-6-2000 onwards without considering workman's request for regularisation and in violation of the provisions of law governing the workman and management.

It is submitted that denial of employment to the workman from 1-6-2000 is "retrenchment" as defined in Section 2(oo) of the I.D. Act. The workman was however retrenched without complying any of the conditions enumerated in Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act even though, the workman had put in more than one year continuous service in Management Corporation. No notice of retrenched is given nor is the workman afforded pay in lieu of such notice. No retrenchment compensation is either paid to the workman. Thus for the non compliance of the conditons stipulated in Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, the retrenchment of the workman is illegal and ab initio void.

It is also submitted that the retrenchment of workman's services is vindictive and malafide resorted solely to some how defeat the workman's demand/claim for regularisation and clearly in retaliation of demand thereof put forth by the workman. In this regard it is submitted that the management corporation is in the habit of employing workman on part time basis batch by batch against permanent and regular vacancies for the discharge of permanent and regular nature of work, with the motive of denying the status and privileges of permanent

workmen. It is respectfully submitted that the retrenchment of workman's service is illegal and bad for it is vindictive and malafide. It is also unjust and illegal in as much as it is resorted to by management solely to perpetuate "Unfair Labour Practice" which is prohibited by the provision of S. 25 T of I.D. Act, 1947.

Again while retrenching the service of this workman the management corporation has retained workman much junior to this workman without any shyme or reason, thus while retrenching the service of this workman S. 25G of Industrial Disputes Act has been violated by the management rendering the workman's service illegal and unjust.

It is further submitted that the management has taken new hands in service, subsequent to the retrenchment of this workman's service without considering this workman and without affording him an opportunity of re-employment. This action of the management is illegal and violative of S. 25H of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, rendering the workman's service illegal and unjust, apart from exposing it to be malafide.

It is also submitted that the action of the management in retrenching the workman's service militates against and violates the regularisation scheme prevalent in management corporation which governs this workman as well. Denial of regularisation thereunder to this workman is discriminatory and unjust. In consonance with the aforesaid scheme prevalent in management corporation pursuant to which a number of similarly situated workman have been earlier regularised and retained in service, this workman is entitled to be regularised and retained in the permanent service of management. Retrenchment of the workman's service without affording him the benefits of regularisation accruing under the aforesaid scheme is illegal and unjust. This action also reflects malafide on the part of the management.

It is respectfully submitted that the action of the management in terminating the service of workman from 1st June 2000 is illegal and unjust, besides being full and ab initio void. The workman is out of employment from 1st June 2000 without any job. The workman hails from a poor family with no other means of livelihood except for the job in management corporation. Unless the workman is re-instated in the service of management, his family will be driven to great financial difficulties and starvation. The workman is entitled for reinstatement in the service of management with full back wages and other attendant benefits.

3. The management filed written statement raising the following contentions : The above I. D. is not maintainable either in law or on facts of the case. The prayers of the workman in the I. D. is not allowable.

It is humbly submitted that the claims of the workman in this I. D. that the workman was continuously employed against permanent and regular vacancy of sweeper to do permanent and regular work of sweeper is false and hence denied. The work got done from the workman involved part time work of less than an hour job, which involved cleaning and sweeping two sub-division offices, one of which has been shifted to Calicut prior to September 1999. With the shifting of the Divisional Office, i.e. office of the Executing Engineer (Civil) to Kochi from Trivandrum the Divisional Office occupied the building in which the above sub divisions, a cement godown and an electrical godown were situated. The divisional office having occupied the building with its full staff strength started functioning in Kochi from September 1999. In the divisional office's staff strength, there is only one post of safaiwala-cum-farash for sweeping and cleaning purposes. None of the sub-divisions under the divisional office is having regular sanctioned post of safaiwala-cum-farash as the cleaning and sweeping work of a sub-division according to its area does not involve more than half an hour's job. For the part-time work of sweeping the workman was of part paid Rs. 360 a month. The claim that the workman was employed against permanent vacancy is wrong and with false motives. There has been no permanent or regular vacancy in its staff strength except the one stated above against which there already existed an incumbent. The applicant/workman worked in sub divisional office. The staff pattern of the sub divisional office is one post of Assistant Engineer, three posts of Junior Engineers, one post of Clerk and one post of Peon. There is no post of safaiwala-cum-farash. Subsequently, the divisional office shifted to Kochi in the same building where the sub-divisional office was functioning. There is one safaiwala-cum-farash post in the divisional office. So one permanent safaiwala-cum-farash came from Trivandrum.

It is denied the claim that the workman had requested the management several times to regularise her services in the permanent and regular services. It is humbly submitted that the workman was not employed against permanent or regular vacancy. A day's work for the part time workman involved not more than an hour's job for which the workman was of last being paid Rs. 360 a month. With the shifting of the divisional office from Trivandrum, the regular and permanent incumbent had to be entrusted with the job of sweeping and cleaning, which remained a part of the duty of the regular incumbent.

It is hereby denied the claim of the workman that the workman had put in more than one year continuous service. The workman's job did not involve even a full day's work as there was no work for the workman to perform beyond the one hour job a day.

It is denied the allegation that the management in the I. D. is in the habit of employing workman on part time basis batch by batch against permanent and regular vacancies the discharge of permanent and regular nature of work, with motive of changing the status and privileges of permanent workers. The sanctioned post of safaiwala for Kochi divisional office is only one post. The allegation is mala fide.

The allegations in paragraph 6 of the above I. D. are totally false and hence denied.

It is humbly submitted that there has been no instance of taking new hands in service as alleged in paragraph 7 of the above I. D. and hence the same is denied.

As the work involved was for not more than an hour a day, no regular post could be created for the work. There has been no instances of regularisation of similarly situated workman as there existed no such workman.

As the work involved was only one hour job and this remained a part of the duty of the only regular incumbent, the same had to be got done by utilising the services of the regular incumbent.

Hence the I.D. may be dismissed with costs of the management.

4. The workman filed a replication raising the following contentions :—The allegations of the management in the paragraph 2 and 3 of the written statement are untenable. The workman was employed under the employer continuously since the year 1983. Even after shifting one of the Sub Divisions to Calicut, the workman was allowed to work under the employer. The Sub Division was shifted in July, 1999. The workman was allowed to work upto 31-4-2000. This shows that denial of employment to the workman was not due to shifting of the Sub Division.

The contentions in the paragraph 4 of the written statement are incorrect. The workman had requested the management several times to regularise her services. Ever since joining duty, the workman was continuously employed in the office of the management and she was so employed by the management against the permanent and regular vacancy of sweeper.

The averment in paragraph 5 of the written statement are incorrect and baseless. The workman herein was working continuously, as part time sweeper in the office of the management for last more than 18 years.

The averments in paragraph 6 to 10 of the written statement are not correct. It is submitted that the services of the workman was retrenched without complying any of the conditions enumerated in Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act even though, the workman had

put in more than one year continuous service in the management. No notice of the retrenchment is given nor is the workman afforded pay in lieu of such notice. No retrenchment compensation is paid to the workman, as well. Thus for the non-compliance of the conditions stipulated in Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, the retrenchment of the workman is illegal and ab initio void.

It is also submitted that the workman was employed by the management against the permanent and regular vacancy of sweeper to do permanent and regular nature of duties of a sweeper. The retrenchment of workman's services is vindictive and mala fide resorted solely to somehow defeat the workman's demand/claim for regularisation and clearly in retaliation of demand thereof put forth by the workman. Further, the retrenchment of workman's service is resorted to with the sole intent of depriving the workman the status and privileges of permanent sweeper.

It is respectfully submitted that the action of the management in terminating the service of workman is illegal and unjust, besides being null and ab initio void. The workman is out of employment and ever since she is without any job. The workman hails from a poor family with no other means of livelihood except the job under the management. The workman's entire family is mainly depended on her job with the management. Unless the workman is reinstated in the services of management, her family will be driven to great financial difficulties and starvation. The workman is entitled for reinstatement in the service of management with full back wages and other attendant benefits.

It is submitted that none of the objections or contentions raised by the management are tenable or sustainable. The workman reiterates the contentions in the statement of claim. Workman's claims are legal and just.

Hence it is humbly prayed that this Honourable Court may be pleased to pass an award upholding the workman's contention and claims and granting the workman reliefs as prayed for in the statement of claim.

5. The evidence in this case consists of the testimony of WW1 and Ex. W1 to W5 on the side of the workman. Management has not adduced any evidence.

6. The points that arise for consideration are as follows :—

1. Whether the termination of service of Smt. Sara George, part time sweeper w.e.f. 1-6-2000 is legal and justifiable ?
2. If not what relief she is entitled to ?

7. Point Nos. 1 & 2 :—The case of the workman is that she was working continuously without any break as part time sweeper in the office of the Assistant Engineer (Civil), Construction Wing, AIR & TV, Kakkanad for the last more than 18 years. It is also the case of the workman that she was employed by the management against the permanent and regular vacancy of sweeper to do permanent and regular works of a sweeper and all the works of a permanent and regular sweeper were got done through the workman and the workman had been duly discharging works thereafter entrusted to her diligently with utmost devotion. The contention of the management is that the workman was not continuously employed against permanent and regular vacancy of sweeper. The workman is examined as WW1 and marked and proved Ext. W1 to W5. WW1 has deposed that from 1983 onwards she was continuously doing sweeper work in the management institution till she was terminated from service on 30th day of April, 2000. Ext. W1 dated 4-4-1997 is the copy of the letter send by Assistant Engineer (Civil) CCW AIR and TV, Kakkanad to the Executive Engineer, (Civil) CCW AIR & TV, Trivandrum. Ext. W1 reads as follows : "Smt. Sara George, a nearby Resident of this office (Cochin Sub-Division I & II and Electrical Section office) is sweeping and cleaning this office every day, for a lump-sum of Rs. 225 per month which has been sanctioned by the Executive Engineer(C) CCW, AIR, Trivandrum vide letter No. 7(3)/97 AC/1504 dated 14-5-1996.

Now Smt. Sara George is demanding for Rs. 450 per month, considering the Labour Problem in this locality and present wages, the request for enhancement of sweeping charge is justified. Hence it is requested that the request for enhancement of sweeping charge may please be considered as Rs. 360 per month.

A sanction may please be issued for this with effect from 1-4-1997 and which will be paid from the Imprest Account of the Assistant Engineer (Civil)-II. Ext. W1 would show that the workman was doing sweeping and cleaning the office everyday, for a lump-sum of Rs. 2250 per month and the workman demanded enhanced rate of 450 per month and the undersigned in the Ext. W1 letter has recommended to enhance the lump-sum as Rs. 360 per month and requested sanction for the same. Ext. W2 is the sanction order dated 23-4-1997 issued by the Executive Engineer (Civil) CCW, AIR & TV, Trivandrum. As per Ext. W2 the management sanctioned the lump-sum as Rs. 360 per month for doing the sweeping and cleaning work. The testimony of WW1 and Ext. W1 & W2 would show that the workman was continuously working in the management establishment as a part time sweeper for a long period without any break. The management has not adduced any contra evidence. The management has also contended that the workman was not employed against a permanent vacancy. But the fact

that the workman was doing sweeping work in the management institution continuously without any break and she was paying Rs. 225 and thereafter Rs. 360 per month as wages till she was terminated from service on 30-4-2000. It is also the case of the workman that she was terminated by the management on 30-4-2000 without any notice or paying any compensation. The contention of the management is that one of the two sub-divisional offices where the workman was doing sweeping work has been shifted to Calicut prior 1999. With a shifting of divisional office, the division office occupied by the building needs only a Safaiwala-cum-farash for sweeping and cleaning purpose. Considering the area of the building and that now none of the sub-division under the division office is having regular sanctioned post of safaiwala-cum-farash as the cleaning work of a sub-division according to area does not involved more than 1/2 hours job. The counsel for the workman refuted the contention of the management. He would argue that after the termination of the service of the workman appointed another part time sweeper in the office. WW1 has deposed that in addition to the permanent employee the management has appointed another part time sweeper named Clara for sweeping purpose. The evidence in this case would show that the above contention of the management will not stand. After the termination of the service of the workman the workman sent notice to the management through Advocate requesting to reinstate her as sweeper in the management institution. Ext. W3 is the lawyer notice dated 19-6-2000. Ext. W4 is the reply sent by the management to Ext. W3 lawyer notice. Ext. W5 is the extract of the department of personnel and training O.M. No. 49014/18/84-Est.(C) dated 7-5-1985. Regarding the regularisation of service of casual workers not recruited through Employment Exchange before 7-5-1985 in Group-D post. In Ext. W5 it is stated which reads as follows : "Having regard to the fact the casual workers belong to the weaker section of the society and termination of their services will close undue hardship to them, it has been decided as a one time measure, in consultation with the Director General, Employment and Training that casual workers recruited before the issue of these instructions may be considered for regular appointment to Group-D posts, in terms of the general instructions even if they were recruited otherwise than through the employment exchange, provided they are eligible for regular appointment in all other respect." Ext. W5 would show that the casual labourers had been appointed in the department otherwise than through Employment Exchange and that the casual labourers recruited before the issue of this instruction (dated 7-5-1985) may be considered for regular appointed of Group-D post, in terms of the general instructions, even if they were recruited otherwise than through Employment Exchange, Provided they are eligible for regular appointment in all other respects. This would show that the casual labourer

appointed before 7-5-1985 may be considered for regular appointment to Group-D post, even if they were recruited otherwise than through Employment Exchange. As per Ext. W5 the workman is entitled to be regularised in service.

8. In the circumstances and for the above discussions I find that the termination of service of Smt. Sara George, part-time sweeper w.e.f. 4-6-2000 is illegal and cannot be justified. Therefore the workman Smt. Sara George is to be reinstated in service as Sweeper in the management institutions with 1/2 of the backwages.

In the result, an award is passed directing the management to reinstate the workman Smt. Sara George as part-time Sweeper with continuity of service from the date of termination of her service with 1/2 of the backwages and with continuity of service within one month from the date of promulgation of the award.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed out by her, corrected by me and passed this the 27th day of October, 2004.

Ernakulam K. K. UTHARAN, Presiding Officer.

APPENDIX

Witness examined on the side of the workman

WW1 : Smt. Sara George.

Exhibits marked on the side of the workman

- Ext. W1 : Copy of letter dated 4-4-1997 from the Asstt. Engineer (Civil) II, Civil Construction, Kakkanad to the Executive Engineer (Civil) Construction Wing, Trivandrum.
- Ext. W2 : Sanction order dated 23-4-1997 from the Executive Engineer (Civil) Trivandrum informing expenditure for sweeping and cleaning.
- Ext. W3 : Copy of lawyer notice dated 19-6-2000 issued to the Management under the instruction of the workman.
- Ext. W4 : Reply notice dated 30-6-2000 from the Management to the lawyer.
- Ext. W5 : Copy of extract of a circular issued by the Department of Personnel & Training regarding recruitment to Group-D posts.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3235.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, सी. पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण,

हैदराबाद (संदर्भ संख्या 231/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/20/2001-आई. आर. (सीएम-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November 2004

S.O. 3235.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 231/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of CPWD, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-42012/20/2001-IR(CM-II)]

N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc., LL.B., Presiding Officer.

Dated, the 27th September, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 231/2001

BETWEEN :

Sri Y. Ramachandar,
S/o Y. Laxminarayana,
8-81/T6-EA, Temple Alwal,
Secunderabad-500 010.

....Petitioner

AND

The Executive Engineer,
Hyderabad Central Division-II,
CPWD, Kendriya Sadan,
1st Floor, Sultan Bazar,
Hyderabad-500 095.

....Respondent

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : Sri V. Narsimha Goud, Advocate.

For the Respondent : Sri B. Rajavardhan Reddy,
Advocate.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-42012/20/2001-IR (CM-II) dated 31-7-2001 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this

Tribunal between the employers in relation to the Management of CPWD and their workman.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of CPWD, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Sri Y. Ramachandar, Typist-cum-Clerk by oral order w.e.f. 27-7-1996 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief Sri Ramachandar is entitled to?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 231/2001 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The brief facts as mentioned in the claim statement are : That the Petitioner as initially appointed on 29-11-1984 as Assistant Operator (Electrical) through the media of employment exchange in the office of Assistant Engineer in HCESD-I/III, CPWD, National Police Academy Campus, Shivarampally, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad, which works under the control of Director General of Works, C.P.W.D., Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. Accordingly, the Petitioner has been continuously worked till his services were terminated on 19-12-1984 along with fitness certificate. The Petitioner was not permitted to discharge his duty on the ground that he was on leave from 9-12-1984 to 18-12-1984. Ultimately the Petitioner was taken on duty to discharge the duties of typist-cum-clerk as LDC in the month of August, 1989. Accordingly, the Petitioner has worked at HYCSD-I/1 (Civil), CPWD, Hakeempet, Hyderabad upto October, 1992. Thereafter he was asked to work at CPWD, Kendriya Sadan, Sultan Bazar, Hyderabad. Accordingly, he worked so. However, the Petitioner has worked whenever he was asked to work. Accordingly, the Petitioner was asked to work with the Respondent from 1-8-1994. The petitioner continuously worked till his services were terminated on 27-7-1996 orally without following Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. That he was paid salary in the name of Y. Raghu, R. Seetharam, on some times in order to deny the service benefits which amounts to unfair labour practice. That he has worked continuously without any break. That he made representation on 30-7-1996 and again another representation on 21-8-1996 but no reply. Again he sent reminder on 6-12-1997 again, he made a representation on 20-4-1998. No reply. However, the Petitioner received letter dated October, 1998 from the Director General of Works, CPWD, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi informing the Petitioner that earlier original representations and reminders were not received and advised to send the same. Accordingly, he sent all and made one more representation dated 20-4-1999. But no reply. Again he gave a representation on 4-10-1999, a reply dated 3-12-1999 was received by the Director General, CPWD, New Delhi, informing the Petitioner that it is not possible to appoint the Petitioner. The action of the Respondent is unfair. Hence, he is entitled for reinstatement with all consequential benefits.

3. A counter was filed by the Respondent. Stating that the Petitioner was doing casual job work wherever available at various units of CPWD Association due to exigencies of work and he was already paid for the work done. He was not holding the status of an employee in the Department and hence the question of terminating him by oral orders w.e.f. 27-7-1996 does not arise. As the Petitioner was engaged as Assistant Operator (Electrical) on NMR remained absent and turned back to Executive Engineer (Electrical) for casual labour job presumably keeping eye on a white collar job. It is not feasible and obligatory on the part of the Department to await for his reporting back duties on NMR by suspending the work at site. Offer of appointment clearly states that, "(a) This appointment is purely temporary and liable for termination at any time without any notice or assigning any reasons; (b) He will have no claims for the present post with Department against the service rendered here." Therefore, the action of the Department in not engaging him because he remained absent which is after working only for 10 days, for five years without any intimation and his whereabouts were not known to the Department. Subsequently, he worked as Typist in the office of HYCSD-I/1, CPWD, Hakeempet for 16 days only at different spells during a large span of 4 years from 8/1989 to 10/1992. He appears to have been moving around the offices of the CPWD in search of work and worked on casual/job work basis on very few occasions whenever and wherever there is work. After lapse of nearly five years he worked in Hyderabad Central Division No. 1, and Hyderabad Central Division No. III, CPWD, Hyderabad. He worked for 2 days in 1989, 2 days in 1990, 7 days in 1991, 5 days in 1992 in Sub-Division No. I/1. In Sub-Division No. I/3, in 1993 he worked for 91 days. Similarly, in HCD.II, CPWD he worked for 4 days in 1992, in 1993—39 days, in 1994—4 days, in 1995—18 days and 1996—8 days. That he never worked continuously for 240 days. He has not put in one year's continuous service as on 1-8-93 as he has not fulfilled any of the conditions. Therefore, he is not entitled for any relief. It is learnt that the Petitioner is gainfully employed all these years as a job typist and all this false are made to gain sympathy of the Court. Hence, the petition may be dismissed.

4. The Petitioner examined himself as WW1 and marked Ex. W1 appointment letter dated 29-11-84. He worked upto 8-12-84 as Assistant Operator and did not attend to duties from 9-12-84 due to illness. He intimated his sickness on 10-12-84. That he reported to duty vide Ex. W3. Ex. W4 is the medical certificate. Then he stated all those facts he stated in the petition. Ex. W19 to W71 are the payment vouchers for respective periods. Ex. W52 is passed certifying the daily wage of Rs. 50 in the name of Y. Ramachandar and the same was cancelled and its payment was vide Ex. W53 in the name of Y. Raghu. Ex. W60 was prepared in the name of Y. Raghu. But actual payment of it was made through Ex. W61 in the name of

Seetharam. The Respondent changed his name while making payment to avoid regularization. The persons who worked like him are namely, D. Nirmala, Hymavathi, Padmavalli, J. Babu, Lakshmi and Yadaiah were regularized but he was discriminated.

5. In the cross examination he deposed that he was appointed on 5-12-94 under Ex. W1. Due to sickness he could not attend from 9-12-84. He has not given any written notice or moved the Hon'ble Court for reinstatement. He has not filed any document to show that he joined for duty in 1989, 1990 and 1991. As per Ex. W15, he was engaged on daily wage basis on HR payment for doing typing work and arrears (Clerical) work in Sub-Division I/1. He disagrees with the contents mentioned in Ex. W15 with regard to the working days. He has no document to establish as to how many days he worked. Ex. W17 and W18, the attendance register pertaining to 1-11-1992 to 31-8-1995 and 1-9-95 to 29-7-96 respectively were prepared by himself and not by the office of the opposite party. Ex. W17 and W18 are not signed by any employee or officer of the opposite party. He denied that Ex. W17 and W18 does not tally with the working days in the vouchers marked as per Ex. W19 to W71 and not regularly. In the re-examination he deposed that Ex. W26, 43, 44, 46, 53, 55, 58, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 70 and 71 have been signed by him only.

6. The Respondent examined Sri V B. B. V. Siva Prasad, Assistant Engineer in the office of the Respondent as MW1 and he deposed that the Petitioner was doing the job work wherever available in various units of CPWD organizations due to exigency of work and the Petitioner was paid as per the work done by him. He was not holding the status of an employee in the Respondent Department. Hence, there is no question of termination of his services by oral orders w.e.f. 27-7-96. That in 1984 he worked only for 10 days and did not turn up over a period of five years. That he afterwards worked for 4 days in 1992, 133 days in 1993, 97 days in 1994, 21 days in 1995 and 8 days in 1996. He never worked for 240 days continuously.

7. In the cross-examination he deposed that it is true that the records pertaining to 1995-96 were filed and records pertaining to 1992-94 were not filed. His last working day was 10-7-96. It is not true that he worked upto 27-7-96. But he worked only upto 10-7-96. That as per Court's direction they filed 27 documents covering the period from 1-5-95 to 10-7-96 vide Ex. M1 to M27. He cannot say whether the total comes up to Rs. 18,300 and whether Petitioner has worked for 366 days from 1-5-95 to 10-7-96. Ex. M1 to M4 payment vouchers represent the works the Petitioner worked on different days but not for one day but the payment was made on one day. It is not true to suggest that vouchers were issued in the name of Y. Raghu, Y. Srinath, V. Ramulu, Y. R. Raghu, R. Sita Ram and S. Ramulu to deprive the Petitioner.

8. Much has been argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Respondent in favour of the Petitioner and against the Petitioner respectively. But suffice it to say that those arguments need not be repeated here for the simple reason that MW1 in his cross-examination had admitted that Ex. M1 to M27 has been filed by him as per the directions of the Court as they pertain to 1-5-95 to 10-7-96, even if one year is taken a period of 12 months, I have calculated myself it exceeds 240 days and obviously that when period exceeds 240 days in a given year, Sec. 25F compulsorily has to be followed. Hence, the reference is answered as follows : "The action of the Management of CPWD, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Sri Y. Ramachandar, Typist-cum-Clerk by oral order w.e.f. 27-7-96 is not legal and justified. The Respondent is directed to appoint the Petitioner temporarily as Typist-cum-Clerk within 30 days from the publication of this Award failing which the Petitioner shall be entitled to Rs. 2000 per month after 30 days of publication of this Award."

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to ~~Kum.~~ K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me, on this the 27th day of September, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of Evidence

Witness examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
WW1 : Sri Y. Ramachandar	MW1 : Sri V. B. B. V. Siva Prasad

Documents marked for the Petitioner

- Ex. W1 : Copy of appointment order dt. 29-11-84.
- Ex. W2 : Copy of sick intimation letter to the Respondent dt. 10-12-84.
- Ex. W3 : Copy of joining report dt. 19-12-84.
- Ex. W4 : Copy of medical certificate dt. 18-12-84.
- Ex. W5 : Copy of representation dt. 30-7-96.
- Ex. W6 : Postal acknowledgement dt. 30-7-96.
- Ex. W7 : Copy of another representation dt. 20-4-98.
- Ex. W8 : Postal receipt dt. 22-4-98.
- Ex. W9 : EMS speed post postal acknowledgement dt. 12-5-98.
- Ex. W10 : Copy of representation dt. 4-10-99.
- Ex. W11 : Receipt of sending Ex. W10.
- Ex. W12 : Acknowledgement to Ex. W10.

- Ex. W13 : Attendance-cum-payment made sheet for the month of April, 1995.
- Ex. W14 : Copy of letter to the Exec. Engineer, Hyderabad dt. 19-1-2002.
- Ex. W15 : Copy of letter showing the payment made to the Petitioner dt. 27-2-1999 on different names.
- Ex. W16 : Copy of letter to Supdt. Engineer from Exec. Engineer dt. 25-3-1999.
- Ex. W17 : Attendance register maintained by WW1 from 1-11-1992 to 31-8-1995.
- Ex. W18 : Attendance register maintained by WW1 from 1-9-1995 to 29-7-1996.
- Ex. W19 : Copy of voucher dt. 9-11-1992.
- Ex. W20 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-12-1992.
- Ex. W21 : Copy of voucher dt. 21-1-1993.
- Ex. W22 : Copy of voucher dt. 24-2-1993.
- Ex. W23 : Copy of voucher dt. 10-3-1993.
- Ex. W24 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-3-1993.
- Ex. W25 : Copy of voucher dt. 24-4-1993.
- Ex. W26 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-6-1993.
- Ex. W27 : Copy of voucher dt. 7-6-1993.
- Ex. W28 : Copy of voucher dt. 19-6-1993.
- Ex. W29 : Copy of voucher dt. 28-6-1993.
- Ex. W30 : Copy of voucher dt. 13-7-1993.
- Ex. W31 : Copy of voucher dt. 24-7-1993.
- Ex. W32 : Copy of voucher dt. 26-7-1993.
- Ex. W33 : Copy of voucher dt. 12-10-1993.
- Ex. W34 : Copy of voucher dt. 30-10-1993.
- Ex. W35 : Copy of voucher dt. 8-11-1993.
- Ex. W36 : Copy of voucher dt. 2-12-1993.
- Ex. W37 : Copy of voucher dt. 7-12-1993.
- Ex. W38 : Copy of voucher dt. 10-12-1993.
- Ex. W39 : Copy of voucher dt. 5-1-1994.
- Ex. W40 : Copy of voucher dt. 24-1-1994.
- Ex. W41 : Copy of voucher dt. 5-2-1994.
- Ex. W42 : Copy of voucher dt. 19-2-1994.
- Ex. W43 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-4-1994.

Ex. W44 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-4-1994.
 Ex. W45 : Copy of voucher dt. 3-5-1994.
 Ex. W46 : Copy of voucher dt. 24-6-1994.
 Ex. W47 : Copy of voucher dt. 3-5-1994.
 Ex. W48 : Copy of voucher dt. 24-6-1994.
 Ex. W49 : Copy of voucher dt. 29-4-1994.
 Ex. W50 : Copy of voucher dt. 9-5-1994.
 Ex. W51 : Copy of voucher dt. 18-6-1994.
 Ex. W52 : Copy of voucher dt. 8-6-1994.
 Ex. W53 : Copy of voucher dt. 10-6-1994.
 Ex. W54 : Copy of voucher dt. 18-6-1994.
 Ex. W55 : Copy of voucher dt. 16-7-1994.
 Ex. W56 : Copy of voucher dt. 19-6-1994.
 Ex. W57 : Copy of voucher dt. 3-8-1994.
 Ex. W58 : Copy of voucher dt. 30-7-1994.
 Ex. W59 : Copy of voucher dt. 24-8-1994.
 Ex. W60 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-8-1994.
 Ex. W61 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-8-1994.
 Ex. W62 : Copy of voucher dt. 10-10-1994.
 Ex. W63 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-10-1994.
 Ex. W64 : Copy of voucher dt. 1-11-1994.
 Ex. W65 : Copy of voucher dt. 16-11-1994.
 Ex. W66 : Copy of voucher dt. 26-11-1994.
 Ex. W67 : Copy of voucher dt. 23-12-1994.
 Ex. W68 : Copy of voucher dt. 3-2-1995.
 Ex. W69 : Copy of voucher dt. 2-3-1995.
 Ex. W70 : Copy of voucher dt. 10-3-1995.
 Ex. W71 : Copy of voucher dt. 18-4-1995.

Documents marked for the Respondent

Ex. M1 : Copy of voucher dt. nil
 Ex. M2 : Copy of voucher dt. 1-5-1995.
 Ex. M3 : Copy of voucher dt. 1-5-1995.
 Ex. M4 : Copy of voucher dt. 1-5-1995.
 Ex. M5 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-5-1995.
 Ex. M6 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-5-1995.
 Ex. M7 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-5-1995.

Ex. M8 : Copy of voucher dt. 17-8-1995.
 Ex. M9 : Copy of voucher dt. 17-8-1995.
 Ex. M10 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-9-1995.
 Ex. M11 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-9-1995.
 Ex. M12 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-9-1995.
 Ex. M13 : Copy of voucher dt. 7-10-1995.
 Ex. M14 : Copy of voucher dt. 7-10-1995.
 Ex. M15 : Copy of voucher dt. 7-10-1995.
 Ex. M16 : Copy of voucher dt. 13-11-1995.
 Ex. M17 : Copy of voucher dt. 30-11-1995.
 Ex. M18 : Copy of voucher dt. 22-12-1995.
 Ex. M19 : Copy of voucher dt. 8-1-1996.
 Ex. M20 : Copy of voucher dt. 17-2-1996.
 Ex. M21 : Copy of voucher dt. 23-3-1996.
 Ex. M22 : Copy of voucher dt. 15-4-1996.
 Ex. M23 : Copy of voucher dt. 15-4-1996.
 Ex. M24 : Copy of voucher dt. 10-5-1996.
 Ex. M25 : Copy of voucher dt. 18-6-1996.
 Ex. M26 : Copy of voucher dt. 10-7-1996.
 Ex. M27 : Copy of voucher dt. nil

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3236.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एस.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद (संदर्भ संख्या एल.सी.आई.डी. नम्बर 8/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3236.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. L.C.I.D. No. 8/2004) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-II)]

N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT HYDERABAD****PRESENT :**

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 29th day of October, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.I.D. NO. 8/2004

BETWEEN :

Sri Ch. Andraiah,
S/o Mallaiah,
C/o H.No. 2-2-20/B/7-1 8,
II Floor, Beside Anitha Apartments,
D.D. Colony, Baghamburpet,
Hyderabad.

.....Petitioner

AND

1. The Chief General Manager,
Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
Ramagundam Area-I,
Karimnagar District.

2. The General Manager,
Godavarikhani II-A incline,
Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
Godavarikhani,
Karimnagar District.

.....Respondents

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. T. Hanumantha Reddy, P.
Madhavi Devi, B. Ravinder
Reddy, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. K. Srinivasa Murthy, V.
Uma Devi, C. Vijay Sekhar
Reddy & S. Vijay Venkatesh,
Advocates.

AWARD

This is a case taken under Sec. 2 A(2) of the I. D. Act, 1947 in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in W.P. No. 8395 of 1989 dated 3-8-1995 between Sri U. Chinnappa and M/s. Cotton Corporation of India and two others.

2. The brief facts as mentioned in the petition are : That the Petitioner was appointed as badli filler on 16-6-82 and later on regularized his services and appointed as coal filler in 1988. The Petitioner workman had fallen sick in 1998. Whenever he was sick he was

informing Respondents over telephone wherever insisted on written applications. Besides he was a Secretary of the Workers' Union by name S.C. Labour Union and for the past 5 years, he was the General Secretary of their Union. The Petitioner's name appears in the list of office bearers to be treated as protection workmen under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. That the Petitioner's attendance fell short to 91 days during 1998. On 22-7-99 the Respondent issued a charge sheet alleging that he was in the habit of habitual late attendance in duty without sufficient cause. The Petitioner submitted his explanation, yet an enquiry was conducted and the Enquiry Report was dated 3-10-01 was submitted with a copy to the Petitioner and much was said against the enquiry but this Court heard the arguments on validity of domestic enquiry on 8-6-2004 and passed a detailed order on 28-7-2004 holding that the domestic enquiry was validly conducted.

3. It is further averred in the petition that his dismissal from service after the enquiry was conducted. Enquiry Officer gave a report on 2-12-2002 is bad because many people with less muster rolls were continued in service like Sri M. Rajanrao having 88 musters, Sri K. Rajanrao having 83 musters, Sri V. Pasha Mallu having 94 musters and Sri Rangu Lingaiah having 71 musters. They were given only minor punishments or stoppage of increments. Hence, this shows that they were of vindictive attitude towards the Petitioner. It is pertinent that 4 years back the Petitioner has participated in a movement levelling serious allegations against 163 officers of the respondent organization alleging that they were involved in corrupt practices. Under these circumstances the Government of Andhra Pradesh was pleased to constitute a House Committee to enquire into the matter and in the said enquiry Petitioner took active part in bringing to light the acts of the said officials. Hence, the officials bore grudge against the Petitioner resulting in the impugned proceedings which is malafide. Further, the Petitioner organized fast against the illegal removal of office bearers of trade unions. He filed Writ Petition No. 25419/2002 before the Hon'ble High Court of A.P. challenging the dismissal order. The Respondent filed their counter and took objection that the Petitioner has not approached the Hon'ble Tribunal. Hence, he has approached this Tribunal. Therefore, it is prayed that the dismissal order dated 2-12-2002 may be set aside and he may be directed to be reinstated into service with full back wages, continuity of service and all other attendant benefits.

4. A counter was filed stating that the allegation that he used to inform by telephone is wrong. Further it is also mandatory under the Mines Act to maintain hospitals that are manned by the qualified medical practitioners. The contention of the Petitioner that he is General Secretary is far from true. Neither it is a recognized union nor it is a representative status union. That he has fully participated in the enquiry, he pleaded guilty of the

charges. That show cause notice was issued on 8-11-2001. The Petitioner submitted a representation dated 25-11-2001 which was examined carefully and the charges levelled against the Petitioner were proved and the Respondent dismissed the services of the Petitioner from 5-12-2002. That the other four persons mentioned by the Petitioner were also issued charge sheets for absenteeism and whereas the Petitioner although attended 91 days in 1998, in 1999 for 103 days and in 2000 for 109 days, whereas other four mentioned made their attendance substantial and hence, they were given a like punishment or a chance. Hence, the Petitioner has put in 91 days in 1998, 103 days in 1999, 109 days in 2000, 104 days in 2001 and 79 days in 2002 upto September. This clearly shows that he is not interested in working.

5. Arguments were heard under Sec. 11A as the domestic enquiry is held valid by this Court vide order dated 28-7-2004.

6. Much argument is made by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner over the issue that the Petitioner is a union leader and there was grudge against him and the punishment is disproportionate.

7. The Learned Counsel for the Respondent argued that after the issuance of the charge sheet in 1998 also his methods, attitude did not change and he continued the same for not one year or two years but four years, i.e., from 1999 to 2002. That the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that absenteeism should not be taken lightly and further the conduct of the Petitioner from 1999 to 2002 also may be seen which is not a happy one.

8. It may be seen that the Petitioner's conduct is not satisfactory after the issuance of the charge sheet also. He deserves no sympathy. From 1999 till 2002 he did not improve. He has been appointed in 1982 as a badli filler and later regularized as coal filler. His attitude is not sincere and he calls himself a union leader and says that he used to inform on telephone about his absence and the Management never insisted for written leave letter. This appears to be totally false. He as a union leader should know that he can not always telephone and never give any leave letter. Further, his attitude towards his service is also not sincere right from 1998 till he was dismissed. He styled himself as union leader and thought that he can do whatever he likes. He gives the instances of others who improved their attendance to the maximum whereas he continued to be lethargic and absenting himself frequently without any notice. However, I am of the opinion that one last chance can be given to him on certain conditions. An Award is passed directing the Respondent to reinstate him within 30 days from the publication of the award on the last pay drawn by setting aside the dismissal order dated 2-12-2002. The period from 2-12-2002 till he is reinstated shall not be counted for his

service, his period of service shall be counted from 1982 till 2-12-2002 only for the purposes of terminal benefits that too if he puts in minimum musters for 3 consecutive years only then, his services should be regularized as coal filler and the past services will be counted for his retirement benefits. If he is not reinstated within 30 days from the publication of this Award he shall be entitled for the last pay drawn after 30 days of publication of this Award.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me, on this the 29th day of October, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for
the Petitioner

NIL

Witnesses examined for
the Respondent

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3237.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एस.सी.सी.एल. प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद (संदर्भ संख्या एल.सी.आई.डी. नम्बर 198/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-11)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3237.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.L.C.I.D. No. 198/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of SCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-II)]

N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE
BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 11th day of October, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.I.D. NO. 198/2003

BETWEEN :

Sri K. Durgaiah,
 S/o Lingaiah,
 C/o Smt. A. Sarojana,
 Advocate,
 4-5-592, Kutbiguda,
 Hyderabad.

.....Petitioner

AND

1. The General Manager,
 M/s. Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
 RG-2 Area, Godavarikhani,
 Karimnagar District.

2. The Colliery Manager,
 M/s. Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
 GDK, 8A-Incline,
 Godavarikhani,
 Karimnagar District

.....Respondents

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. A. Sarojana & K.
 Vasudeva Reddy, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. K. Srinivasa Murthy, V.
 Uma Devi, C. Vijay Sekhar
 Reddy & S. Vijay Venkatesh,
 Advocates.

AWARD

This is a case taken under Sec. 2 A(2) of the I. D. Act, 1947 in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in W.P. No. 8395 of 1989 dated 3-8-1995 between Sri U. Chinnappa and M/s. Cotton Corporation of India and two others.

2. The brief facts as mentioned in the petition are ; That he was initially appointed as Badli filler in 1987 and was confirmed as coal filler in 1995, charge sheet was issued on 7-3-2001 that the Petitioner has put in only 76 days attendance during the year 2000. Accordingly, an enquiry was conducted. He was issued with a charge sheet and ultimately vide orders dated 13-8-2002 he was dismissed from 9-8-2002 which may be set aside as his absence was not wanton, but due to ill-health of his wife

and younger brother and his wife underwent an operation. As such he has to be with his wife resulting in absents for few a days. Hence, he may be reinstated with back wages.

3. In the counter much is written about the enquiry which need not be repeated here as the enquiry is conceded. In fact nothing is written much except about the enquiry. As to how his performance is during, subsequent to the charge, that he has absented himself in the year 2000 having put in only 76 days attendance. Hence, the petition may be dismissed.

4. Arguments were advanced as it was conceded by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner that enquiry is validly conducted. Arguments were advanced under Sec. 11A by both the counsels.

5. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner has given a statement during the enquiry that he could not attend regularly due to the ill-health of his younger brother and his wife has undergone operation and if the explanation given by the Petitioner is genuine. He ought not to have been dismissed. Further, it is not mentioned in the counter as to how he has attended during 2001 and 2002. That means his attendance was very much regular. Otherwise, it would have found a place in the counter. Hence, he submits that the said order of dismissal dated 13-8-2002 may be set aside and the Petitioner may be reinstated with all back wages.

6. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Respondent that absenteeism is not a thing to be taken so lightly and in the enquiry the Petitioner has admitted his guilt, he says his wife was not well and his brother was not well but he has not filed any documents in support of the same. Hence, the petition may be dismissed.

7. It may be seen that the Petitioner seems to be a regular worker and perhaps he is a habitual absentee because in the dismissal order it is mentioned that his past record has been same and no extenuating circumstances are found to take a lenient view. In the counter there was no mention how he was dismissed on 13-8-2002. Nothing is mentioned as to how was his performance during 2001-2002 whether he continued like that or he attended regularly. Further, seeing that he is appointed as a Badli Filler in 1987 and confirmed as coal filler in 1995 and dismissed from 9-8-2002 vide order dated 13-8-2002, I am of the opinion that one more chance may be given to him with certain conditions. As Award is passed as follows ; "the Petitioner shall be reinstated in the minimum scale of coal filler within 30 days from the publication of this Award failing which he shall be entitled

to the minimum pay scale after 30 days of the publication of this Award. He will not be entitled for any back wages or any other benefits except that his service from 1987 till 9/13-8-2002 shall be counted for the purposes of terminal benefits. The Petitioner shall be confirmed only if he puts in minimum muster rolls for 3 consecutive years”.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me, on this the 11th day of October, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for
the Petitioner

NIL

Witnesses examined for
the Respondent

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3238.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, एस.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद (संदर्भ संख्या एल.सी.आई.डी. नम्बर 213/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22013/1/2004-आई. आर. (सी-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3238.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.L.C.I.D. No. 213/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-22013/1/2004-IR(C-II)]
N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 11th day of October, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.I.D. NO. 213/2002

BETWEEN :

Sri B. Kumar Swamy,
S/o Late Sailoo,
H.No. 10-2-138, Beside Rama Talkies,
Rama Talkies Basti,
Kothagudem-507101

.....Petitioner

AND

1. The General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
Kothagudem. District Khammam.

2. The Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
5 A Incline, Kothagudem.
District Khammam.

.....Respondents

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. Satwath Rana & Mohd.
Salahuddin, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. K. Srinivasa Murthy,
C. Vijay Sekhar Reddy &
G. Praveen Kumar, Advocates

AWARD

This is a case taken under Sec. 2 A(2) of the I. D. Act, 1947 in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in W.P. No. 8395 of 1989 dated 3-8-1995 between Sri U. Chinnappa and M/s. Cotton Corporation of India and two others.

2. The brief facts as mentioned in the petition are : That the Petitioner was sponsored by employment exchange, Kothagudem and selected for the post of Badli filler and was appointed as Badli Filler in 5 B incline in the year 1983 having been trained and terminated for some time for want of requirement and again he is appointed vide appointment letter dated 31-1-1984. Again his services were terminated on 13-5-1985. The Petitioner is again called for appointment through letter dated 21-4-90 and resumed his duties from 20-5-90. Having joined duty on 20-5-90 at 5 B incline the Petitioner is transferred and posted to 5A incline on 15-9-90 and in August, 1991 his services were terminated although he has put up 133 musters from May, 1990 to June, 1991. The repeated representations of the Petitioner were of no use. The Management taking a different yardstick in case

of the Petitioner omitted his name in the office order dated 20-7-93 wherein all the other dis-empanelled workers are taken back on duty. That the union ultimately came to a settlement with all those workers who are terminated from 1997 will be considered. Hence, the oral termination dated 15-7-91 may be set aside and he may be reinstated with full back wages.

3. A counter was filed stating that the Petitioner was not regular in service. His services were terminated. But after entering into a settlement with the union the Management agreed to review and consider the cases of badlies/floating badlies whose services were terminated/dis-empanelled on or after 1-1-85 due to absenteeism for re-employment as badlies if they were medically found fit within two months from the date of settlement. At the request of the Petitioner he was called for interview and posted to work at 5 B incline on 15-5-90. His appointment was for a period of 12 months and he should put in 190/240 musters. He has put in only 133 musters against the stipulated musters of 190. As such his services were terminated. Hence, he is not entitled for any relief.

4. The Petitioner was continuously absent and hence on the material available before me it can be clearly seen that this Petitioner was taken for 12 months, for the 3rd or 4th time, still he did not sincerely work and worked only for 133 days instead of 190 days and that happened till 15-7-91 and further he has not come to the witness box to prove bonafides in this case. Suffice it to say that his approaching the Court after a lapse of 11 years itself goes to show that he is not interested and further he did not conduct the case before this Court and he is continuously absent from 19-9-2003 goes to show that he is not interested and there is no material before me to come to a conclusion otherwise that the Petitioner is entitled for any benefit. Hence, I hold that the Petitioner is not entitled for any relief.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me, on this the 11th day of October, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
--	--

NIL

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3239.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार केनरा बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 257/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/31/2002-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3239.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award Ref. 257/2002 of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Canara Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12012/31/2002-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 27th day of September, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 257/2002

BETWEEN :

Sri N. Krishna,
S/o N. Dathu,
H.No. 7-1-164, Ameerpet,
Above ABC Copy Centre,
Hyderabad-500016.

....Petitioner

AND

The Dy. General Manager,
Canara Bank,
Circle Office, Opp. Old MLA Qtrs.,
Hyderabad-500007.

....Respondent

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : Sri K. Narayana Rao,
Advocate

For the Respondent : M/s. P. Suresh & K. Rajendra
Prasad, Advocates

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-12012/31/2002-IR(B.II) dated 28-6-2002 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between the employers in relation to the Management of Canara Bank and their workman.

SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the management of Canara Bank in ordering compulsory retirement from service to Shri N. Krishna, workman vide order dated 21-8-2000 is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to ?”

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 257/2002 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The brief facts as mentioned in the claim statement are : That the Petitioner has worked for 22 years as clerk with R1 upto 8-11-1999. It is submitted that a charge sheet was issued on 6-12-99 alleging that the workman has abused the Branch Manager and for that a punishment of compulsory retirement is imposed which is disproportionate to the alleged offence on 8-11-99 for granting festival loan and as there was lot of murmuring that the Petitioner is an indecent man. He remained absent from 9-11-99 to 18-12-99. That for the above period he submitted leave application with medical certificates and the intention was to prevent further misunderstanding and provocation of disturbance of peace and harmony. The Respondent lodged a police complaint against the Petitioner and the same is disposed off by the Hon'ble Magistrate with CC No. 275/99 under Sec. 506 of IPC by Hon'ble VI Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad. Hence, the order of dismissal may be set aside and he may be reinstated.

3. A counter was filed stating that the Petitioner has a past record of threatening his immediate superiors and earlier also no charge sheets were issued to him. On 26-5-94, the Petitioner was issued a charge sheet for threatening his officer and snatching away the loan application from the hands of the officer. On 14-7-94 vide proceedings No. HDAC 436 E 3794, a punishment of Censure was imposed. On 22-11-94, he was issued with another charge sheet for manhandling the Senior Manager of Mettuguda branch Sri K. Yagna Narayana for throwing the brief case against the wooden cabin, causing damage to cabin. For this act he was imposed the punishment of—Stoppage of increment for a period of three years with cumulative effect. The Petitioner in spite of the above threatened the Senior Manager that he would kill him and II Line Manager. On 8-11-99 while the Petitioner was working as clerk at Lallapet branch, Hyderabad he entered the cabin and shouted in Telugu, translation of which is as follows, “Earlier, I bet two Managers, Now, I will not touch them. I will finish them in a lorry accident.

It is enough if I give a phone call to Vijayawada lorry. When, they proceed by scooter, if lorry coming from behind runs over them, they will be finished”. Hence, after a detailed enquiry, the punishment of compulsory retirement was imposed. Hence, the petition may be dismissed.

4. An enquiry was conducted. Actually it ought to have been decided, whether domestic enquiry was conducted validly. But, unfortunately, by oversight, it was not done in the present case. Unfortunately, somehow, the said point was missed and neither the Petitioner's Counsel brought to my notice nor the Respondent's counsel and witnesses were allowed to be examined. Any way what is done cannot be undone. As the witnesses are examined and arguments were advanced, I have now no option but to go through the evidence.

5. The Petitioner deposed the same facts as in the petition, in the chief examination and said that he joined as sweeper in the year 1970 and subsequently he was promoted as attender in the year 1978. Late he got promotion as a clerk in 1982. That he was acquitted by the Metropolitan Magistrate vide Ex. W1 dt. 19-6-2002. In the cross examination he admitted that on 14-7-94, a punishment of censure was imposed for threatening his officer and snatching away the loan application. He also admitted that punishment for the stoppage of increment for three years with cumulative effect was imposed for man handling Senior Manager of Mettuguda branch and throwing suit case and abusing Sri K. Yagna Narayana. It is true that on 6-12-99 after issuing charge sheet he participated in the enquiry and he was awarded compulsory retirement. That for absence also a charge sheet was issued on 18-11-99. It is true that in these 22 years of service he was charge sheeted number of times and punished several times.

6. The Management examined Sri Y. Koteswara Rao, Senior Manager, whom the Petitioner has threatened on 8-11-99 stating, “You are responsible, you have not recommended for sanction of loan and I will not leave you and the bank and see your end”. Again he said, “I have beaten two Managers, this time I see that I arrange lorry accidents and these people are killed”. The Petitioner was absent from 9-11-1991 to 31-3-2000 unauthorisedly. On 27-11-99 the Petitioner came to the branch and wanted to discuss with him. He informed him that as his daughter was unwell, he had to go to hospital, he requested the 2nd Line Manager, Sri M.M. Reddy to close the premises. The Petitioner went to Sri M. M. Reddy and was conversant with him. After closing the premises Sri M.M. Reddy came and informed him and then the Petitioner asked him why he was giving evidence against him in Departmental Enquiry and threatened him that he would see his end. The Petitioner has come in a car with another person. That they were afraid and waited, when they were going he followed in the car. They got afraid and

telephoned to the police. In the cross examination he stated that WW1 sent an application without signature.

7. The Management examined Sri M. Mallikarjuna Reddy, Senior Manager who also stated that the Petitioner threatened him that he would see him and about his following in the car. He was cross examined and denied that no such incident took place.

8. Sri V. Kesava Rao, Chief Manager, Munirka branch, New Delhi deposed as MW3. That Ex. M4 is the enquiry proceedings and all that. In the cross examination it is deposed that he did not actively participate in the enquiry till the Court decides the criminal case, the enquiry may be pending. By that time he gave the enquiry report as he is the Enquiry Officer.

9. Much has been argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner. That as he has acquitted in the criminal case his case may be considered sympathetically. When the criminal court has acquitted him there is no question of his being compulsorily retired by conducting domestic enquiry.

10. The Learned Counsel for the Respondent submitted that in view of his categorical admission that he was several times charge sheeted and punished and previously also punishment of stoppage of increment for three years with cumulative effect. Hence, he is not entitled to any relief.

11. It may be seen that it would not be desirable to reinstate such a person, if he goes back into the bank where the staff is supposed to be polite not only to the valued customers but also with the co-staff and superior staff. Reinstating such a person, although might have been acquitted in the criminal case is adding insult to the injury and further although belated due to mistake, but, I have gone through the enquiry and apparently there is nothing to hold that the domestic enquiry is not validly conducted, because the Enquiry Officer fulfilled all the five conditions laid down in A.I.R. 1963 page 1914. The Hon'ble Supreme Court for deciding validity of an enquiry. Hence, I also hold that as all the five conditions mentioned in the above Judgement viz., "(1) The employee proceeded against has been informed clearly of the charges levelled against him; (2) The witnesses are examined—ordinarily in the presence of the employee—in respect of the charges; (3) The employee is given a fair opportunity to cross examine the witnesses; (4) He is given a fair opportunity to examine witnesses including himself in his defence if he so wishes on any relevant matter; and (5) The Enquiry Officer records his findings with reasons for the same in his report" are fulfilled, the domestic enquiry conducted is valid. Hence, the reference is answered as follows: "The action of the Management of Canara Bank in ordering compulsory retirement from service to Shri N. Krishna, workman vide order dated 21-8-2000 is legal and justified. Further, the Petitioner is entitled to Rs. 44,000 as

compensation for having put in 22 years of services besides other benefits. The said lenient view is shown because he has still got 10 years of service and perhaps the only fault with him is he is apparently arrogant. Hence, the Respondent bank is directed to pay Rs. 44,000 to the Petitioner within 30 days from the publication of this Award, failing which he will be entitled to receive Rs. 44,000 with 6% p.a. interest after 30 days of publication of this Award.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me, on this the 27th day of September, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
WW1 : Sri N. Krishna	MW 1 : Sri Y. Koteswara Rao
	MW 2 : Sri M. Mallikarjuna Reddy
	MW 3 : Sri V. Kesava Rao

Documents marked for the Petitioner

Ex. W1 : Copy of order in C.C. No. 389/2000 dt. 19-6-2002

Documents marked for the Respondent

Ex.M1 : Lr. No. 10 : HO : Staff : 644 : 99 : YKR dt. 9-11-99
 Ex. M2 : Lr. dt. 10-11-99 by Sri Y. Koteswara Rao to the Investigating Officer
 Ex. M3 : Office copy of the Lr. No. ENQ : NK : 1 : 2000 to WW1 dt. 4-2-2000
 Ex. M4 : Enquiry proceedings dt. 3-2-2000
 Ex. M5 : Enquiry proceedings dt. 21-2-2000
 Ex. M6 : Enquiry proceedings dt. 13-3-2000
 Ex. M7 : Enquiry proceedings dt. 14-3-2000
 Ex. M8 : Enquiry proceedings dt. 17-4-2000
 Ex. M9 : Findings of the Enquiry Officer dt. 6-12-99

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3240.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सिंडिकेट बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 3/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12011/166/2001-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

SCHEDULE

S.O. 3240.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 3/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Syndicate Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12011/166/2001-IR(B-II)]
C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 19th day of October, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 3/2002

BETWEEN :

The General Secretary,
Syndicate Bank Staff Association,
Anand Plaza, II Floor,
Near Anand Rao Circle,
Bangalore-9.

.....Petitioner/Union

AND

The Dy. General Manager,
Syndicate Bank,
SB, Zonal Office,
Pioneer House, 6-3-653,
Somajiguda,
Hyderabad-500082.

.....Respondent/Management

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. D. Vijaya Krishna
Mohan, K. Pinakapani &
Y. Sesidhar Reddy, Advocates

For the Respondent : M/s. A. Krishnam Raju,
G. Dinesh Kumar, G.V.N.
Babu, N.P. Rao & T.P. Das,
Advocates

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-12011/166/2001-IR(B-II) dated 28-11-2001 referred the following dispute under section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between the employers in relation to the Management of Syndicate Bank and their workman.

"Whether the action of the management of the Syndicate Bank, Zonal Office, Hyderabad in ordering dismissal of the services of Sri P. Vinod Kumar, Attender is justified ? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 3/2002 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The brief facts stated in the claim statement are : That the Mr. P. Vinod Kumar joined the services in the year 1984 as attender at Hyderabad. He was transferred in April, 1995 from Golkonda, Hyderabad to Bodhan upto 30-6-1995. Thereafter he was transferred to Divisional Office, Anantapur in June, 1995 and worked there till he was dismissed on 10-4-1996. That he was not paid his salary from February, 1994 to July, 1995 and also arrears of wages on account of VI Bipartite settlement and his repeated representations for salary and wage arrears etc., fell on deaf ears. Further he was falsely implicated in a case involving alleged fraudulent withdrawal to the extent of Rs. 23000 on 30-5-95 while working at Bodhan branch of the II party bank and a confession letter was forcibly obtained from Mr. P. Vinod Kumar. That Mr. P. Vinod Kumar was served with a chargesheet dated 18-11-95 and an enquiry was conducted without following the principles of natural justice and much is written about the enquiry and the appeal filed by him. But, this Court by an order dated 28-10-1992 held that the domestic enquiry is validly conducted. Hence, the same need not be gone through. That he did not committed the alleged misconduct. Charges were foisted on him for his trade union activities. Non-payment of wages for 18 months and also arrears of wages on account of wage revision speak volumes. Hence, the dismissal order dated 10-4-96 may be set aside and he may be ordered to be reinstated with continuity of service and back wages.

3. A Counter was filed stating that the salary was not paid to him and salary arrears are not germane to the dispute referred by the Government of India to this Tribunal. It is true that the Management had served the chargesheet on 18-11-95. But the enquiry was conducted complying with all the principles of natural justice and afterwards he was dismissed and the appeal was also dismissed. That the punishment awarded will commensurate with the laches on his part. That the Mr. P. Vinod Kumar participated in the enquiry and confessed his acts of misconduct. Hence, Mr. P. Vinod Kumar is not entitled for any relief.

4. As already this Court held vide order dated 28-10-2002 that the domestic enquiry is validly conducted. Hence, arguments were heard under Sec. 11A. Written arguments were submitted by the Learned Counsel for the Mr. P. Vinod Kumar and oral arguments were heard by the Respondent's Counsel.

5. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Union that for 13 years Mr. P. Vinod Kumar has served the bank faithfully. It is alleged that Mr. P. Vinod Kumar made wrong entries in the books pertaining to one account holder Mr. Ramesh and issued withdrawal form for withdrawing the amounts. The said fact is clearly shown in the chargesheet issued by the Respondent. The Respondent did not pay the salary of Mr. P. Vinod Kumar from February, 1994 to July, 1995 for the reasons best known to the Petitioner. But the Management did not pay the same. It amounts to unfair labour practice. Admittedly Mr. Vinod Kumar did not withdraw but only admitted to withdraw and the said Mr. Ramesh, account holder had not been examined by the Respondent in order to prove charges. The Respondent ignored the letters dated 30-5-95 submitted by Mr. P. Vinod Kumar where he categorically stated about the facts and circumstances and pressurize tactics played by the superiors. He submitted that the Madras High Court held that, "alleged admission of guilt on the part of workmen does not dispense with legal requirement by the employer and the proceedings in consequent to the same are not sustainable". Hence the dismissal order may be set aside and he may be reinstated.

6. The Respondent's counsel argued that it is a case where there is admitted fraud for Rs. 23,000. That there is an attempt to withdraw Rs. 23,000 and play fraud on the bank and if he had succeeded perhaps he would have done more. It is therefore, submitted that any time on the part of the Petitioner involving money liability that too being a sub-staff undermines the credibility of the bank.

7. It may be noted that no doubt in the counter it is stated that not paying the salary, or salary every month is not germane to the issue but can a sub-staff survive when his salary is not paid from February, 1994 to July, 1995 and also arrears of wages on account of VI Bipartite settlement. It is quite possible that out of frustration or due to sheer need of money, the Petitioner should have resorted to this. It is asking that the Management does not come up with any explanation as to why his salary was not paid for 18 months and this case of involving withdrawal was on 30-5-95 when his salary was not paid for February, March, April and May. No doubt, by that time he had not received the salary only for four months. But that cannot be a ground for the Petitioner, i.e., Mr. Vinod Kumar to resort to such an act by managing to open an account in the name of one Mr. Ramesh and tried to withdraw Rs. 23,000 illegally. But for such an act I have no hesitation in holding the bank also equally responsible in having not paid a sub-staff his salary for four months by then, or the Management has not come with any explanation before this Court to appreciate as to whether any genuine reasons by the Management with holding the salary of the Petitioner for four months by then. Be that may be so. The bank has to share a part of

the responsibility in the abnormal behaviour of the delinquent employee. But when it comes to the question of the money and that too such a large extent by a well-planned method, it will not be desirable to reinstate the Petitioner but in the circumstances, I am of the opinion that he deserves a lesser punishment. Hence, the reference is answered as follows : The action of the Management of the Syndicate Bank, Zonal Office, Hyderabad in ordering dismissal of the services of Shri P. Vinod Kumar, Attender is not justified and the order of dismissal dated 10-4-1996 is modified into one of compulsory retirement on 10-4-1996 and he shall be entitled for all benefits (of compulsory retirement).

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this the 19th day of October, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for
the Petitioner

NIL

Witnesses examined for
the Respondent

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3241.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आन्ध्रा बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 93/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12011/91/2001-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3241.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 93/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Andhra Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12011/91/2001-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT HYDERABAD****PRESENT :**

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 30th day of September, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 93/2001**BETWEEN :**

The Joint Secretary,
Andhra Bank Award Employees Union,
C/o Andhra Bank,
Eluru Road Branch,
Swarnalok Complex, Governorpet,
Vijayawada-520001.

.....Petitioner

AND

The Asstt. General Manager,
Andhra Bank,
Zonal Office, A.B. Buildings,
R.R. Appa Rao Street,
Vijayawada-520001.

.....Respondent

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. G. Vidya Sagar, K. Udaya
Sree, P. Sudheer Rao,
B. Shivakumar & D.
Madhusudhan, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. S. Udayachala Rao,
S. Lavanya Lakshmi,
S. Vikramaditya Babu &
S. Mujib Kumar, Advocates.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-12011/91/2001-IR(B.II) dated 9/10-7-2001 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between the management of Andhra Bank and their workman. The reference is,

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Andhra Bank, Vijayawada over non-regularisation of the services of Sri U. Koteswara Rao. Part-time Sweeper is justified ? If not, what relief is the workman entitled to ?"

The reference is numbered in this Tribunal as I.D. No. 93/2001 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. Sri B.V.V. Kondal Rao, General Secretary of the Petitioner union was examined as WW1 after the claim

statement and counter were filed. The reference is about non-regularisation of the services of Sri U. Koteswara Rao, even the affected party is absent. WW1 examined himself on 17-7-2003 and for more than one year and two months he has not appeared for cross examination, which shows that he is not interested in pursuing the case. Similarly, the affected party, Sri. U. Koteswara Rao also has not appeared in the Court. So, waiting for one year and two months is sufficient time and there is nothing on record to give any relief to the Petitioner/affected party Sri U. Koteswara Rao. Hence, a 'Nil' Award is passed. Transmit.

Accordingly a 'Nil' Award is passed, Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this the 30th day of September, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses examined for
the Petitioner

NIL

Witnesses examined for
the Respondent

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3242.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आन्ध्र बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 91/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12011/85/2001-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3242.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 91/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Andhra Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12011/85/2001-IR(B-II)]
C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT HYDERABAD****PRESENT :**

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 30th day of September, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 91/2001**BETWEEN :**

The Joint Secretary,
Andhra Bank Award Employees Union,
C/o Andhra Bank,
Eluru Road Branch,
Swarnalok Complex, Governorpet,
Vijayawada-520001.

.....Petitioner

AND

The Asstt. General Manager,
Andhra Bank,
Zonal Office, A.B. Buildings,
R.R. Appa Rao Street,
Vijayawada-520001.

.....Respondent

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. G. Vidya Sagar, K. Udaya
Sree, P. Sudheer Rao,
B. Shivakumar &
D. Madhusudhan, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. S. Udayachala Rao,
S. Lavanya Lakshmi,
S. Vikramaditya Babu &
S. Mujib Kumar, Advocates.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-12011/85/2001-IR(B.II) dated 9/10-7-2001 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between the management of Andhra Bank and their workman. The reference is,

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Andhra Bank, Vijayawada over non-regularisation of the services of Smt. Somi Varalaxmi, Part-time Sweeper is justified ? If not, what relief is the workman entitled to ?"

The reference is numbered in this Tribunal as I.D. No. 91/2001 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. Sri B.V.V. Kondal Rao, General Secretary of the Petitioner union was examined as WW1 after the claim

statement and counter were filed. The reference is about non-regularisation of the services of Smt. Somi Varalaxmi, even the affected party is absent. WW1 examined himself on 17-7-2003 and for more than one year and two months he has not appeared for cross examination, which shows that he is not interested in pursuing the case. Similarly, the affected party, Smt. Somi Varalaxmi also has not appeared in the Court. So, waiting for one year and two months is sufficient time and there is nothing on record to give any relief to the Petitioner/affected party Smt. Somi Varalaxmi. Hence, a 'Nil' Award is passed. Transmit.

Accordingly a 'Nil' Award is passed. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this the 30th day of September, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses examined for
the Petitioner

NIL

Witnesses examined for
the Respondent

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3243.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आन्ध्र बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 92/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12011/84/2001-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3243.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 92/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Andhra Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12011/84/2001-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT HYDERABAD****PRESENT :**

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 30th day of September, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 92/2001**BETWEEN :**

The Joint Secretary,
Andhra Bank Award Employees Union,
C/o Andhra Bank,
Eluru Road Branch,
Swarnalok Complex, Governorpet,
Vijayawada-520001.

.....Petitioner

AND

The Asstt. General Manager,
Andhra Bank,
Zonal Office, A.B. Buildings,
R.R. Appa Rao Street,
Vijayawada-520001.

.....Respondent

APPEARANCES :

For the Petitioner : M/s. G. Vidya Sagar, K. Udaya
Sree, P. Sudheer Rao,
B. Shivakumar & D.
Madhusudhan, Advocates.

For the Respondent : M/s. S. Udayachala Rao,
S. Lavanya Lakshmi,
S. Vikramaditya Babu & S. Mujib
Kumar, Advocates.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by
its order No. L-12011/84/2001-IR(B.II) dated 9/10-7-2001
referred the following dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of
the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between
the management of Andhra Bank and their workman. The
reference is,

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Andhra
Bank, Vijayawada over non-regularisation of the
services of Smt. P. Maheswari, Part-time Sweeper
is justified ? If not, what relief is the workman
entitled to ?"

The reference is numbered in this Tribunal as I.D.
No. 92/2001 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. Sri B.V.V. Kondal Rao, General Secretary of the
Petitioner union was examined as WW1 after the claim

statement and counter were filed. The reference is about
non-regularisation of the services of Smt. P. Maheswari,
even the affected party is absent. WW1 examined himself
on 17-7-2003 and for more than one year and two months
he has not appeared for cross examination, which shows
that he is not interested in pursuing the case. Similarly,
the affected party, Smt. P. Maheswari also has not appeared
in the Court. So, waiting for one year and two months is
sufficient time and there is nothing on record to give any
relief to the Petitioner/affected party Smt. P. Maheswari.
Hence, a 'Nil' Award is passed. Transmit.

Accordingly a 'Nil' Award is passed. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal
Assistant transcribed by her corrected and pronounced by
me on this the 30th day of September, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses examined for
the Petitioner

NIL

Witnesses examined for
the Respondent

NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3244.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947
(1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आन्ध्रा
बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच,
अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक
अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 289/
2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004
को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12012/26/2002-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3244.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the
Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central
Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 289/2002)
of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-
Labour Court, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in
the Industrial dispute between the Management of Andhra
Bank and their workmen, which was received by the
Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12012/26/2002-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT HYDERABAD****PRESENT :**

Shri E. Ismail, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

Dated the 27th day of September, 2004

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 289/2002**BETWEEN :**Sri T.V.S. Prakash,
S/o Surya Rao,
Dusarapamu Post,
Rajavommangi (Mandal),
East Godavari District.

.....Petitioner

ANDThe Deputy General Manager,
Andhra Bank,
Zonal Office,
Kakinada.
East Godavari District.

.....Respondent

APPEARANCES :For the Petitioner : M/s. G. Rama Subba Rao &
G.V.S. Ganesh, AdvocatesFor the Respondent : M/s. S. Udayachala Rao,
S. Mujib Kumar, S. L. Lakshmi
& S. Vikramaditya Babu,
Advocates.**AWARD**

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-12012/26/2002-IR(B.II) dated 3-10-2002 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between the employers in relation to the Management of Andhra Bank and their workman.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of Andhra Bank, Zonal Office, Kakinada in terminating the services of Sri T.V.S. Prakash, Ex. Temporary Sub-Staff, Rajavommangi Branch w.e.f. 29-4-2001 is legal and justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 289/2002 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The brief facts as mentioned in the petition are : That the Petitioner filed a claim statement stating that he worked in the bank on daily wages in 1992. He was engaged on daily wages by the Respondent from 1992.

He worked for various number of days and more so he worked from May, 2000 to April, 2001, for 288 days but yet, unceremoniously his services were terminated verbally from 29th April, 2001 without issuing him any notice or pay in lieu of or paying him retrenchment compensation. Hence, the verbal order dated 29-4-2001 is illegal, arbitrary and he may be directed to be reinstated and with full back wages.

3. A counter was filed denying that the Petitioner was engaged from 1992 on daily wages and terminated orally on 29-4-2001 and that he worked for more than 240 days in 12 consecutive calendar months. That the Petitioner was engaged as casual labour for specific periods intermittently but not continuously as claimed by the Petitioner as such he does not get any right. Accordingly, the petition may be dismissed.

4. The Petitioner examined himself as WW1. He deposed in the chief examination what all he stated in the petition. In the cross-examination he deposed that Sub-Staff means a person regularly appointed by the bank while casual labour means a person appointed on daily wages whenever his services are required. There is no evidence to show that he joined Rajavommangi Branch in 1992. One Mr. Gantimalla Kondala Swamy is the only sub-staff in that branch. Even when Gantimalla Kondala Swamy was there his services were utilized. He denied that whenever Gantimalla Kondala Swamy used to go on leave he was engaged. In 1994 only he gave a complaint to higher authorities about artificial breaks. He has not filed any copy of the same. There are 27 persons in the panel prepared by Rajavommangi branch. He cannot give the names of the juniors whose services have been regularised. One Mr. Raja Rao is appointed in his place. That he submitted a letter praying for empanelment but the same was not considered. Ex. W1 shows the payments made to him from 1992 till April, 2001. Ex. W2 to W13 did not show the dates when his services were utilized.

5. The Respondent examined Sri B. Prasada Rao, Law Officer of Andhra Bank, Head Office, Hyderabad as MW1 and he deposed that as regards to appointment and regularization of temporary sub-staff the Respondent bank has entered into a settlement on 9-1-95 under the provisions of Sec. 12, Sub-section 3 of the Industrial Disputes Act and in terms of the said provisions of the said settlement all those temporary employees who have put in 90 days or more services in the bank during the period 1-1-80 to 31-12-89 were empanelled district-wise. The object of empanelling such temporary employees is to utilize their services initially in the leave vacancies of regular sub-staff and to absorb them in due course in bank's regular service. The Petitioner is not on the approved panel of the temporary sub-staff of E.G. District. So, he cannot be appointed even in leave vacancies. He never completed 240 days of service.

6. In the cross-examination he deposed that he never worked in the Rajavommangi branch of the Respondent bank. He has not filed any proof to show the procedure of empanelment by the Respondent. He has not filed any proof to show the Petitioner is not on the approved panel. He cannot say as to what periods the Petitioner was deployed as casual labour. It is true that the services of the Petitioner were utilized by the Rajavommangi branch for specified periods unauthorisedly. It is true that the bank has not given any notice nor paid anything in lieu of notice or retrenchment compensation.

7. The Management examined Sri A. K. Tripathy, Branch Manager, Andhra Bank, Rajavommangi branch as MW2. He deposed that panels of the temporary sub-staff were prepared by the Respondent bank in terms of an Approach Paper issued by the Government of India dated 16-8-1990 and a 12(3) Settlement dated 9-1-95 entered into by the Respondent bank with the recognized union of the Award Staff functioning in the bank. The Petitioner was engaged as a casual labourer by his predecessors occasionally without having any power or authority to do so. He was paid the wages for the days he worked. He did not put in 240 days of service in any calendar year. Certified copy of Approach Paper is Ex. M1. Copy of the settlement is Ex. M2. Panel of temporary sub-staff E.G. District is Ex. M3. In the cross examination he deposed that Ex. M3 does not bear any date but it was prepared in pursuance of settlement dated 9-1-95, Ex. M2. That the Petitioner was engaged intermittently.

8. It is argued by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner has worked for a continuous period of 240 days during the 12 months period preceding the verbal termination from 29-4-2001. Ex. W1 statement shows that he worked for 288 days from May, 2000 to April, 2001. Ex. W2 to W13 copies of the cash voucher evidencing payment of wages to the Petitioner for the months of May, 2000 to April, 2001. He relies on Divisional Engineer Telecom, Coaxial Cable Project, Rajahmundry Vs. Mamidi Venkata Ramana and Another (AP HC — DB) : 2003 LLR 803 wherein it was held that, "..... Mandatory conditions precedent for payment of retrenchment compensation at the time of termination of services of the workmen—Non-compliance of the provision, the termination illegal—Workman has worked for more than 240 days continuously—Service terminated—Without complying with Section 25-F—Illegal—Workman is entitled for continuity of service and back wages". He also relied on State of Haryana Vs. Maman Ram and another (P & HYD HC — DB) : 2003 LLR 403 wherein it was held that, ".... Compliance of mandatory conditions in paying retrenchment compensation to a workman at the time of his termination — On termination, workman raised an industrial dispute — Reference made for adjudication — Industrial Tribunal held it to be illegal and invalid as it was without

compliance of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947—Employer filed Writ Petition—High Court also held that Management did not lead any evidence on the issue of abandonment of service—Also relevant record of employment was not produced—Workman was engaged by the Petitioner off and on for about 11 years—Award of the Tribunal upheld". He also relies on Zonal Manager, Central Bank of India Vs. Union of India and others (Patna HC) : 2003 LLR 189 wherein it was held that, "....Non-compliance of mandatory provision in making payment of retrenchment compensation at the time of termination of a workman on the ground that he has not worked for 240 days and as such he was not entitled to employment and regularisation—Reference made for adjudication—Management of Bank contended that the workman was supplying water and the price of water was paid to him—Besides evidence of witnesses—The letter from Branch Manager to the Regional Office categorically stated that the concerned workman performed the duty of sub-staff but was paid for storing water—The Industrial Tribunal directed reinstatement with full back wages and regularization of the workman". He therefore, submits that the Petitioner may be reinstated.

9. The Respondent counsel submits that there is no proof that Petitioner was engaged for 240 days continuously even otherwise it is daily wage appointment and therefore Sec. 25F is not applicable.

10. I have gone through Ex. W1, Ex. W2 to Ex. W13 and the amounts and dates were tallied. It cannot be said that all are fabricated. But since in view of the restrictions placed by the bank on employment, I am of the opinion that a lumpsum compensation would meet the ends of justice. Hence, the reference is answered as follows: "The action of the Management of Andhra Bank, Zonal Office, Kakinada in terminating the services of Shri T.V.S. Prakash, Ex. Temporary Sub-Staff, Rajavommangi branch w.e.f. 29-4-2001 is not legal and justified. However, in the circumstances, the Petitioner is not entitled for reinstatement but a lumpsum compensation of Rs. 25,000 plus Rs. 2000 towards costs of this litigation should be paid to the Petitioner within 30 days after publication of this Award failing which he will be entitled to that amount with 6% interest P.A.

Award passed accordingly. Transmit.

Dictated to Kum. K. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me, on this the 27th day of September, 2004.

E. ISMAIL, Presiding Officer

Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
WW1 : Sri T.V.S. Prakash	MW 1 : Sri B. Prasada Rao
	MW 2 : Sri A.K. Tripathy

Documents marked for the Petitioner

- Ex. W1 : Copy of statement showing No. of working days and wages paid to the Petitioner
- Ex. W2 : Copy of voucher dt. 8-6-2000
- Ex. W3 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-7-2000
- Ex. W4 : Copy of voucher dt. 8-8-2000
- Ex. W5 : Copy of voucher dt. 12-9-2000
- Ex. W6 : Copy of voucher dt. 13-10-2000
- Ex. W7 : Copy of voucher dt. 7-11-2000
- Ex. W8 : Copy of voucher dt. 7-12-2000
- Ex. W9 : Copy of voucher dt. 4-9-2001
- Ex. W10 : Copy of voucher dt. 6-7-2001
- Ex. W11 : Copy of voucher dt. 2-3-2001
- Ex. W12 : Copy of voucher dt. 31-3-2001
- Ex. W13 : Copy of voucher dt. 17/18-5-2001
- Ex. W14 : Copy of representation to ALC(C) by WW1 dt. 20-8-2001
- Ex. W15 : Copy of lr. by ALC(C) dt. 12-9-2001 calling for conciliation.
- Ex. W16 : Copy of order No. 7/38/2001-ALC conciliation proceedings dt. 14-12-2001

Documents marked for the Respondent

- Ex. M1 : Copy of approach paper No. F-3/3/104/87-IR dt. 16-8-90
- Ex. M2 : Copy of 12(3) settlement dt. 9-1-95
- Ex. M3 : Copy of panel of Temporary sub-staff of E.G. District

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3245.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 29/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12012/20/2000-आई. आर. (बी-II)]
सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3245.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. 29/2000)

of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jaipur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the Management of Punjab National Bank; and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12012/20/2000-IR(B-II)]
C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR**

Case No. CGIT-29/2000

Reference No. L-12012/20/2000/IR(B-II)

Sh. Jagdish Prasad,
S/o Sh. Motaram,
R/o Leela Chowk,
Purani Abadi, Ward No. 11,
Through Krishna Dutt Leela
Sri Ganganagar-335001Applicant

Versus

Regional Manager,
Punjab National Bank,
Regional Office,
Meera Chowk,
Jawahar Nagar,
Sri GanganagarNon-applicant

PRESENT :

Sh. R. C. Sharma, Presiding Officer.

For the applicant : Sh. F. M. Baig.

For the Non-applicant : Sh. Surendra Singh.

Date of award : 29-10-2004

AWARD

1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause 'D' of sub-Sections 1 & 2(A) to Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act') has referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal which runs as under :—

“Whether the action of the Management of Punjab National Bank, Regional Office, Sri Ganganagar in dismissing the services of Shri Jagdish Prasad S/o Shri Motaram, Peon in violation of principles of natural justice was justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled and from what date?”

2. The workman in his statement of claim has averred that he was appointed to the post of 4th Class on 17-10-79 by the non-applicant bank, who was dismissed from the service on 25-11-87 and that the charges levelled

against him were baseless. He has further stated that the charge of the embezzlement worth Rs. 600 levelled against him did not relate to his service conduct, but it was a personal transaction, which is not sustainable.

3. The non-applicant, in his written statement has disputed the claim of the workman and has pleaded that the workman has raised the dispute after a lapse of 7 years, that the punishment of the dismissal was inflicted on the workman by the disciplinary authority vide its order dated 25-11-87, the appeal thereof was also failed and that a chargesheet dated 4-4-87 was served upon him alleging the acts of gross misconduct committed by him under the provisions of the bipartite settlement. It has been further pointed out that the workman had obtained the money from one Sh. Pappu S/o Sh. Mohan Singh, the holder of the Savings Account No. 1104 on times under the pretext that he would deposit the same into his account, but he embezzled the amount worth Rs. 600 and fictitious entries were entered into his savings account by him.

4. In the rejoinder, the workman has reiterated the facts as stated in the statement of claim.

5. After hearing both the parties on the preliminary issue of the fairness of domestic enquiry, this Court vide its order dated 9-9-2002 came to a conclusion that the domestic enquiry conducted against him was in violation of the principles of natural justice and it was held to be unfair.

6. The Management was permitted to lead the evidence to justify the charges levelled against the workman. In support of its case, the affidavits of MW-1, Sh. Balkrishna, the Bank Officer and MW-2, Sh. D.S. Khemsara, Officer In-charge of the branch, have been placed on the record on behalf of the Management, who were cross-examined on behalf of the workman. The workman has filed his affidavit, who was cross-examined on behalf of the non-applicant.

7. I have heard both the parties and have scanned the record.

8. The Id. representative for the Management contends that Sh. Pappu had filed a complaint Ex. M-3 before the bank which has been proved by both the management witnesses and the workman in reply to the chargesheet Ex. M-24 has admitted his guilt. The submission of the Id. representative is that admission is the best evidence and even on account of the admission, there was no necessity to hold the enquiry against the workman. In support of his contention, the Id. representative has placed his reliance upon 2000(4) WLC Rajasthan 106. His next contention is that the bank has also exhibited the passbook of the account holder Sh. Pappu wherein the workman had entered the fake entries of depositing the amount on his behalf. The last submission on behalf of the Management is that the

workman has caused the delay of 10 years in raising the dispute.

9. Per contra, the Id. representative for the workman submits that the workman was terminated on 25-11-87, who preferred an appeal against the termination order which was rejected on 25-6-88 and thereafter he raised an industrial dispute on 28-4-98. It was contended on behalf of the workman that the application Ex. M-7, which is alleged to have been filed by Pappu, has not been proved by the management witnesses and the best evidence in this regard was that of Pappu who could not be examined by the Management. The submission of the Id. representative is that it was a personal dealing between Pappu and the workman for which the workman cannot be held guilty and that the alleged transaction had taken place before the management witnesses. The Id. representative has also assailed the testimony of management witnesses MW-1, Sh. Balakrishnan and MW-2, Sh. D. S. Khemsara on the ground that their evidence is feeble and unreliable. The next contention canvassed on behalf of the workman is that in his reply to the show-cause notice Ex. M-7, the workman had clearly narrated that incident as a personal dealing with Pappu and that though the entries in the the passbook were made by the workman yet he had not issued the fake counter slips to Pappu, which shows that he had not an intention to embezzle the money. The workman in his evidence, as per the submission made by the Id. representative, could not be shaken on behalf of the Management and it is not proved that the embezzlement was committed by him. The Id. representative has also challenged that the charges framed by the Management under para 19.5(d) and (j) of the bipartite settlement are not made out on the grounds that under clause 19.5(d), no willful damage was caused to the bank nor under clause 19.5(j), no loss to the bank was caused and no forgery in bank account books was committed. In support of his contention, the Id. representative has drawn my attention towards 1994(1) WLC (Rajasthan) 679.

10. I have bestowed my thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions and have carefully gone through the judicial pronouncements referred to before me.

11. Ex. M-3 is an application which is stated to have been submitted by one Sh. Pappu before the Branch Manager wherein he has stated that he got opened a savings account no. 1104 in the bank through its employee Sh. Jagdish Prasad Samaria and when he came to bank for withdrawing his money, he came to know that only Rs. 111 have been deposited in his account whereas his passbook contain the entries of Rs. 711. Hence, he requested that the remaining money may be refunded to him. In his reply Ex. M-7 to the show-cause notice, the workman has stated that the complaint filed against him by Sh. Pappu is false. In his reply Ex. M-24 to the

chargesheet, the workman has narrated that account no. 1104 in favour of Sh. Pappu was got opened through his attempts and Pappu used to hand over him the money to deposit in his bank account, but on account of the illness of his son he could not deposit the amount in Pappu's bank account. He has also admitted that he had entered into the passbook the entries of the said amount given by Pappu to him but it could not be deposited in the bank account. These are the material documents for the adjudication of the controversy.

12. It is true that Pappu could not be examined by the Management to prove the application Ex. M-3. But the Management witness Balkrishna in his affidavit has clearly stated that Pappu had submitted this application before him. Although he could not be able to point out that whether Pappu had put his thumb impression on the application before him and whether he had placed the deposit receipts before him or not. He has also denied that he had not enquired into the matter as to why Pappu was giving the money to the delinquent at his shop. But on these facts alone his testimony cannot be discarded and further the facts are not enough to disbelieve the facts narrated in the reply Ex. M-24 by the workman. The workman himself in his cross-examination has admitted that he had filed a reply to the chargesheet dated 4-4-87 which is Ex. M-24 and it contains his signature from A-B. He has further admitted that he had received the money from the bank customer Sh. Pappu and had entered into the entries thereof in his passbook, but his statement is that it was his personal transaction with Pappu and that Pappu had not given him the money to deposit in his bank account. But the later part of his statement that it was a personal dealing with Pappu, who had not given him the money to deposit in the bank account, has not been corroborated by his reply Ex. M-24 wherein he has in clear terms admitted that Pappu used to give the money to him to deposit in his bank account as the branch was situated at a far off place. Thus Ex. M-24 is a clear admission of his guilt by the workman himself, which establishes that he had embezzled the amount worth Rs. 600, which he received from the account holder Sh. Pappu for depositing in his bank account.

13. In 2000(4) WLC Rajasthan 106, the Hon'ble Court has followed the principle propounded in AIR 1972 SC 32 wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that "When the delinquent admits the facts, he is guilty and his plea amounts to a plea of guilt". The Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court has further held that even in the cases where the workman has admitted the guilt of embezzlement, holding of an enquiry for removal of the workman was not warranted. Thus, the contention advanced on behalf of the management in this context is fortified by the decision supra and the statement made by the workman in his reply Ex. M-24 clearly amounts as an admission of his guilt.

14. Contrary to it, the Id. representative for the workman has referred to 1999 (1) WLC (Rajasthan) 679 wherein it has been observed by the Hon'ble Court that persons for whom relief is sought must appear to make it known that they are claiming such relief. On this proposition, the Id. representative for the workman has sought to argue that Pappu was a material witness who had filed the complaint Ex. M-3 against the workman and it could not be proved on account of his non-examination in the evidence by the management.

15. The facts of the referred to case are that the writ petition was based on newspaper reportings and the Hon'ble Court has observed that it would merely amount to an anonymous statement and cannot be treated as the proof of the facts stated in the newspaper and such statement is inadmissible in the absence of the maker of the statement deposing to have perceived the facts reported. But contrary to it, in the case at hand the management witness Balkrishna has clearly stated that the application Ex. M-3 was submitted before him by Pappu himself and he had made an endorsement to it, which is proved from the record. Apart it, the workman-delinquent himself has clearly admitted his guilt in his reply Ex. M-24 to the chargesheet. Thus, the referred to decision does not help the submission made on behalf of the workman.

16. It is also pertinent to state here that the workman was terminated on 25-11-87, whose departmental appeal was rejected on 25-6-88 and after a lapse of 10 years, he has raised this industrial dispute and no reasonable explanation could be offered on his behalf for raising the dispute at a belated stage.

17. On the basis of aforesaid analysis of the evidence, the management has succeeded to establish the guilt of the workman under clause 19.5(j) of the bipartite settlement which defines the gross misconduct as doing any act prejudicial to the interest of the bank or gross negligence or negligence involving or likely to involve the bank in serious loss. The workman had obtained the money from Pappu, an account holder in the non-applicant bank, to deposit it in his bank account but had not deliberately deposited it, whose act was prejudicial to the interest of the bank and caused a loss to it and has thus committed the gross misconduct under the aforesaid provision of the bipartite settlement.

18. Now, I advert to the question of the proportionate punishment as to whether the punishment imposed on the workman is disproportionate to his guilt.

19. The Id. representative for the workman has urged that the alleged transaction had not taken place in the bank premise, that no forgery was committed by the workman as the entries were made by him in presence of Pappu at his shop and that his money was also not misused. Therefore, under these circumstances, the Id. representative

contents that the penalty is excessive. It has been opposed on behalf of the bank by advancing the submission that in the banking business, integrity and honesty are required to be observed by every bank employee which has not been followed by the workman. The ld. representative for the bank has drawn my attention towards the observation made Hon'ble High Court in 2000 (4) WLC (Rajasthan) 106 wherein the Hon'ble Court has held as below :—

“Thus, in view of the above, once the Labour Court has recorded the findings of facts that the workman had admitted his guilt in an inquiry held against him and had also agreed to make to deposit and when he failed to make the deposit, an F.I.R. was lodged, I fail to understand, in view of the law as referred to above, how it could have been held that though the termination was justified but it could not have been made without holding the full-fledged inquiry on the charges. This, in the facts and circumstances of this case, holding an inquiry for removal of the workman was not warranted as the workman had admitted the guilt of embezzlement. More so, in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Municipal Committee, Bahadurgarh Vs. Krishanan Bihari, AIR 1996 SC 1249, no punishment other than removal could have been imposed.”

20. Looking to the gravity of the misconduct of the workman in the light of the decision supra, the interference in the punishment of dismissal is not warranted and his dismissal order appears to be justified.

21. For the foregoing reasons, the reference is answered in the negative against the workman and in favour of the non-applicant bank and it is held that the action of the non-applicant bank in dismissing the service of the workman is legal and justified and the claim of the workman is rejected. An award is passed in these terms accordingly.

22. Let a copy of the award be sent to the Central Government for publication under Section 17(1) of the Act.

R. C. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3246.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन ओवरसीज बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, चेन्नई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 92/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12012/101/2002-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3247.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award Ref. 92/2002 of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Chennai as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the Management of Indian Overseas Bank, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12012/101/2002-IR(B-II)]
C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, CHENNAI

Tuesday, the 14th September, 2004

PRESENT :

K. Jayaraman, Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 92/2002

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), between the Management of Indian Overseas Bank and their workman)

BETWEEN

Sri S. Kannayiram : I Party/Petitioner

AND

The Regional Manager, : II Party/Management
Indian Overseas Bank,
Regional Office,
Madurai

Appearance :

For the Workman : M/s. S. Ravi &
D. Anni Besant,
Advocates

For the Management : M/s. N.G.R. Prasad &
W.T. Prabhakar,
Advocates

AWARD

The Central Government, Ministry of Labour vide Notification Order No. L-12012/101/2002-IR(B-II) dated 24-09-2002 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

“Whether the discontinuance of service of Shri S. Kannayiram as a thrift deposit collector by the Indian Overseas Bank is legal and justified? If not, what relief is the disputant entitled to?”

2. After the receipt of the reference, it was taken on file as I.D.No. 92/2002 and notices were issued to both the parties and both the parties entered appearance through their advocates and fill their claim Statement and Counter Statement respectively.

3. The allegations of the Petitioner in the Claim Statement are briefly as follows :—

The Petitioner was originally appointed as daily deposit collecting agent in South Masi Street branch of the then Bank of Tamil Nadu Ltd. by an order dated 27-3-84. The Bank of Tamil Nadu was merged with the II Party/Management namely Indian Overseas Bank herein and the entire establishment of Bank of Tamil Nadu was taken over by the II Party/Management with all its assets and liabilities. The Petitioner who was working in Bank of Tamil Nadu also continued to work without any break in service with the II Party/Management as Thrift Deposit Collector. But all of a sudden from April, 1998, he was not paid commission but he was paid Rs. 5000 during November, 1998. Thereafter, the Petitioner was not allowed to continue as Thrift Deposit Collector. Subsequently, in February, 1999 the Petitioner was allowed to collect the deposit and he collected the same upto July, 1999. But even though he has approached the II Party/Management to allow him to do collection work, he was not permitted to do so. Subsequently, on 28-8-2000 the Petitioner has sent a lawyer's notice to the Respondent for which the Respondent has sent a reply on 28-12-2000 by furnishing incorrect particulars and stated that the service of the Petitioner was not satisfactory. But, however, the II Party/Management did not issue any charge memo nor any explanation was ever called for from the Petitioner. The non-employment of the Petitioner is sudden, summary and in violation of principles of natural justice. Further, they have not followed the provisions of Section 25F of the Act. It is false to allege that the Petitioner has not deposited 13 days of collection amount, on the other hand II Party/Management refused to receive the same and the said amounts were returned to the respective depositors with due acknowledgement. The allegations levelled against the Petitioner is motivated and victimising in character. Without even conducting an enquiry for the allegations advanced by the II Party/Management, the Respondent has terminated the services of the Petitioner and therefore, the Petitioner prays for reinstatement with back wages, continuity of service and other attendant benefits.

4. But as against this, the Respondent in its Counter Statement contended that no doubt the Petitioner was engaged as Thrift Deposit Collector since 1984 at South Masi Street branch at Madurai. The duty entrusted with the Petitioner is to collect daily deposits from the customers who open a thrift deposit account and to remit the collections at the next day at the branch. In the year 1998,

the Branch Manager reported to Regional Office, Madurai that the thrift deposit collector was not remitting the amounts collected by him on the following days as per guidelines. The Petitioner was orally counselled by Branch Manager to remit the amount collected by him in time. But the Petitioner failed to comply with the same in spite of repeated oral advice. During the month of August, 1998 the Petitioner failed to remit the deposit collection on 11, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29 & 31 (13 days) without informing the bank. Therefore, the Respondent/Bank has sent letters to Thrift deposit holders on 9-9-98 to confirm the balances. Some of the depositors namely Mr. A. Muniyandi and Mr. N.S. Rajendran has complained about the Petitioner with regard to shortfall of remittance. Though the Petitioner was informed about the same, he has given evasive reply. Thus, the Petitioner failed to do the job entrusted to him and caused delay in remittance of deposit collection into the branch at his own will and pleasure and non-submission of weekly statements. Since he is not an employee of the bank, there is no need for issuing any charge sheet alleged by him and Section 25F of the Act is not applicable to the Petitioner. The Petitioner was disengaged due to his own act and there is no *mala fide* on the part of the Respondent/Bank. Hence, the Respondent prays that the claim is to be dismissed with costs.

5. In these circumstances, the points for my consideration are —

- (i) "Whether the discontinuance of service of Shri S. Kannayiram as a thrift deposit collector by the II Party/Management is legal and justified ?"
- (ii) "To what relief, the Petitioner is entitled ?"

Point No. 1 :

6. In this case, the admitted facts are that the Petitioner joined as Thrift Deposit Collector of the South Masi Street branch of the Bank of Tamil Nadu Ltd. on 27-03-1984. From April, 1998 he has not been permitted to collect the thrift deposits from the depositors. On behalf of the Petitioner, it was contended that the termination of service of the Petitioner is a naked violation of all accepted principles of natural justice and against all labour laws and legislations and he has not been given any opportunity to deny the allegations made against him and no enquiry was conducted by the Respondent/Management and abruptly he was terminated from service without any rhyme or reason and therefore, it is not valid in law. Further, it is contended that the Petitioner has sent number of letters and representations to the higher authorities of the bank to interfere in the termination order issued by the bank Branch Manager with *mala fide* intention. Even after that, they have not considered and therefore, since no enquiry was conducted against the Petitioner and no opportunity was given to repudiate the contention of the

Respondent/Bank, the termination is not valid in law and therefore, the Petitioner is to be reinstated in service.

7. As against this, on behalf of the Respondent it is contended that the thrift deposit collectors are not regular employees of the bank, though they are workmen within the meaning of term as defined under Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, therefore, there is no question of absorption and the deposit collectors being paid on same scales and allowances and other service conditions of the regular employees of the bank, they cannot claim normal privileges and rights which are available to regular employees of the bank and the terms of engagement would continue as per agreement entered into by the thrift deposit collectors. The Petitioner in this case on several occasions, did not deposit the collections on the following day, resorted to non-genuine and false entries, abstained from making collections without informing and without making alternate arrangements and by doing so, he retained the amount collected from the depositors during the previous days/week at his disposal and accounted in the books of the bank after the next day and on some occasions after more than a week from the date of collection and in spite of sufficient warnings against the repetitions of such lapses, he continued to commit such irregularities. Some instances of belated remittances, short remittances, resorting to non-genuine and false entries and abstaining from collections without informing and without making alternate arrangements are continuous in nature and therefore, the bank has terminated the services of the Petitioner and in such case, it cannot be contended that the termination is void or not valid in law. Learned counsel for the Respondent further argued that even assuming for argument sake that no enquiry was conducted by the Respondent/Management, in number of decisions, the Supreme Court has held that even if no enquiry is held by employer or enquiry held by him is found to be defective, the Tribunal in order to satisfy itself about the legality and validity of the order had to give an opportunity to the employer/employee to adduce evidence before it. It is open to employer to adduce evidence for first time justifying his action and it is open to the employee to adduce evidence contra and therefore, even for argument sake, the Respondent/Management has not given an opportunity to the Petitioner in this case, before this Tribunal, the Respondent/Management has produced number of documents to show the lapses on the part of the Petitioner and therefore, it cannot be said only due to non-enquiry or defective enquiry, the termination is not valid in law and he relied on the rulings reported in AIR 1973 SC 1227 *Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co. of India P. Ltd. & Others Vs. Management and Others*. In that case, the Supreme Court of India after going through the judgements of various Courts had observed that "before imposing punishment, an employer is expected to conduct a proper enquiry in accordance with the provisions of

Standing Orders, if applicable and principles of natural justice. The enquiry should not be an empty formality. When a proper enquiry has been held by an employer, and finding of misconduct is plausible conclusion flowing from the evidence adduced at the enquiry, the Tribunal has no jurisdiction to sit judgement over the decision of the employer as an appellate body. Interference with the decision of the employer will be justified only when the findings arrived at in the enquiry are perverse or the Management is guilty of victimisation and unfair labour practice or *mala fide*. Even if no enquiry is held by the employer or if the enquiry held by him is found to be defective, the Tribunal in order to satisfy itself about the legality and validity of the order had to give an opportunity to the employer and employee to adduce evidence before it and it is open to employer to adduce evidence for first time justifying his action and it is open to the employee to adduce evidence contra." In this case, the Respondent/Management has examined one Mr. N. Valliappan, who was working as Department Officer in South Masi Street Branch at Madurai at the relevant period and the Respondent also produced documents Ex. M1 to M23 to prove their contention. The Manager who was examined on the side of the Respondent, namely MW1, has stated that in spite of stipulations, the Petitioner on several occasions, did not deposit the collections on the such following day, resorted to non-genuine and false entries, abstained from making collections without informing and without making alternate arrangements and by doing so, he retained the amount collected from the depositors during the previous days/week at his disposal and accounted in the books of the bank after the next day and on some occasions after more than a week from the date of collection and he further contended that he continued to commit such irregularities which are evident from documents filed by Respondent/Management.

8. Though, on behalf of the Petitioner it is contended that this Manager has got personal enmity with regard to cash consideration against the Petitioner, on behalf of the Petitioner nothing relevant produced before this Tribunal to attribute the motive to this witness. Further, from Ex. M4 to M16 & M17 after it was brought to the notice of the Petitioner, and by his letter dated 6-2-99, the Petitioner by a written explanation admitted his mistake and further admitted that he has settled the amount with the depositors. Therefore, it is clear that the Petitioner has made some non-genuine entries and therefore, he was warned by the Respondent/Management. Similarly, the collection during the month of August, 1998 the Petitioner has not deposited the collection amount of 13 days. Thus, he has not paid the collections into the bank regularly or paid the amounts belatedly, which act would shatter the trust of the depositors in the bank and hence for all these reasons, after consideration, the Management has taken a decision to terminate the services

of the Petitioner and he has also produced a letter written by the Petitioner to the Manager, in which the Petitioner has given a reply that his letter was written on the instructions of the Manager and the contents of the letter are not true.

9. But, I find there is no substance in the contention of the Petitioner because the contents of the letter clearly prove that he has committed some lapses with regard to the collection and belated accounting and deposits into the bank, which were proved by the Management by producing number of documents. Under such circumstances, it is only to wriggle out the situation, the Petitioner has taken the stand that the letter was written by the Petitioner on the instructions of the Manager.

10. The learned counsel for the Petitioner all along contended that it is only due to vengeance and personal enmity, the Manager has taken the stand and made a false complaint to the Regional Office and after a long lapse of time the Regional Office has terminated the service of the Petitioner without any enquiry and without any valid reason or rhythm. Further, he contended that even before his termination he has made several representations to the higher officials of the Respondent/Bank that enquiry should be conducted with regard to the complaints made, but for the reasons best known to them, they have not made any enquiry and they have come to a wrong conclusion on the advise of the Manager and therefore, it is not valid in law.

11. But, here again, I find there is no force in the contention of the learned counsel for the Petitioner because from the documents produced before this Court, it is clear that on number of occasions, the Petitioner was not regular in collecting deposits and further he was belatedly accounting the deposits and in some times he has gone on leave without informing the bank. Under such circumstances, it is futile to contend that the Manager of the Respondent/Bank has given a false complaint against the Petitioner on personal enmity. Further, even though the Petitioner has alleged that he has got personal enmity, he has not produced even a single document to show that the Manager has got some personal enmity with the Petitioner.

12. Again, the learned counsel for the Petitioner argued that oral order that too, without any enquiry is void ab initio and the allegation that sometimes he has made deposits belatedly and it resorted to non-genuine and false entries in the cards given to the bank and to the customer, it can be rectified at the end of the month because only at that time both the accounts (i.e. given to the bank

and also given to customer) have to be checked by the Manager of the bank and the Petitioner as a thrift deposit collector has to tally with the accounts maintained by the bank and also the cards given to the customers. There may be some minor mistakes due to totalling of amounts and also due to entries in the accounts, but that cannot be taken as a serious note because the Petitioner has every opportunity to rectify the mistakes and on that ground a major punishment cannot be given to the Petitioner. Though, I find some force in the contention of the learned counsel for the Petitioner, the irregular manner in which the Petitioner has acted and belated accounting of the deposits would definitely shatter the trust of the depositors in the bank. Under such circumstances, I find though it is contended that no opportunity was given and no enquiry was conducted by the management against the Petitioner, as per the judgement reported in AIR 1973 SC 1227, I find the management has proved the guilt of the Petitioner before this Tribunal and it was satisfactorily proved before this Tribunal that the Petitioner's lapses had made him to suffer with the punishment imposed by the Respondent/Management.

13. The learned counsel for the Petitioner again argued that the Supreme Court while dealing with the issue of Deposit Collectors of the Bank has held that "we also see no force in the contention that Section 10 of Banking Regulations Act prevents employment of persons on Commission basis. The proviso to Section 10 makes it clear that Commission can be paid to persons who are not in regular employment. Undoubtedly, deposit collectors are regular employees of the bank and there is clear relationship of master and servant between them and the Respondent/Bank." In such circumstances, since the Petitioner is a thrift deposit collector and the relationship between the Respondent and the Petitioner is of master and servant and in such circumstances, without any proper enquiry and without giving any opportunity to deny the allegations made against him, the Petitioner was dismissed from service and therefore, it is not valid in law.

14. As I have already stated that the Respondent has proved before this Tribunal through evidence of MW1 and through the documents produced before this Tribunal to the effect that the Petitioner has got lapses on his part which made the Respondent to take severe action against the Petitioner and under such circumstances. I find there is no valid contention made by the Petitioner and hence, I find this point in favour of the Respondent/Management.

Point No. 2 :

The next point to be decided in this case is to what relief the Petitioner is entitled ?

15. In view of my foregoing findings, I find the Petitioner is not entitled to any relief as claimed by him.

16. The reference is answered accordingly.

(Dictated to the P.A., transcribed and typed by him, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this day the 14th September, 2004).

K. JAYARAMAN, Presiding Officer

Witnesses Examined :

For the I Party/Workman : WW1 Sri S. Kannayiram

For the II Party/Management : MW1 Sri N. Valliappan

Documents Marked :

For the I Party/Workman :

Ex.No.	Date	Description
W1	Nil	Xerox copy of the 2A petition filed by Petitioner before Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central)
W2	27-07-01	Xerox copy of the written comments filed by Respondent before Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central)

For the II Party/Management :

Ex.No.	Date	Description
M1	06-02-99	Signature of the Petitioner in his letter to the Senior Manager of Respondent/Bank
M2	Nil	Xerox copy of the Thrift Deposit Scheme
M3	21-09-98	Xerox copy of the internal correspondence
M4	12-10-98	Xerox copy of the complaint given by Mr. Muniyandi to Respondent
M5	12-10-98	Xerox copy of the complaint given by Mr. N.S. Rajendran against Petitioner to Respondent/Bank
M6	Nil	Xerox copy of the relevant page of bank account of Sri A. Muniyandi

M7	Nil	Xerox copy of the relevant page of bank account in respect of Sri N. S. Rajendran
M8	Nil	Xerox copy of the complaint letter of Mr. K. Bhuhari to Respondent Regarding shortage in his account
M9	20-07-98	Xerox copy of the complaint letter of Mr. Amaran to Respondent Regarding shortage in his account
M10	Nil	Xerox copy of the account particulars of Mr. Bhuhari
M11	Nil	Xerox copy of the account particulars of Mr. Amaran
M12	06-02-99	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Respondent/Bank
M13	09-03-99	Xerox copy of the complaint letter of Mr. M. Mahalingam to Respondent Regarding shortage in his account
M14	Nil	Xerox copy of the account particulars of Mr. Mahalingam
M15	Nil	Xerox copy of the thrift deposit pass book details
M16	Nil	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Respondent/Bank
M17	Nil	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Respondent/Bank
M18	06-06-98	Xerox copy of the letter from Petitioner to Respondent/Bank
M19(3)	Nil	Xerox copy of the letters from Petitioner to Respondent/Bank
M20	23-02-98	Xerox copy of the internal correspondence.
M21	15-06-99	Xerox copy of the letter from Senior Manager of Respondent bank to Petitioner
M22	09-08-99	Xerox copy of the internal correspondence
M23	21-06-99	Xerox copy of the internal correspondence.

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3247.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार, औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं०-2, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 33/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12011/233/2003-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3247.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.33/2004) of the Central Government Industrial-cum-Labour Court, Dhanbad No. 2 as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12011/233/2003-IR(B-II)]
C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT :

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D. Act, 1947

REFERENCE NO. 33 OF 2004

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Management of Bank of India and their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the workman : Mr. Saket Kumar Sinha,
Zonal Secretary, Bank of
India Employees
Association.

On behalf of the employers : None.

State : Jharkhand

Industry : Banking

Dated, Dhanbad, the 4th November, 2004

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d)

of the I. D. Act., 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-12011/233/2003-IR(B-II), dated, the 8th March, 2004.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of Bank of India in not accepting the Pension option in lieu of Contributory Provident Fund of Shri Shyamal Kumar Mitra, Cash-cum-Accounts Clerk of Bank's Putki Branch is justified ? If not, what relief the concerned workman is entitled to ?"

2. In course of hearing of the instant reference the representative of the workman by filing a petition submitted before this Tribunal to pass a 'No dispute' Award in this case as the dispute in question has already been settled between the parties. None appeared on behalf of the management. Since the workman/union is not interested to proceed with the hearing the case, there is no reason to drag on the same. Accordingly the reference case is closed and a 'No dispute' Award is rendered in this case presuming non-existence of any industrial dispute between the parties.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3248.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार, औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, चेन्नई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 323/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12011/214/2003-आई. आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th November, 2004

S.O. 3248.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.323/2004) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Chennai as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of Vijaya Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12011/214/2003-IR(B-II)]
C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, CHENNAI**

Tuesday, the 28th September, 2004

PRESENT :

K. Jayaraman, Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 323/2004

[In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), between the Management of Vijaya Bank and their workman]

BETWEEN :

The Joint Secretary, : I Party/Claimant
Vijaya Bank Workers
Organisation

AND

The Deputy General Manager, : II Party/Management
Vijaya Bank, Chennai

Appearance :

For the Claimant : S/Sri R. Vijaya Kumar
& S. D. Srinivasan, Authorised
Representatives.
For the Management : Smt. R. Shakeela, Authorised
Representative.

AWARD

The Central Government, Ministry of Labour vide Notification Order No. L-12011/214/2003-IR(B-II) dated 08-03-2004 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of Vijaya Bank in failing to fill up the vacancy of full-time sweeper in their Regional Office at Chennai and filling up the same by outsourcing is justified or not ? If not justified, what relief they are entitled to ?"

2. After the receipt of the reference, it was taken on file as I. D. No. 323/2004 and notices were issued to both the parties and both the parties entered appearance through their Authorised Representatives and filed their Claim Statement and Counter Statement respectively.

3. The allegations of the Petitioner in the Claim Statement are briefly as follows :

This dispute relates to wrongful and unlawful action of the management of the Respondent/Bank in not filling

up the vacancy of full-time sweeper at its Regional Office in Chennai and outsourcing the said work hitherto done by the permanent full time sweepers in total violation of the settlement dated 30-12-98 entered into between the Petitioner union and the Respondent/Bank. During the year 1999, the Respondent/Bank had identified one post of full time sweeper, changed as Regional Office subsequently, for the post of full time sweeper at the erstwhile Zonal Office and also one post of full time sweeper at Moore Street branch respectively in the Chennai Region, Pursuant to that identification, Smt. R. Govindammal who was working as part-time sweeper was posted to Regional Office, Chennai as full time sweeper as per the above settlement. Subsequently, the said Smt. Govindammal was transferred to Moor Street branch to work as full time sweeper in the vacancy of Smt. Saratha, who had retired on superannuation. Therefore, the vacancy of full time sweeper was created in Regional Office, Chennai. Therefore, the Respondent/Bank ought to have filled up the vacancy as per the rules and procedures by initiating selection process of the scale wages of permanent part-time sweeper in the subordinate staff cadre. But in utter violation of the above said settlement had outsourced the cleaning or sweeping work hitherto performed by the permanent part-time sweeper and full-time sweeper of the bank. The Petitioner Union has sent a letter to the then Deputy General Manager, Regional Office and since they have not given any reply, the Petitioner Union has raised the dispute before Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central). The action of the Respondent/Bank in failing to fill up the vacancy of full-time sweeper and outsourcing the same is unlawful, unreasonable and unjustified and it has resulted in violation of the Govt. guidelines. Further, the Respondent's action is also not permissible under law. By outsourcing the said work, the Respondent/Bank abolished the regular nature of work being done by the permanent employees of the Respondent/Bank, which is unfair labour practice. The Respondent's manner of appointment of contractors substituting the permanent and perennial nature of job will amount to change in service conditions causing serious prejudice to the concerned employees. Further, it has resulted in serious prejudice to several of the permanent employees in part-time sweeper cadre, who are eligible and willing senior-most part-time sweeper in 3/4 scale wages would have become full-time sweeper. Therefore, the action of the Respondent is not only arbitrary but also illegal and it would frustrate the provisions of settlement envisaged by the Industrial Disputes Act. The non-implementation of provisions of settlement has given rise to denial of legitimate opportunities as would be otherwise available to the permanent part-time sweepers. Therefore, the Petitioner Union prays that the action of the Respondent/Bank is unjustified and impermissible in law and hence to pass an award to that effect with costs.

4. As against this, the Respondent/Management in its Counter Statement contended that this Respondent has not violated the terms of settlement dated 30-12-98. Previously, the Respondent/Bank has four tier system of administrative set up namely branches, Divisional Office, Zonal Office and Head Office. It was changed during 1999 and the four tier system was converted into three tier system namely Branches, Regional Office and Head Office. Therefore, it is false to contend that the Zonal Offices were changed as Regional Office. On transfer of Smt. R. Govindammal to Moor Street branch to work as full time sweeper, a vacancy of full time sweeper arose in Regional Office, Chennai on account of the transfer effected. No doubt, the Respondent/Bank entered into a settlement with the Petitioner Union. A similar settlement was entered into with the VBEA and as per clause 1.1(b) of the settlement, the number of vacancies for the post of full time subordinate cadre will be as decided by the Respondent at its discretion with due regard to its needs. Further, such vacancies as decided by the Board of Directors of the bank will be notified by the Respondent before the end of financial year concerned. Any vacancy arising out of wastages i.e. death, resignation, voluntary retirement, retirement on superannuation, dismissal, discharge and termination of service for whatsoever the reason, and/or creation of new post, if any, by the Respondent at its discretion as and when required by the Respondent will be filled up through enhancement of scale wages as and when vacancy arises/created. In this case, the appropriate Govt. has not issued any notification under Section 10 of the Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act, 1970 prohibiting employment of contract labour. Further, apart from this awards and settlements governing the service conditions of the employees of the Respondent do not impose any prohibition in engaging subordinate staff category of employees through an agency. Neither awards and settlements governing the service conditions of the award staff members in the Respondent nor the Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act, 1970 contain any provision prohibiting the engagement of temporary employees through an agency. The circulars of the Respondent/Bank are for internal guidelines, the breach of which will not give rise to any cause of action. In this case, though the bank employed certain persons from outside, the arrangement was made only as an interim arrangement till the filling up of the post. Therefore, it cannot be said that it is in violation of settlement or Sastry Award. It cannot be said as unfair labour practice. The settlement dated 30-12-98 does not cover the vacancies arising out of transfer of a particular employee. Therefore, the action of the Respondent is neither arbitrary nor illegal. On account of engaging temporary employees, the Respondent/Bank had not retransferred any of the part-time sweeper. Further, it will not cause any prejudice to existing cadre of permanent part-time sweepers. Further, the Respondent/Bank has issued circular No. 141/2002 dated

14-11-2002 pertaining the branches/offices to outsource the cleaning job in order to ensure the bank premises clean and properly maintained. Therefore, there is no prohibition or legal impediment in outsourcing the cleaning job. Clause 13 mentions about commencement of declaration of vacancies and declaration of vacancies left to the discretion of Board of Directors of the bank. Hence, for all these reasons the Respondent prays that the claim may be dismissed with costs.

5. In these circumstances, the points for my consideration are :

- (i) "Whether the action of the Respondent/bank in failing to fill up the vacancy of full time sweeper in their Regional Office at Chennai and filled up the same by outsourcing is justified or not ?"
- (ii) "If not justified, to what relief, the Petitioner Union is entitled ?"

Point No. 1

6. The main point to be decided in this case is whether the action of the Respondent/Management in failing to fill up the full time sweeper vacancy at Regional Office, Chennai and doing the job by an outsider is in violation of subsisting settlement dated 30-12-98 or not.

7. On behalf of the Petitioner Union, it is contended that there is one identified post of full time sweeper in Regional Office, Chennai and pursuant to the identification of the post of full time sweeper, Smt. R. Govindammal, who was working as part-time sweeper at Saidapet branch was posted to Regional Office, Chennai as full time sweeper. When she was working there as full time sweeper, she was again transferred to Moore Street branch on 31-03-2003. As per the subsisting settlement between the Petitioner Union and the Respondent/Management dated 30-12-98, clause 13 says—

"Declaration of vacancies :—'Vacancy of full time sweeper posts and that of peons indicating branch/office will be intimated to the union before the end of financial year concerned and the process of filling up of the such posts will be completed within six months from the date of declaration of the vacancies.'"

In violation of this rule, the Respondent/Bank has not filled up the post of full time sweeper in Regional Office, Chennai. On the other hand, the bank has engaged a third party to do the sweeping and also housekeeping work which is in violation of the said settlement and it was contended on behalf of the Petitioner that this vacancy is to be filled up through enhancement of scale wages as per clause 1.0(b) and clause 1.1(b) of the Memorandum of Settlement by initiating the selection process for enhancement in scale wages of permanent part-time sweeper in subordinate staff cadre. But without doing this

process, the bank in utter violation of the said settlement had outsourced for cleaning/sweeping namely housekeeping work to an outside agency. It is the further contention of the Petitioner that hiring outside agents and contractors for cleaning jobs at the Respondent/Bank is impermissible in law since the Respondent has signed Memorandum of Settlement with the Petitioner Union.

8. But, as against this, on behalf of the Respondent it is contended that neither awards nor settlements governing the service conditions of the award staff members in the Respondent bank contain any provision prohibiting the engagement of temporary employees through an agency. No doubt, the Respondent/Bank has employed an outside agency for cleaning the Regional Office but the said arrangement was made only an interim arrangement till the filling up the post through the process mentioned in the settlement as the selection process may take time to fill up the post and therefore, the bank has not violated the settlement as alleged by the Petitioner union. Further, though the Petitioner union alleged that it is in violation of Memorandum of Settlement and also Sastry Award there is no prohibition either in the memorandum of Settlement or in Sastry Award for engaging contract labour. Further, the Supreme Court has also in SAIL's case has quashed the notification issued by the Central Govt. under Section 10 of the Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act, 1970 prohibiting the employment of sweepers and cleaners, therefore there is no prohibition or legal embedment in outsourcing for cleaning job. Further, as per the Memorandum of Settlement the process of appointing full time sweeper would commence by declaration of vacancies and declaration of vacancies is left to the discretion of Board of Directors of the bank which is evidenced from the similar settlement entered into by the VBEA, the other recognised union of the Respondent/Bank. As per clause 1.1(b) of the settlement, the number of vacancies for the post of full time subordinate cadre will be as decided by the Respondent at its discretion with due regard to its needs. Therefore, at no stretch of imagination, it can be said that Respondent/Bank has violated the provisions of settlement. It is their further contention that subsequent to the reference, the Respondent/Bank has appointed full time sweeper from among the scale wages employees and therefore, it cannot be said that it has violated the terms of the settlement and there is no mala fide or arbitrariness.

9. But, again the Authorised Representative of the Petitioner Union has contended that even though the Respondent/Bank has now appointed a full-time sweeper at Regional Office, Chennai of the Respondent/Bank, this was done only one year after the vacancy arose and only after raising of this dispute before this Tribunal. Under such circumstances, it cannot be said that as per the terms of settlement, the Respondent/Bank has appointed full-time sweeper within six months from the date of vacancy.

It is further argued on behalf of the Petitioner that though it is stated that only after the declaration, six months from the date of declaration, by a circular the vacancy was informed to the Petitioner union and therefore, there is no point in the contention of the Respondent that it was not declared by the Respondent/Bank to the Petitioner Union. Further, the Respondent/Bank is harping on technical grounds to sustain their arguments. The vacancy as and when it arises should be filled up as laid down under Memorandum of Settlement dated 30-12-98. On behalf of the Petitioner, it was further contended that provisions of para 508 of Sastry Award specifically stated that in banking industry, four category of workmen can be employed namely permanent employees, probationers, part-time employees and temporary employees, hence the contention of the bank that para 508 does not prohibit the bank in engaging temporary employees is totally untrue. In case, a contract employee is to be employed in these circumstances, it must have been mentioned in Sastry Award itself. In fact, there has been a clear cut definition of above categories of workmen and also in Bipartite Settlement entered into at the apex level, it has been laid down that these temporary workmen should be given preference in absorption against the permanent vacancies. Therefore, the argued of the Respondent that there is no prohibition is totally wrong. Though, the Respondent/Bank has relied on rulings in SAIL case, and the said case is not at all relevant to the present dispute. The present dispute is to decide as to whether any violation of the provisions of Memorandum of Settlement entered into between the Respondent/Bank and Petitioner Union was made or not. No doubt, in SAIL case, the Supreme Court has struck down the notification issued by the Government of India in terms of Section 10 of Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act. The said judgement did not give any usurping powers to management to violate any settlement signed under Industrial Dispute Act. Further, the contention of the Respondent that the arrangement made only as an interim arrangement is totally false. Even before the conciliation officer, on the side of the Respondent it was contended that bank has got right in engaging contractors and only in the Counter Statement, they have stated that this engagement of contractor was only an interim arrangement. The action of the Respondent/Bank in not filling the post of full-time sweeper in Regional Office has resulted in violation of guidelines of the Govt., which clearly stipulates that due weightage should be given for SC/ST candidates. Further, the non-implementation of provisions of settlement has given rise to denial of legitimate rights, as it violates not only in 3/4th scales wages but also any other lower scales who would have reaped with the benefits of enhancement in their scale wages due to consequential vacancies in their respective higher scale and therefore, it is clear violation of the Memorandum of Settlement entered into between the Petitioner union and Respondent/

Bank dated 30-12-98 and therefore, the action of the Respondent is unjustified and impermissible in law.

10. Though I find some force in the contention of the Authorised Representative of the Petitioner Union, it is admitted by both sides that this vacancy of full-time sweeper in Regional Office of the Respondent/Bank has been filled up by promoting $\frac{3}{4}$ scale wages of an employee to that post and it is the contention of the Respondent/Bank that engagement of contract employee is only a stop gap arrangement i.e. temporary in nature. The process of appointing full-time sweeper to Regional Office has got some time to fill up the post and in such circumstances, I find, it cannot be said that the Respondent/Bank has violated the terms and conditions of the settlement entered into between the Petitioner Union and Respondent/Bank. I further find that since it is clearly admitted by the Respondent/Bank that arrangement made in Regional Office is only an interim arrangement till the filling up of the post and since the post has now been filled up, I find there is no substance in the contention of the Petitioner Union. As such, I find this point against the petitioner Union.

Point No. 2 :

The next point to be decided in this case is to what relief the Petitioner is entitled ?

11. Since I find there is no violation of the memorandum of Settlement as alleged by the Petitioner Union and since the failure in filling up the vacancy of full-time sweeper in the Regional Office is justified by the Respondent/management, I find the Petitioner is not entitled to any relief as claimed by them. No Costs.

12. The reference is answered accordingly.

(Dictated to the P.A., transcribed and typed by him, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this day the 28th September, 2004.)

K. JAYARAMAN, Presiding Officer

Witnesses Examined :

On either side : None

Documents marked :

For the I Party/Claimant

Ex.No.	Date	Description
W1	27-11-84	Xerox copy of the circular issued by the Respondent/Bank.
W2	18-04-85	Xerox copy of the circular issued by the Respondent/Bank Regarding appointment of part-time sweeper.
W3	31-07-85	Xerox copy of the letter from Head Office of Respondent/Bank Regarding reservation for SC/ST in appointments.

W4	27-03-93	Xerox copy of the codified circular for appointment of permanent Part-time sweeper.
W5	20-07-02	Xerox copy of the circular of Head Office of Respondent/Bank Regarding norms for fixing wages of part-time sweeper.
W6	14-11-02	Xerox copy of the Head Office circular regarding image projection of bank premises.
W7	30-12-98	Xerox copy of the Memorandum of Settlement between Respondent and VBWO.
W8	31-03-99	Xerox copy of the letter from Respondent/Bank to VBWO regarding Conversion of full-time sweeper as peons.
W9	03-12-02	Xerox copy of the letter from VBWO to Respondent/Management.
W10	07-01-03	Xerox copy of the transfer order of Smt. R. Govindammal.
W11	01-04-03	Xerox copy of the letter from VBWO to Deputy General Manager of Respondent Bank.
W12	Nil	Xerox copy of the relevant page of Chapter XIX of Sastry Award.
W13	Nil	Xerox copy of the relevant page of Chapter XVIII of Bipartite Settlement.

For the II Party/Management : Nil

नई दिल्ली, 30 नवंबर, 2004

का. आ. 3249.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में श्रम न्यायालय अरनाकुलम के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 53/98) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 12013/20/1998-आई. आर. (बी-II)]
सी. गंगाधरन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 30th November, 2004

S.O. 3249.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 53/98) of the Labour Court, Ernakulam as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Indian Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-11-2004.

[No. L-12013/20/1998-IR(B-II)]
C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE**IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM
(IN THE LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM)**

(Wednesday, the 22nd day of September, 2004)

PRESENT :

Shri K.K. Utharan, B.Sc. LL.B., Presiding Officer

**INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 53 OF 1998
(CENTRAL)****BETWEEN**The Zonal Manager, Indian Bank, Zonal Office, Anadhi,
Chittoor Road, Puleppady, Ernakulam, Kochi 682 035.**AND**The workman of the above concern represented by the
General Secretary, Indian Bank Employees Association,
Narayana Building, Pazhaya Nadakkavu, Trichur 680 001.**Representations :**Sri. Easwar & Mani,
Advocate,
Ernakulam,
Kochi-682 035.

... For Management

Sri. H. B. Shenoy,
Advocate,
Vatsal, 39/187,
Krishnaswamy Road,
Ernakulam.

... For Union

AWARD

This reference was made by the Central Government of India as per letter No. L-12013/20/98/IR(B-II) dated 14-12-1998. The dispute is between the Management of Indian Bank and their workman Smt. Isha Joseph Pindis. The dispute referred is :

"Whether the action of the management of Indian Bank in transferring Smt. Isha Joseph Pindis vide order dated 31-5-97 is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

2. In response to the notice issued from this court both parties entered appearance and submitted their respective pleadings.

3. When the case stood posted for evidence today the 22nd September, 2004. The counsel for union submitted that the Industrial Dispute is not pressed. Therefore the workman's union is not at all interested to pursue the dispute any further and that no Industrial Dispute is pending to be adjudicated.

In the result, the reference is answered holding that there is no subsisting industrial dispute between the parties to be adjudicated. This award will take effect one month after its publication in the Official Gazette.

Dictated to the confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed out by her, corrected by me and passed this the 22nd day of September, 2004.

K. K. UTHARAN, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3250.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खंड (vi) के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1389 दिनांक 02-06-2004 द्वारा भारतीय खाद्य निगम, जो कि औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 6 में शामिल है, को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 12-06-2004 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छः मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खंड (vi) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 12-12-2004 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. सं. एस.-11017/5/91-आई. आर. (पी.एल.)]

जे.पी. पति, संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th December, 2004

S.O. 3250.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required that in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of the clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour S.O. No. 1389 dated 2-6-2004 the service in the Food Corporation of India which is covered by item 6 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 12th June, 2004.

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2

of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 12th December, 2004.

[F. No. S-11017/5/91-IR(PL)]
J.P. PATI, Jt. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 8 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3251.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खंड (vi) के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1471 दिनांक 11-6-2004 द्वारा लोह अयस्क खनन उद्योग जो कि औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 16 में शामिल हैं, को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 18-6-2004 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छः मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खंड (vi) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 18-12-2004 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. सं. एस. 11017/13/97-आई. आर. (पी.एल.)]

जे.पी. पति, संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 8th December, 2004

S.O. 3251.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required that in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of the clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour S.O. No. 1471 dated 11-6-2004 the service in the Iron Ore Mining Industry which is covered by item 16 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 18th June, 2004.

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 18th December, 2004.

[F. No. S-11017/13/97-IR(PL)]
J.P. PATI, Jt. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 8 दिसम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3252.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खंड (vi) के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1518 दिनांक 15-6-2004 द्वारा ईंधन गैसों (कोयला गैस, प्राकृतिक गैस और ऐसी अन्य) के प्रसंस्करण एवं उत्पादन में लगे उद्योग में सेवाओं को जोकि औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 29 में शामिल हैं, को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 15-6-2004 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छः मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खंड (vi) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 15-12-2004 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. सं. एस.-11017/2/2003-आई. आर. (पी.एल.)]

जे.पी. पति, संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 8th December, 2004

S.O. 3252.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required that in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of the clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour S.O. No. 1518 dated 15-6-2004 the service in the industry engaged in the Processing of Production of Fuel Gases (Coal Gas, Natural Gas and the like) which is covered by item 29 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 15th June, 2004.

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 15th December, 2004.

[F. No. S-11017/2/2003-IR(PL)]
J.P. PATI, Jt. Secy.